

21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 Index ETF (TTOP)
21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF (TXBC)

Each, a series of Listed Funds Trust

Listed on NYSE Arca, Inc.

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

November 11, 2025

This Statement of Additional Information (the “SAI”) is not a prospectus and should be read in conjunction with the prospectus for the 21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 Index ETF (“Crypto 10 Index ETF”) and 21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF (“Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF”), (each a “Fund” and, together the “Funds”), each a series of Listed Funds Trust (the “Trust”), dated November 11, 2025, as may be supplemented from time to time (the “Prospectus”). Capitalized terms used in this SAI that are not defined have the same meaning as in the Prospectus, unless otherwise noted. A copy of the Prospectus may be obtained without charge, by calling the Funds at 1-800-617-0004 or by visiting www.21shares.com.

The Funds’ audited financial statements for the most recent fiscal year (when available) will be included in the Funds’ most recent Form N-CSR, which will be incorporated into this SAI by reference (File No. 811-23226). When available, you may obtain a copy of the Funds’ Annual Report and Form N-CSR at no charge by contacting the Funds at the phone number noted above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

General Information about the Trust	2
Additional Information About Investment Objectives, Policies, and Related Risks	2
Non-Diversification	2
General Risks	2
Description of Permitted Investments	3
Investment Restrictions	34
Exchange Listing and Trading	35
Management of the Trust	35
Principal Shareholders, Control Persons, and Management Ownership	39
Codes of Ethics	39
Proxy Voting Policies	39
Investment Management	39
Investment Adviser	39
Investment Sub-Adviser	40
Fund Sponsor	40
Management of the Subsidiary	40
Portfolio Managers	41
Distributor	41
Administrator, Index Receipt Agent, and Transfer Agent	43
Custodian	43
Legal Counsel	43
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	43
Portfolio Holdings Disclosure Policies and Procedures	43
Description of Shares	43
Limitation of Trustees' Liability	44
Brokerage Transactions	44
Portfolio Turnover Rate	45
Book Entry Only System	45
Purchase and Redemption of Creation Units	46
Determination of Net Asset Value	51
Dividends and Distributions	52
Federal Income Taxes	52
Financial Statements	60
Appendix A	A-1
Appendix B	B-1

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRUST

The Trust is an open-end management investment company consisting of multiple investment series. This SAI relates only to the Funds. The Trust was organized as a Delaware statutory trust on August 26, 2016. The Trust is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (together with the rules and regulations adopted thereunder, the “1940 Act”), as an open-end management investment company, and the offering of each Fund’s shares (collectively, the “Shares”) is registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”). The Trust is governed by its Board of Trustees (the “Board”).

Teucrium Investment Advisors, LLC (the “Adviser”) serves as investment adviser to the Funds, 21Shares US LLC (the “Sub-Adviser” or “21Shares”) serves as the investment sub-adviser to the Funds. Each Fund offers and issues Shares at their net asset value (“NAV”) only in aggregations of a specified number of Shares (each, a “Creation Unit”). Each Fund generally offers and issues Shares in exchange for the deposit of cash totaling the NAV of the Creation Units. Shares are listed on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (the “Exchange”) and trade on the Exchange at market prices that may differ from the Shares’ NAV. Shares are also redeemable only in Creation Unit aggregations, primarily in exchange for a specified cash payment. A Creation Unit of each Fund generally consists of 10,000 Shares, though this may change from time to time. As a practical matter, only institutions or large investors purchase or redeem Creation Units. Except when aggregated in Creation Units, Shares are not redeemable securities.

The Trust may impose a transaction fee for each creation or redemption. In all cases, such fees will be limited in accordance with the requirements of the SEC applicable to management investment companies offering redeemable securities. As in the case of other publicly traded securities, brokers’ commissions on transactions in the secondary market will be based on negotiated commission rates at customary levels.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND RELATED RISKS

Each Fund’s investment objective and principal investment strategies are described in the Prospectus. The following information supplements, and should be read in conjunction with, the Prospectus. For a description of certain permitted investments, see [“Description of Permitted Investments”](#) in this SAI.

With respect to each Fund’s investments, unless otherwise noted, if a percentage limitation on investment is adhered to at the time of investment or contract, a subsequent increase or decrease as a result of market movement or redemption will not result in a violation of such investment limitation.

NON-DIVERSIFICATION

Each Fund is classified as a non-diversified investment company under the 1940 Act. A “non-diversified” classification means that a Fund is not limited by the 1940 Act with regard to the percentage of its total assets that may be invested in the securities of a single issuer. This means that a Fund may invest a greater portion of its total assets in the securities of a single issuer or a smaller number of issuers than if it was a diversified fund.

Although each Fund is non-diversified for purposes of the 1940 Act, each Fund intends to maintain the required level of diversification and otherwise conduct its operations so as to qualify as a “regulated investment company” (“RIC”) within the meaning of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). Compliance with the diversification requirements of the Code may limit the investment flexibility of the Funds and may make it less likely that the Funds will meet their investment objectives. To qualify as a RIC under the Code, a Fund must meet the Diversification Requirement described in the section titled “Federal Income Taxes” in this SAI.

GENERAL RISKS

The value of a Fund’s portfolio investments may fluctuate with changes in the financial condition of an issuer or counterparty, changes in specific economic or political conditions that affect a particular investment or issuer and changes in general economic or political conditions. An investor in a Fund could lose money over short or long periods of time.

There can be no guarantee that a liquid market for the investments held by a Fund will be maintained. The existence of a liquid trading market for certain investments may depend on whether dealers will make a market in such investments. There can be no assurance that a market will be made or maintained or that any such market will be or remain liquid. The price at which investments may be sold and the value of Shares will be adversely affected if trading markets for a Fund’s portfolio investments are limited or absent, or if bid/ask spreads are wide.

Cybersecurity Risk. Investment companies, such as the Funds, and their service providers may be subject to operational and information security risks resulting from cyber-attacks. Cyber-attacks include, among other behaviors, stealing or corrupting data maintained online or digitally, denial of service attacks on websites, the unauthorized release of confidential information or various other forms of cybersecurity breaches. Cyber-attacks affecting a Fund or the Adviser, Sub-Adviser, custodian, transfer agent, intermediaries and other third-party service providers may adversely impact a Fund. For instance, cyber-attacks may interfere with the processing of shareholder transactions, impact a Fund’s ability to calculate its NAV, cause the release of private shareholder information or confidential company information, impede trading, subject a Fund to regulatory fines or financial losses, and cause

reputational damage. A Fund also may incur additional costs for cybersecurity risk management purposes. Similar types of cybersecurity risks also are present for issuers of securities in which a Fund invests, which could result in material adverse consequences for such issuers and may cause a Fund's investments in such portfolio companies to lose value.

Global Pandemics. Beginning in the first quarter of 2020, financial markets in the United States and around the world experienced extreme and, in many cases, unprecedented volatility and severe losses due to the global pandemic caused by COVID-19, a novel coronavirus. The pandemic resulted in a wide range of social and economic disruptions, including closed borders, voluntary or compelled quarantines of large populations, stressed healthcare systems, reduced or prohibited domestic or international travel, and supply chain disruptions affecting the United States and many other countries. Some sectors of the economy and individual issuers experienced particularly large losses as a result of these disruptions. Although the immediate effects of the COVID-19 pandemic have dissipated, global markets and economies continue to contend with the ongoing and long-term impact of COVID-19. It is unknown how long events related to the pandemic will persist, whether they will reoccur in the future, and what additional implications may follow from the pandemic. The impact of these events and other epidemics or pandemics in the future could adversely affect Fund performance.

Recent Geopolitical Events. Geopolitical tensions introduce uncertainty into global markets. Russia's military invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, the resulting responses by the United States and other countries, and the potential for wider conflict could increase volatility and uncertainty in the financial markets and adversely affect regional and global economies. The United States and other countries have imposed broad-ranging economic sanctions on Russia and certain Russian individuals, banking entities and corporations, and Belarus as a response to Russia's invasion of Ukraine, and may impose sanctions on other countries that provide military or economic support to Russia. The extent and duration of Russia's military actions and the repercussions of such actions (including any retaliatory actions or countermeasures that may be taken by those subject to sanctions, including cyber-attacks) are impossible to predict, but could result in significant market disruptions, including in certain industries or sectors, such as the oil and natural gas markets, and may negatively affect global supply chains, inflation and global growth.

Similarly, escalations beginning in October 2023 of the ongoing Israel-Hamas conflict present a potential risk for wider conflict that could negatively affect financial markets due to a myriad of interconnected factors. This conflict could disrupt regional trade and supply chains, potentially affecting U.S. businesses with exposure to the region. For example, attacks on commercial vessels transiting through the Red Sea, commonly referred to as the Red Sea crisis, have led to disruption of international maritime trade and the global supply chain, which has had a direct impact on countries and regions that rely on such routes for the supply of energy and/or food and companies that typically ship goods or receive components by way of the Red Sea. Additionally, the Middle East plays a pivotal role in the global energy sector, and prolonged instability could impact oil prices, leading to increased costs for businesses and consumers. Furthermore, the U.S.'s diplomatic ties and commitments in the region mean that it might become more directly involved, either diplomatically or militarily, diverting attention and resources. These and any related events could significantly impact a Fund's performance and the value of an investment in a Fund, even if the Fund does not have direct exposure.

DESCRIPTION OF PERMITTED INVESTMENTS

The following are descriptions of the Funds' permitted investments and investment practices and the associated risk factors. A Fund will only invest in any of the following instruments, directly or indirectly, or engage in any of the following investment practices if such investment or activity is consistent with that Fund's investment objective and permitted by the Fund's stated investment policies.

Underlying Crypto Assets

Bitcoin (BTC)

Bitcoin is the first and remains today the largest crypto asset in the world. It is commonly referred to by its ticker symbol BTC.

Bitcoin was invented in 2008 by a pseudonymous software developer, or a group of software developers, under the name Satoshi Nakamoto. Nakamoto published a white paper titled "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" on October 31, 2008, which provided the technical outline for launching the Bitcoin network. The network went live on January 3, 2009, when Nakamoto mined the first block of transactions, known as "Genesis Block".

The software underlying the Bitcoin blockchain determines a number of key and independent parameters. At the heart of the system lies the algorithm that enforces that all ledgers converge over time (commonly known as the "Consensus Algorithm"). Other important portions of the system include the rules that deem a transaction valid, a programming language that allows for different types of transactions to be executed, and the process through which new Bitcoins are minted (commonly known as "mining"), and others.

One important property of Bitcoin is that the network strictly enforces the total amount of units issued to converge towards 21 million by the year 2140 through a predetermined schedule. The total number of Bitcoin created as of June 30, 2025, was approximately 19.88 million. It is believed that some portion of the Bitcoin created to-date is irretrievable because the private keys that would allow users to access that Bitcoin have been lost, although the exact amount that has been lost is unknown.

New Bitcoin are created when miners process blocks of transactions. In the Bitcoin network, this occurs roughly every ten minutes. The blockchain periodically adjusts the difficulty of settling transactions to ensure that cadence remains approximately accurate.

The amount of new Bitcoin created each time a block of Bitcoin transactions is processed is predetermined by the software underlying the Bitcoin blockchain. Initially, the miner that settled a block of transactions on the Bitcoin blockchain received 50 Bitcoin. That reward was and is programmed to be cut in half roughly every four years; currently, miners receive 3.125 Bitcoin for each block of settled transactions.

Although there are a few interoperable versions of the Bitcoin software, most network participants run a version called “Bitcoin Core”, which is maintained by a group of independent developers. The Bitcoin core developers are able to propose changes to this version of the software that powers the network. However, as a decentralized network, any changes must be downloaded and accepted by the users of the network in order for these changes to be implemented. If not all users accept a proposed change, the network can be split.

The Bitcoin network is known for being extremely decentralized, as it is maintained by a network of computers that, joined together, represents the largest supercomputer in the world. Some believe that this makes Bitcoin more secure and resistant to attacks compared to other blockchain networks. In addition, the ecosystem surrounding Bitcoin is more developed than it is for other blockchains. There are more established entities that custody Bitcoin, trade Bitcoin and accept Bitcoin as payment than any other blockchain at this time.

One of the key limitations of the Bitcoin network is the limited number of transactions that can be processed per second, a statistic commonly referred to as throughput. The trade-off between decentralization and scalability is present in any crypto asset network, and Bitcoin is optimized for the former. There are, however, a number of efforts in relatively advanced stages underway to expand the network’s throughput, including efforts to build networks that can be layered over Bitcoin.

Other concerns and limitations raised by market participants about Bitcoin include worries that its proof-of-work scheme consumes a large amount of electricity, which could entail significant economic and environmental costs, and that Bitcoin could be used for criminal activity due to its pseudonymous nature.

Ether (ETH)

Ether is the native asset of Ethereum, the second largest blockchain network ranked by market capitalization as of December 30, 2020. Ethereum was described in a white paper in late 2013, and an online crowdsale to fund development took place between July and August 2014. The network went live in July 2015.

Ethereum was purpose-built to support smart contracts—self-executing computer programs that automatically enforce agreements between parties, reducing or eliminating the need for trusted intermediaries. Cryptocurrency pioneer Nick Szabo, who coined the term smart contract in the early 1990s, mentioned a vending machine as a rudimentary example of a smart contract.

In order to achieve this functionality, the designers of Ethereum opted for a different set of trade-offs compared to Bitcoin.

One important difference is that Ethereum’s programming language (Solidity) is significantly more flexible than Bitcoin’s. This allows the creation of programs that do general computation instead of only the relatively simple conditional payments that are possible with Bitcoin. As such, a whole ecosystem of different applications including asset issuance, decentralized financial applications, identity management, and others are able to and have been developed on top of the Ethereum network.

Ethereum currently supports the majority of the stablecoin (e.g., cryptocurrencies pegged to a fiat currency, typically the U.S. Dollar) market capitalization and it also boasts one of the largest number of developers building applications on top of it. It has historically attracted enterprise interest, including integrations with Microsoft Azure and tooling from Ernst & Young. Ethereum is also the blockchain used as the base layer for many decentralized finance applications, which aim to replace traditional financial services with software-enabled processes, including lending, market making, insurance, and more.

Ether is the token necessary to power these applications.

Ethereum’s richer feature set compared to Bitcoin comes at a cost. First, the more permissive programming language makes the network inherently less secure because it can increase the odds that a catastrophic bug in one smart contract could affect the whole network. In late 2017, for instance, a prominent digital wallet provider called Parity identified a vulnerability that froze up more than \$150 million in ether tokens.

The differences between Bitcoin and Ethereum have already grown significantly, especially following Ethereum's transition from proof-of-work to proof-of-stake in 2022 via “The Merge.” While Bitcoin remains focused on being a decentralized store of value secured by PoW mining, Ethereum has evolved into a more energy-efficient platform built for smart contracts and decentralized applications. With upcoming upgrades focused on scaling the base layer while simultaneously expanding through further support for Layer 2 networks, and making Ethereum more user-friendly, the network is doubling down on its role as a programmable base layer for Web3, diverging even further from Bitcoin's simpler, non-programmable design.

XRP

XRP is a digital asset that is transmitted and settled through the operations of the XRP Ledger, a distributed ledger upon which XRP transactions are processed and settled. XRP can be used to pay for goods and services or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar. The XRP Ledger is based on a shared public ledger, the XRP Ledger, similar to the Bitcoin network. However, the XRP Ledger differentiates itself from other digital asset networks in that its stated primary function is transactional utility, not store of value. The XRP Ledger is designed to be a global real-time payment and settlement system. As a result, the XRP Ledger and XRP aim to improve the speed at which parties on the network may transfer value while also reducing the fees and delays associated with the traditional methods of interbank payments.

No single entity controls the XRP Ledger. Rather, transactions are validated by a network of independent nodes using the Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm. Ripple Labs continues to play a significant role in the development and governance of the XRP Ledger, including publishing the default Unique Node List (“UNL”), which many participants rely on to determine trusted validators. As a result, Ripple Labs may exert meaningful influence over the functioning of the network. However, it is this mechanism, as opposed to the proof-of-work mechanism utilized by the Bitcoin blockchain, that allows the XRP Ledger to be fast, energy-efficient and scalable, and therefore suitable for its most prominent use case, the facilitation of cross-border financial transactions. Unlike proof-of-work systems, which require massive computational power to secure the network, the Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm utilized by the XRP Ledger is extremely lightweight in terms of energy usage, as it relies on trusted validators rather than mining. The XRP Ledger can handle up to 1,500 transactions per second, far more than the Bitcoin or Ethereum blockchain. This makes the XRP Ledger suitable for high-volume use cases, such as cross-border payments. Lastly, because validators do not need to spend resources on mining, transaction fees are extremely low (typically a fraction of a cent per transaction).

Transactions are validated on the XRP Ledger by a network of independent validator nodes. These nodes do not mine new blocks but participate in a consensus process to ensure that transactions are valid and correctly ordered on the XRP Ledger. Any node can be a validator, but for practical purposes, the XRP Ledger depends on a list of trusted validators known as the Unique Node List or “UNL.” Validators are entities (which can be individuals, institutions, or other organizations) that run nodes to participate in the consensus process. These validators ensure the integrity and accuracy of the ledger. Each node in the network maintains a Unique Node List — a list of other validators that the node trusts to reliably validate transactions. The XRP Ledger’s architecture means that different nodes may maintain different UNLs, but there needs to be some overlap in the UNLs for the consensus mechanism to work effectively. Similar to the Bitcoin network, anyone can join and start using the XRP Ledger; however, unlike the fully permissionless Bitcoin network, the XRP Ledger relies on a semi-permissioned model where validators must be included in trusted node lists to participate in consensus.

Each XRP Ledger address, or wallet, is associated with a unique “public key” and “private key” pair. To receive XRP, the XRP recipient must provide its public key to the party initiating the transfer. This activity is analogous to a recipient for a transaction in U.S. dollars providing a routing address in wire instructions to the payor so that cash may be wired to the recipient’s account. The payor approves the transfer to the address provided by the recipient by “signing” a transaction that consists of the recipient’s public key with the private key of the address from where the payor is transferring the XRP. The recipient, however, does not make public or provide to the sender its related private key.

The XRP Ledger (“XRPL”) has historically maintained high availability but has experienced a few notable disruptions. On February 4, 2025, the XRPL experienced an unexpected halt in block production for approximately 64 minutes, during which no new ledgers were validated, temporarily pausing all transactions. The root cause remains under investigation, but preliminary analysis suggests a validation issue that prevented consensus from being reached. On November 25, 2024, the XRPL faced a 10-minute disruption when several nodes crashed and restarted simultaneously, briefly halting transaction processing. The issue was traced to a caching-layer bug introduced in a prior software update, which was later addressed by the RippleX team through a recommended upgrade to the latest Rippled version. Despite these incidents, the XRPL has generally been reliable, with no asset losses occurring, and built-in safety protocols ensured eventual network recovery.

Binance Coin (“BNB”)

BNB is a digital asset originally issued by Binance and transmitted through the BNB Chain, a network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols based on open-source code, the infrastructure of which is understood to be collectively maintained by a global user base. The BNB Chain allows people to exchange tokens of value, called BNB, which are recorded on a public transaction ledger known as a blockchain. BNB can be used to pay for goods and services, including computational power on the BNB Chain, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, at rates determined on digital asset trading platforms or in individual end-user-to-end-user transactions under a barter system. Furthermore, the BNB Chain was designed to allow users to write and implement smart contracts—that is, general-purpose code that executes on every computer in the network and can instruct the transmission of information and value based on a sophisticated set of logical conditions. Using smart contracts, users can create markets, store registries of debts or promises, represent the ownership of property, move funds in accordance with conditional instructions and create digital assets other than BNB on the BNB Chain. Smart contract operations are executed on the BNB Chain in exchange for payment of BNB. Like the Ethereum network, the BNB Chain is one of a number of projects intended to expand blockchain use beyond just a peer-to-peer money system.

BNB Chain is a blockchain and smart contract network for permissionless applications. The BNB Chain is an open-source protocol that enables users to deploy smart contracts to support their blockchain projects. The BNB Chain was created by Binance, a cryptocurrency exchange, in 2017. The BNB Chain is composed of three blockchains, BNB Smart Chain, opBNB and BNB Greenfield, which allow the network to create and trade assets such as BNB, coordinate transaction validators and facilitate the creation of smart contracts. Each chain serves a different purpose. a BNB Smart Chain is a Layer 1 blockchain used to enable the development of user-generated permissionless applications (“Dapps”), including in the decentralized finance (“DeFi”) space. opBNB is used as a Layer 2 scaling solution for BNB Smart Chain. BNB Greenfield is used as a blockchain storage solution. The BNB Chain is one of the competitors of Ethereum. BNB Chain is powered by the proof-of-staked-authority consensus protocol, which combines delegated proof of stake (“DPoS”) and proof-of-authority (“PoA”) algorithms.

BNB is the native token of the BNB Chain and serves as the base currency for transactions, smart contract interactions and deployment, as a governance token on BNB Chain that allows token holders to participate in the governance of the network, and can currently be used to obtain discounts on trading fees on Binance. BNB can be staked to help secure the network and earn staking rewards. BNB has a capped supply of 200 million and is used as fee payment, for staking in BNB Chain's consensus process and for on-chain voting. BNB holders may become transaction validators if they stake a minimum number of BNB (although the number of validators at any one time is limited) or can delegate their coins to an already existing validator.

Solana (“SOL”)

SOL is a digital asset originally issued by Solana Labs and transmitted through the peer-to-peer Solana Network, a decentralized network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols. No single entity owns or operates the Solana Network, the infrastructure of which is collectively maintained by a decentralized user base. The Solana Network allows people to exchange tokens of value, called SOL, which are recorded on a public transaction ledger known as a blockchain. SOL can be used to pay for goods and services, including computational power on the Solana Network, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, at rates determined on.

Digital Asset Exchanges or in individual end-user-to-end-user transactions under a barter system. Furthermore, the Solana Network was designed to allow users to write and implement smart contracts — that is, general-purpose code that executes on every computer in the network and can instruct the transmission of information and value based on a sophisticated set of logical conditions. Using smart contracts, users can create markets, store registries of debts or promises, represent the ownership of property, move funds in accordance with conditional instructions and create digital assets other than SOL on the Solana Network. Smart contract operations are executed on the Solana Blockchain in exchange for payment of SOL. Like the Ethereum network, the Solana Network is one of a number of projects intended to expand blockchain use beyond just a peer-to-peer money system.

The Solana Protocol introduced the Proof-of-History (“PoH”) timestamping mechanism. PoH automatically orders on-chain transactions by creating a historical record that proves an event has occurred at a specific moment in time. PoH is intended to provide a transaction processing speed and capacity advantage over other blockchain networks like Bitcoin and Ethereum, which rely on sequential production of blocks and can lead to delays caused by validator confirmations.

In addition to the PoH mechanism described above, the Solana Network uses a proof-of-stake consensus mechanism to incentivize SOL holders to validate transactions. Unlike proof-of-work, in which miners expend computational resources to compete to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of computational resources expended, in proof-of-stake, validators risk or “stake” coins to compete to be randomly selected to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of coins staked. Any malicious activity, such as disagreeing with the eventual consensus or otherwise violating protocol rules, results in the forfeiture or “slashing” of a portion of the staked coins. Proof-of-stake is viewed as more energy efficient and scalable than proof-of-work and is sometimes referred to as “virtual mining”.

The Solana Protocol was first conceived by Anatoly Yakovenko in a 2017 whitepaper. Development of the Solana Network is overseen by the Solana Foundation, a Swiss non-profit organization, and Solana Labs, Inc. (the “Company”), a Delaware corporation, which administered the original network launch and token distribution.

Although the Company and the Solana Foundation continue to exert significant influence over the direction of the development of SOL, the Solana Network is decentralized and does not require governmental authorities or financial institution intermediaries to create, transmit or determine the value of SOL.

The price of SOL has historically shown a correlation with meme coin activity on its blockchain. While not entirely dependent, meme coin trends have significantly influenced SOL’s price movements in recent times. During the meme coin frenzy in early 2025, SOL hit an all-time high of \$294, with over \$50 billion in trading volume over a single weekend. Surges in meme coin activity have led to increased network usage, as SOL is used to pay fees for transactions involving other tokens on the Solana blockchain. In February 2025, after reaching a peak of \$261 in January, SOL experienced a 60% price drop as the meme coin hype cooled down. However, it’s important to note that while meme coins seem to have had a significant impact of the value of SOL, other factors also influence SOL’s price, such as overall market conditions, technological developments, and regulatory changes. As well, the long-term sustainability of this relationship between meme coins and SOL’s price remains uncertain.

As of early 2025, approximately 490 million SOL tokens are in circulation, with a total supply of around 594 million SOL. SOL has no fixed maximum supply, meaning it operates on an inflationary model. Initially, the network launched with 500 million tokens, but this total has increased over time due to inflation mechanisms and staking rewards. The inflation rate started at 8% annually. It decreases by 15% each year until it stabilizes at a long-term rate of 1.5% per year. This inflationary design ensures that new tokens are continuously issued, primarily as rewards for validators and stakers, while some tokens are burned through transaction fees to offset supply growth.

Dogecoin (“DOGE”)

Dogecoin is a digital asset that is mined and transmitted via the peer-to-peer Dogecoin Network, a decentralized network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols. The Dogecoin Network allows people to exchange tokens of value, called Dogecoin or “DOGE.”

Transactions of Dogecoin are processed by a distributed network of computers called “miners.” Miners are rewarded with Dogecoin for their efforts. No single entity owns or operates the Dogecoin Network or manages the Dogecoin Blockchain, a secure digital ledger where all transactions of Dogecoin are recorded; instead, the infrastructure is collectively maintained by a decentralized user base.

Dogecoin can be used to pay for goods and services, including to send a transaction on the Dogecoin Network, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar. The Dogecoin Network is based on a shared public ledger, the Dogecoin Blockchain, similar to the Bitcoin network. However, the Dogecoin Network differentiates itself from many other digital asset networks in that its stated primary function is as an open-source peer-to-peer digital currency. Dogecoin may be used, among other purposes, for tipping, donations, and online purchases.

Unlike a centralized system, no single entity controls the Dogecoin Network. Instead, a network of independent nodes validates transactions and reaches consensus using the proof-of-work mechanism employed by the Dogecoin Blockchain. This system ensures network security by requiring computational power from miners. The Dogecoin Blockchain was originally created as a fork of the Litecoin Blockchain but was subsequently refactored to operate from a technical perspective in a manner similar to the Bitcoin Blockchain. Unlike the Bitcoin Blockchain, however, which settles a block of transactions roughly every 10 minutes, the Dogecoin Blockchain settles a block of transactions roughly every 1 minute. This makes the Dogecoin Blockchain suitable for transactions that need faster confirmation times. The Dogecoin Blockchain can also settle more transactions per second than the Bitcoin Blockchain. Notably, however, significantly less computing power is directed to maintaining the Dogecoin Blockchain as compared to the Bitcoin Blockchain, which may make the Dogecoin Blockchain less secure than the Bitcoin Blockchain.

Transactions on the Dogecoin Blockchain are validated by a decentralized network of miners using a proof-of-work consensus mechanism. These miners compete to solve complex cryptographic puzzles, and the first to solve a puzzle adds a new block to the blockchain. Unlike systems that rely on trusted validators, Dogecoin’s network relies on computational power to ensure that transactions are valid and correctly ordered on the ledger. Miners are entities (which can be individuals, mining pools, or organizations) that dedicate computing resources to secure the network and validate transactions. The integrity and accuracy of the Dogecoin blockchain are maintained by this decentralized process. Each node in the network independently verifies transactions and blocks to ensure they follow the protocol’s rules. The decentralized architecture of Dogecoin eliminates the need for trusted lists, as consensus is achieved through the proof-of-work system, ensuring a trustless and secure network.

Dogecoin was initially developed in 2013 by the software developers Billy Markus and Jackson Palmer, who created the Dogecoin Blockchain and launched the Dogecoin Network as a way of making fun of Bitcoin and other digital assets, which they believed were being taken too seriously. Dogecoin was designed as a “fun and friendly internet currency,” and adopted the image of a Shiba Inu dog as its logo. Despite, or perhaps because of, its satirical origins, Dogecoin gained rapid interest and adoption in online communities, and rapidly became one of the larger digital assets when measured by market capitalization. Users soon began using Dogecoin for certain financial transactions, including tipping, trading, and donations. Dogecoin is often referred to as the first “meme coin,” which refers to digital assets that are inspired by internet memes or trends.

At the time of its launch in 2013, Dogecoin’s blockchain had no pre-mined supply. Instead, new Dogecoins are continuously created as miners validate transactions and secure the network. Dogecoin’s issuance follows an inflationary model, with no fixed supply cap. Initially, the reward for mining a block was randomized, but in 2014 it was fixed at 10,000 Dogecoins per block. This ongoing issuance ensures a consistent supply of Dogecoins to reward miners and maintain network security. Dogecoin’s supply is entirely determined by its blockchain protocol and mining process, without any controlling organization managing reserves or distributing coins.

Dogecoin is a decentralized digital asset that originated as a satirical take on Bitcoin but has since evolved into a widely recognized memecoin. Despite its popularity, Dogecoin faces a number of structural, regulatory, and market-related risks that may adversely affect its long-term viability.

Dogecoin’s price has historically exhibited extreme volatility, often driven by speculative interest, social media influence, and celebrity endorsements. These factors have contributed to rapid price appreciation followed by steep drawdowns, a pattern that has repeated multiple times throughout Dogecoin’s history. The asset’s momentum-driven valuation model makes it particularly

susceptible to shifts in investor sentiment, which may result in significant price fluctuations and undermine its utility as a medium of exchange.

The Dogecoin network operates on a proof-of-work consensus mechanism and supports merged mining with Litecoin. While this provides some security benefits, it also introduces dependencies and potential conflicts of interest. A decline in miner participation or a shift in incentives could reduce network security and increase the risk of malicious activity, including 51% attacks or double-spending. Additionally, the network's unlimited supply model may exert long-term inflationary pressure on the asset's value, especially in the absence of sustained demand growth.

Dogecoin's governance is informal and decentralized, relying on voluntary consensus among developers and community members. This structure has led to inconsistent development activity and limited protocol upgrades. The absence of a formal roadmap or funding mechanism may hinder Dogecoin's ability to adapt to evolving market conditions or technological challenges. Furthermore, the network's reliance on a small group of contributors increases the risk of centralization and governance capture.

The regulatory landscape for digital assets remains uncertain, and Dogecoin may be subject to increased scrutiny from U.S. and international authorities. A determination that Dogecoin constitutes a security under federal law could result in enforcement actions, trading restrictions, or delistings from major platforms. Such outcomes would likely impair liquidity and reduce investor access, negatively impacting the asset's market value.

Dogecoin's market infrastructure is largely dependent on unregulated or lightly regulated digital asset exchanges. These platforms may be vulnerable to fraud, manipulation, cybersecurity breaches, or operational failures. Past incidents involving major exchanges have led to significant losses and market disruptions. The lack of transparency and oversight in these venues may undermine investor confidence and contribute to price volatility.

The asset's memecoin status introduces additional risks. Dogecoin's value is often influenced by viral trends, celebrity endorsements, and online communities, which may not be sustainable over time. While such attention can drive short-term demand, it may also expose the asset to reputational risks and regulatory scrutiny. Negative associations with public figures or government entities could diminish Dogecoin's appeal and reduce its adoption.

Dogecoin's utility as a payment method remains limited. Although it is accepted by some merchants and used for tipping and donations, its adoption in retail and commercial contexts is minimal. The asset's high volatility, lack of scalability, and limited developer ecosystem constrain its competitiveness relative to other digital assets and payment technologies.

The Dogecoin blockchain is also exposed to technical risks, including software bugs, network congestion, and protocol vulnerabilities. Any disruption in transaction processing or consensus could impair the network's functionality and erode user trust. Additionally, the irreversible nature of blockchain transactions means that errors or thefts involving private keys may result in permanent loss of funds.

Forks and clones of the Dogecoin protocol may further fragment the ecosystem and dilute user engagement. A hard fork could lead to competing versions of the network, each with its own token and governance structure. Such events may confuse users, disrupt trading activity, and reduce the value of both chains. Clones of Dogecoin may also compete for market share, developer attention, and community support.

In summary, Dogecoin's long-term prospects are subject to a range of risks, including market volatility, governance challenges, regulatory uncertainty, and technological limitations. While the asset has achieved significant cultural recognition, its sustainability as a digital currency remains uncertain. These factors may adversely affect the value of Dogecoin.

Cardano ("ADA")

ADA is a digital asset that is created and transmitted through the operations of the peer-to-peer Cardano network, a decentralized network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols. The Cardano network allows people to exchange tokens of value, called ADA, which are recorded on a public transaction ledger known as a blockchain. ADA can be used to pay for goods and services, including computational power on the Cardano network, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, at rates determined on Digital Asset Exchanges or in individual end-user-to-end-user transactions under a barter system.

The Cardano network was designed to allow users to write and implement smart contracts—that is, general-purpose code that executes on every computer in the network and can instruct the transmission of information and value based on a sophisticated set of logical conditions. Using smart contracts, users can create markets, store registries of debts or promises, represent the ownership of property, move funds in accordance with conditional instructions and create digital assets other than ADA on the Cardano network. When operational, smart contract operations are executed on the Cardano blockchain in exchange for payment of ADA. Like the Ethereum network, the Cardano network is one of a number of projects intended to expand blockchain use beyond just a peer-to-peer money system.

Cardano was founded by Charles Hoskinson, an early contributor of the Ethereum Network, as a proof-of-stake alternative to blockchains relying on proof-of-work. The proof-of-stake consensus mechanism is intended to provide lower energy consumption in the validation of the network and potentially faster transaction times. The Cardano Foundation, a Swiss non-profit organization that administered the original network launch and token distribution, contributes to the development of the Cardano network. The Cardano

Foundation has contracted Input-Output Global (“IOHK”), a company founded by Hoskinson, to continue building and maintaining the Cardano network, as well as Emurgo Pte. Ltd. (“Emurgo”), a for-profit company responsible for building partnerships with developers of the Cardano Network. Additionally, twenty percent of Cardano transaction fees are currently allocated to Cardano’s treasury (the “Cardano Treasury”) (with the rest of the fees being used for staking rewards). The Cardano Treasury allocates resources to develop the Cardano network and create new applications in the Cardano ecosystem.

IOHK has also partnered with several entities to apply Cardano products and ADA to real-world use cases, at various stages of development and maturity. For example, IOHK announced partnerships and launched projects with:

- The Ethiopian Ministry of Science and Technology (2018);
- The Georgia Ministry of Education (2019);
- The Ethiopian Ministry of Education (2021) to use Cardano’s technology for agricultural and student educational applications, respectively;
- Dish Network (2021) to provide digital identity services to Dish customers; and
- Samsung, as part of the Cardano-based project Veritree to track and verify tree plantings and reforestation efforts.

Unlike other digital assets such as Bitcoin, which are solely created through a progressive mining process, 31.11 million ADA were created in connection with the launch of the Cardano Network in a series of public token sales that occurred between September 2015 and January 2017 to non-U.S. citizens.

In total, the Cardano Foundation, Emurgo and IOHK received 16.67% of the initial ADA distributed, and the public received 83.33% of the initial ADA distributed. Following these distributions, the remaining 13.89 billion ADA tokens are scheduled to be issued over time, in the form of staking rewards.

The Cardano Network is structured to allow a maximum of 45 billion ADA to be created. ADA is mined over time with the creation of each new block. The supply of new ADA is mathematically controlled so that the number of ADA grows at a limited rate pursuant to a pre-set schedule. This deliberately controlled rate of ADA creation means that the number of ADA in existence will increase at a controlled rate until the number of ADA in existence reaches 45 billion ADA. As of March 2025, approximately 35 billion ADA were outstanding, which are scheduled to be issued over time, in the form of staking rewards.

ADA is a relatively new investment, and the continued adoption of ADA will require growth in its usage as a means of payment. Even if growth in ADA adoption continues in the near or medium-term, there is no assurance that ADA usage will continue to grow over the long-term. A contraction in the use of ADA may result in a lack of liquidity and increased volatility in and a reduction in the price of ADA. Due to its peer-based approach, Cardano has implemented key features more slowly than its competitors. For instance, alternative smart contract platforms like Solana and Avalanche have achieved general usage and adoption earlier than Cardano, despite the fact that they launched after Cardano.

The regulation of cryptocurrencies, digital assets, and related investments in the U.S. is in its nascent stages, and the nature and extent of the regulatory framework to be implemented is not yet clear. Federal and state, as well as foreign, governments may restrict the use and exchange of a crypto asset, such as ADA. Depending on its characteristics, a digital asset, including ADA, may be considered a “security” under U.S. federal and/or state securities laws. The test for determining whether a particular digital asset is a “security” is complex and difficult to apply, and the outcome is difficult to predict. Any enforcement action by the SEC or a state securities regulator asserting that ADA is a security, or a court decision to that effect, would be expected to have an immediate material adverse impact on the trading price of ADA, as well as a Fund’s shares, because the business model behind ADA is incompatible with regulations applying to transactions in securities.

Hyperliquid (“HYPE”)

Hyperliquid is a decentralized exchange built on its own high-performance Layer 1 blockchain. The platform specializes in perpetual futures trading, allowing users to speculate on crypto prices without holding the underlying assets. Designed for speed and efficiency, Hyperliquid provides a seamless trading experience for users of all levels. In addition to perpetual contracts, the exchange also supports spot, futures, and margin trading with leverage of up to 50x.

Hyperliquid operates on its own Layer-1 blockchain using a custom HyperBFT consensus mechanism. This immaturity creates a heightened risk of consensus failures or other technical issues that could cause network downtime, potentially freezing user assets during critical trading periods.

One of the most alarming risks for Hyperliquid is its extreme validator centralization. The network currently operates with only 16 validators - and at one point relied on just four for network security. This creates a clear single point of failure - if attackers succeed in compromising or colluding with a majority of validators, they could manipulate the state of the chain or potentially steal billions in user funds.

Beyond technical security, this centralization also concentrates governance power. Validator onboarding is not permissionless - the validator set is controlled by the core team, which limits transparency and decentralization. This means protocol changes, censorship decisions, or emergency interventions (such as oracle overrides) remain in the hands of a small group.

The Hyper Foundation has acknowledged these concerns and pledged to expand the validator set as the network scales - but until those changes are implemented and verifiably decentralized, the current validator structure remains a significant vulnerability. Compared to more mature chains like Ethereum or Cosmos-based networks, Hyperliquid still lacks the redundancy, resilience, and openness expected of decentralized infrastructure.

Hyperliquid relies on validator-maintained price oracles to update market data for its perpetual contracts every few seconds. But as the JELLYJELLY incident in March 2025 showed, this system is vulnerable to manipulation - especially for low-liquidity assets. In that case, a trader used external DEX trades to spike the price of JELLYJELLY, which fed into the oracle and triggered a liquidation cascade. Hyperliquid's own liquidation engine effectively inherited a toxic short, exposing the protocol to unrealized losses estimated at up to \$20 million. While the validators stepped in to manually override the oracle price and avoid a finalized loss - ultimately resulting in a small profit - the incident underscored how fragile the system can be in edge-case scenarios and how reliant it still is on centralized intervention.

Hyperliquid currently allows users to register with just an email and no KYC, despite offering high-leverage perpetual swaps. This lax approach has attracted regulatory attention. In China, authorities have uncovered multiple money laundering schemes involving 100x+ leveraged trades and deliberate losses on Hyperliquid to disguise illicit gains as legitimate profits elsewhere. At least three such operations have been dismantled since March 2025. These incidents underscore major AML vulnerabilities and have prompted calls for tighter compliance. Regulators may begin treating Hyperliquid like Tornado Cash or unlicensed offshore exchanges, increasing the risk of sanctions, fines, geoblocking, or legal action against its developers.

Hyperliquid's model depends on the Hyperliquidity Provider ("HLP") vault - a protocol-managed pool that acts as the counterparty to trades and manages liquidations. Users can deposit into the HLP for yield, effectively taking the other side of leveraged traders. This setup exposes the vault to systemic risk - if traders post outsized gains, the vault can absorb significant losses. The JELLYJELLY incident in March 2025 put this risk on full display. A trader manipulated the price of a low-liquidity token across external DEXs, feeding that price into Hyperliquid's validator-run oracle. This triggered a short squeeze, forcing the HLP to inherit a toxic position and resulting in unrealized losses of up to \$20 million. While validators manually intervened by overriding the oracle price and delisting the asset - ultimately avoiding finalized losses and securing a small profit - the situation underscored how vulnerable the system remains to manipulation and how reliant it is on centralized decision-making during stress events.

Hyperliquid has since tightened risk controls - reducing vault exposure, lowering leverage limits, and introducing dynamic open interest caps - but the core issues haven't gone away. There's still a real moral hazard: traders may assume future losses will be bailed out, and if the HLP ever faces losses beyond what the foundation can (or is willing to) cover, user trust and platform liquidity could evaporate. The HLP remains both a yield mechanism and a systemic risk - one that could be destabilized by sharp market moves or targeted attacks.

HYPE, Hyperliquid's native token, is not listed on any major centralized exchange and remains largely illiquid outside of the Hyperliquid platform itself. Over 59% of HYPE's trading volume occurs on the Hyperliquid DEX, creating a closed-loop liquidity environment. This concentration introduces several risks:

- **Price Discovery Vulnerability** – With limited external markets, HYPE's price may be easier to manipulate and less reflective of broader investor sentiment.
- **Exit Liquidity Risk** – In times of stress or if trust in the platform erodes, users may struggle to exit positions or convert HYPE into stable assets due to low liquidity elsewhere.
- **Censorship/Access Risk** – If access to the Hyperliquid platform is restricted (*e.g.*, via regulatory pressure or geoblocking), users may lose the primary venue for trading or liquidating HYPE holdings.

Until HYPE achieves broader listings and deeper off-platform liquidity, it remains exposed to platform risk and fragmented market access.

Sui ("SUI")

SUI is the native, proof-of-stake cryptographic token of SUI Network, a permissionless and decentralized blockchain network and development platform. The SUI token serves multiple functions within the SUI Network, including securing the network through staking, enabling governance participation and facilitating the payment of transaction fees.

The SUI Network is a Layer 1 blockchain network launched in 2023 that utilizes a delegated proof-of-stake consensus mechanism and the Move programming language to support scalable smart contract execution. While SUI aims to offer high throughput and low-latency transaction finality, the network faces a number of structural, technical, and market-related risks that may adversely affect its long-term viability and the value of assets tied to its performance.

Since inception, the price of SUI has exhibited extreme volatility, with significant drawdowns and sharp rallies. For example, SUI reached an all-time low of \$0.3643 in October 2023 and an all-time high of \$5.35 in January 2025, before settling at \$2.21 as of March 2025. SUI remains exposed to similar systemic shocks, and future volatility could materially impair its market value.

SUI's network architecture introduces additional risks. The protocol's reliance on validator consensus means that if a malicious actor were to control more than 33% of staked SUI, they could delay transaction finality; with over 66%, they could potentially rewrite transaction history or censor activity. Although no such attack has occurred to date, the concentration of SUI among early contributors and ecosystem reserves increases the theoretical risk of validator collusion or governance capture.

The SUI Network's governance is decentralized in theory but lacks formalized processes for protocol upgrades or dispute resolution. Like other open-source blockchain projects, SUI depends on voluntary coordination among developers, validators, and users. This structure can hinder responsiveness to technical challenges or security vulnerabilities. In the absence of a centralized authority, disagreements over protocol direction could lead to contentious forks or fragmentation of the community. Any such split could dilute developer resources, confuse users, and depress the value of SUI.

SUI's validator incentives are tied to staking rewards and transaction fees. However, the network's fee-burning mechanism reduces the portion of fees available to validators, potentially weakening long-term economic sustainability. If validator rewards fail to offset operational costs or slashing penalties, participation may decline, reducing network security and increasing the risk of attack. Additionally, the bonding and unbonding periods required for staking limit liquidity and may deter institutional engagement.

Smart contracts on SUI are written in Move, a language designed to minimize vulnerabilities. Nonetheless, smart contract exploits remain a persistent risk across all blockchain platforms. SUI's smart contracts may also be governed by "admin keys" or privileged users, creating potential vectors for abuse or mismanagement. If a critical contract is compromised, user funds could be lost, and confidence in the network could erode.

SUI's utility is closely tied to DeFi and token issuance use cases. These sectors are inherently cyclical and speculative, and demand for SUI may fluctuate accordingly. SUI's use in retail or commercial payments remains minimal, and its long-term value proposition is unproven. If user interest shifts toward more established or feature-rich platforms, SUI may struggle to maintain relevance.

The network's scalability is also contingent on the performance of cross-chain communication protocols. Delays in transaction finality on source or destination chains can create bottlenecks, undermining the user experience. While SUI aims to offer high throughput, it competes with other high-performance, which may offer superior developer ecosystems or broader integrations.

Regulatory uncertainty presents another material risk. Governments and regulators globally are increasing scrutiny of digital assets, particularly those with privacy features or decentralized governance. If SUI is deemed to facilitate illicit activity or fails to comply with evolving legal standards, exchanges may delist the token, or users may be restricted from accessing the network. Additionally, banks may refuse to service businesses that interact with SUI, further limiting its utility and adoption.

The potential for forks or clones of the SUI protocol introduces further complexity. A hard fork could result in two competing versions of the network, each with its own token, user base, and validator set. This could confuse users, fragment liquidity, and reduce the value of both chains. Clones of the SUI codebase may also emerge, creating competing ecosystems that dilute developer attention and user engagement.

Finally, SUI's proof-of-stake consensus model is relatively new and untested at scale compared to Bitcoin's proof-of-work system. While it offers energy efficiency and faster finality, it may harbor undiscovered vulnerabilities or incentive misalignments. If the network fails to scale securely or suffers a major technical failure, the value of SUI could decline sharply.

In summary, while SUI presents a novel approach to scalable smart contract execution, it faces significant risks related to market volatility, validator centralization, governance fragmentation, smart contract security, regulatory exposure, and competitive pressure. These factors may adversely affect the long-term viability of the network and the value of SUI.

Bitcoin Cash ("BCH")

Bitcoin Cash is a fork from Bitcoin. Between 2015 and 2017, the developers who supported the Bitcoin network coalesced around two different views on how to make Bitcoin handle a higher number of transactions per second. This led to a contentious discussion that eventually culminated in the hard fork that generated Bitcoin Cash in August 2017. At the core of the dispute was an idea, embraced by the group of developers that ultimately forked to create Bitcoin Cash, that the best way to increase the throughput of the network was to increase the size of the blocks of transactions that were processed at one time so that they could fit more transactions.

In November 2018, Bitcoin Cash split again into two factions, again due to disagreements on which use cases to prioritize in the network. The majority group is the one currently associated with BCH, while the minority group is currently associated with Bitcoin SV (BSV), which currently is not a member of a Fund's underlying index (each, an "Index").

Bitcoin Cash has historically suffered from resilience issues that highlight vulnerabilities in its network infrastructure. The blockchain has previously faced 51% attack concerns due to its relatively low hash rate compared to Bitcoin, making it more susceptible to malicious reorgs or chain takeovers by coordinated mining pools. This lower mining participation compromises the security guarantees typically associated with proof-of-work systems, especially during times of miner migration or sudden hashrate volatility.

Additionally, software bugs and implementation discrepancies such as the 2018 consensus bug that temporarily split the chain have raised concerns about the robustness of BCH's development and testing practices. The lack of diversity in full node implementations, with Bitcoin ABC historically dominating the ecosystem, exacerbates the potential for systemic risk if bugs are introduced in widely used codebases. Such incidents undermine user trust and raise questions about BCH's reliability as a secure, censorship-resistant payments layer.

Bitcoin Cash has experienced significant internal governance strife, leading to repeated hard forks and ideological splits. Most notably, the 2020 split from Bitcoin ABC, driven by disagreements over funding mechanisms and protocol direction, fractured the community and developer ecosystem. These schisms have not only diluted the brand and technical momentum of BCH but also eroded cohesion among core contributors and stakeholders.

Unlike Bitcoin, which benefits from a broad and relatively stable consensus on development priorities, BCH governance remains vulnerable to conflicts among influential developers and mining groups. The absence of a clear, decentralized governance process paired with a history of contentious hard forks creates uncertainty around long-term roadmap decisions and may deter institutional or developer engagement.

BCH's hash rate remains significantly lower than Bitcoin's, limiting its ability to compete on security and miner incentives. This opens the network to block propagation delays, orphaned blocks, and vulnerability to selfish mining tactics. The reliance on a smaller pool of miners also heightens the risk of centralization, especially if dominant players exert disproportionate influence on block production and consensus decisions.

Moreover, BCH competes for the same SHA-256 miners as Bitcoin, yet offers fewer rewards and lower transaction fee revenue. This economic disadvantage could lead to further miner attrition in times of market stress or if Bitcoin's price action attracts more hash power, weakening BCH's base layer security over time.

Like many public cryptocurrencies, Bitcoin Cash is subject to the increasingly complex global regulatory landscape. Its positioning as a "peer-to-peer electronic cash system" could attract scrutiny from jurisdictions wary of anonymous or decentralized payment systems. Any future regulatory measures targeting non-custodial wallets, merchant adoption, or mining operations could impede BCH's usability and accessibility.

Furthermore, institutional adoption of BCH has lagged significantly behind that of Bitcoin and other top-tier assets. Major platforms often prioritize Bitcoin, Ethereum, or stablecoins for integrations, leaving BCH with limited visibility in key financial infrastructure layers. This weakens network effects and may restrict future growth, especially as new users gravitate toward better-supported or more innovative alternatives.

While BCH continues to promote low-fee, fast transactions, its value proposition is increasingly challenged by newer blockchains and Layer 2 technologies. Competitors such as Solana, Sui, and Lightning Network on Bitcoin offer superior scalability, faster settlement times, and richer application ecosystems. BCH's limited smart contract capabilities and relatively static innovation pace hinder its competitiveness in this evolving landscape.

In addition, without a compelling developer ecosystem or clear product-market fit beyond its original "Bitcoin as cash" vision, Bitcoin Cash risks marginalization in a crowded field of payment-focused protocols. If user interest shifts toward multi-functional platforms or more dynamic ecosystems, BCH may struggle to maintain relevance.

Bitcoin Cash's economic model is closely tied to block rewards and modest transaction fees, creating sustainability questions as block subsidies decline over time. Given its low transaction volume relative to Bitcoin, it is unclear whether fee markets alone can sufficiently support miner incentives in the long run.

Moreover, BCH lacks any formalized treasury or community fund for ecosystem growth especially after disputes over developer funding models contributed to its split from Bitcoin ABC. Without sustained investment into protocol development, education, or business integrations, the BCH ecosystem may stagnate, hindering long-term value creation and network health.

Bitcoin Cash was launched to fulfill the original vision of Bitcoin as peer-to-peer digital cash, but real-world adoption has remained minimal. Despite offering low fees and fast settlement, BCH sees limited usage in actual commerce, with most on-chain activity tied to speculation or internal transfers. It's supported by only a small number of merchants and payment processors, and in regions where crypto payments are growing, stablecoins and BTC continue to lead. Without broader acceptance or a compelling reason for users to adopt BCH over other alternatives, its core use case is increasingly difficult to justify

Chainlink ("LINK")

Chainlink is a network that connects smart contracts with real world data.

Blockchain networks are unaware of what happens outside of those networks, and therefore whenever a blockchain application needs to interact with external data, it needs a reliable data source to do so. These data sources are known in the industry as "oracles." Relying on one oracle creates a single point of failure, and Chainlink aims to solve this issue by providing a decentralized network of multiple oracles that can evaluate the same data. The accuracy of this data can be important if this data is used to trigger activity on a smart contract or other blockchain application.

Chainlink provides price reference data feeds for decentralized finance (DeFi), and also allows users to create their own oracle networks. Larger enterprises can also use Chainlink to sell their data to smart contracts that need them to trigger a certain condition. Current use cases for Chainlink include stablecoins, decentralized lending and borrowing, and asset management.

A token sale which raised \$32 million was undertaken in September 2017 to kickstart the development of Chainlink. Of note: Chainlink does not have its own blockchain, but rather is a network that runs on top of Ethereum and is incentivized by its own token. Chainlink is built on the ERC677 standard, a more modern version of the popular ERC20 standard, the most used standard to issue assets on Ethereum.

Chainlink's most widely used price feeds remain governed by a 4-of-8 multi-signature wallet – largely controlled by the Chainlink core team. This architecture introduces a single point of control over key oracle functions - raising concerns about governance transparency, accountability, and resilience. Should the multisig be compromised, misused, or censored – either through internal collusion, external coercion, or a security breach – this could severely impact the integrity of the oracle network and the many protocols that depend on it for accurate price data. Moreover, it is largely known that a small number of Decentralized Oracle Networks (DONs) are responsible for delivering the majority of data feeds, meaning operational or security failures among just a few node clusters could have an outsized impact. Overreliance on a smaller, centralized group for critical infrastructure can undermine the trustless design that DeFi protocols aim to achieve.

Chainlink's governance structure further compounds this centralization risk. A small group with multisig control has the authority to upgrade smart contracts, change feed parameters, and add or remove node operators - all without any on-chain input from LINK holders. There is no token-based governance mechanism that allows the broader community to vote on critical protocol decisions. Changes such as signer rotations, feed migrations, or update frequency adjustments are typically made off-chain and behind closed doors, with minimal transparency. This concentration of decision-making power among a few actors introduces governance opacity and increases the likelihood of decisions being influenced by private interests rather than decentralized consensus.

As a foundational infrastructure layer for many DeFi protocols, Chainlink's security is paramount. However, its smart contracts and data aggregation mechanisms are not immune to risk. Malicious actors may exploit vulnerabilities in the Chainlink protocol itself or in how protocols integrate its oracles - especially in low-liquidity environments where price manipulation is easier. Additionally, reliance on off-chain data providers introduces the possibility of inaccurate or delayed data, which can cascade through lending markets, synthetic assets, and other systems reliant on real-time inputs. Oracle manipulation remains one of the most common vectors for protocol exploits, and even minor lapses in Chainlink's oracle performance can result in potential outsized damage.

Chainlink is currently the most widely integrated oracle network, but it operates in an increasingly competitive landscape. Alternative solutions - such as Wormhole, Pyth Network, and even native oracles built by blockchains like Solana and Avalanche - are gaining traction. These competitors often tout lower latency, alternative incentive models, or more transparent governance frameworks. If Chainlink fails to innovate or scale effectively, it may lose mindshare among developers and projects, especially those looking for more cost-effective or application-specific solutions. The emergence of cross-chain interoperability protocols also opens the door for application-layer oracle alternatives that could bypass Chainlink entirely.

The LINK token underpins Chainlink's security and economic model, and is used for payments to node operators and soon for staking collateral. However, LINK's long-term sustainability is still unproven. If demand for oracle services does not scale in line with token supply, or if staking rewards are insufficient to attract high-quality node operators, the network may face under-participation and degraded performance. Additionally, a lack of transparency around LINK's treasury management, token unlocks, and node operator economics could create downward pressure on the token price, disincentivizing participation and eroding network security. As of now, only around 66% of LINK's total supply is in circulation, raising concerns about future dilution from unlocks or treasury disbursements – especially if not aligned with value creation or network growth.

Chainlink's deep integration across the DeFi landscape is both a strength and a systemic risk. Dozens of protocols – spanning lending, stablecoins, derivatives, and yield aggregators – depend on Chainlink for accurate and timely data feeds. While this centrality has reinforced Chainlink's role as mission-critical infrastructure, it also creates a concentration risk: any malfunction, exploit, or governance dispute within Chainlink could ripple across the ecosystem, triggering widespread liquidations, loss of user funds, or protocol insolvencies. This dependency risk is exacerbated by the fact that many protocols do not have readily available alternatives or failover mechanisms in place. As a result, Chainlink has become a de facto “oracle monopoly” whose failure would constitute a systemic shock to DeFi.

Moreover, Chainlink's long-term growth prospects are heavily reliant on the continued expansion and success of DeFi. A significant portion of the demand for Chainlink's services - and therefore its token utility, node operator incentives, and future revenue streams - comes from decentralized finance applications. If DeFi adoption plateaus or declines due to regulatory pressure, security concerns, or shifting user behavior, the addressable market for Chainlink's core products could shrink substantially. This tight coupling between Chainlink and the broader DeFi ecosystem may expose the project to macro-level sector risks.

Avalanche (“AVAX”)

AVAX is the native digital asset of the Avalanche Network, a dispersed network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols based on open-source code. No single entity is known to own or operate the Avalanche Network on a day-to-day basis. The

infrastructure of the Avalanche Network is understood to be collectively maintained by a global user base. The Avalanche Network allows people to exchange tokens of value, called AVAX, which are recorded on a public transaction ledger known as a blockchain. AVAX can be used to pay for transaction fees and digital services, including computational power on the Avalanche Network, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, at rates determined on digital asset trading platforms or in individual end-user-to-end-user transactions under a barter system. Furthermore, the Avalanche Network was designed to allow users to write and implement smart contracts—that is, general-purpose code that executes on every computer in the network and can instruct the transmission of information and value based on a sophisticated set of logical conditions. Using smart contracts, users can create markets, store registries of debts or promises, represent the ownership of property, move funds in accordance with conditional instructions and create digital assets other than AVAX on the Avalanche Network. Smart contract operations are executed on the Avalanche blockchain in exchange for payment of AVAX. The Avalanche Network is one of a number of projects intended to expand blockchain use beyond just a peer-to-peer money system.

The AVAX token is the native token of the Avalanche network and serves as the base currency for transactions, smart contract interactions and deployment. The AVAX token also serves as a governance token that allows token holders to participate in the decentralized governance of the protocol. The AVAX token can be staked to help secure the network and earn staking rewards. AVAX has a capped supply of 720 million and is used as fee payment, for staking in Avalanche's consensus process and provides a basic unit of account between subnets created on the network. AVAX holders may become transaction validators if they stake a minimum number of coins or can delegate their coins to an already existing validator.

AVAX has experienced extreme volatility in its trading prices, which may continue in the future. The digital asset markets may still be experiencing a bubble or may experience one again in the future. Regulatory and enforcement scrutiny has increased, and it is not possible to predict all the risks they may pose to the Funds, its service providers, or the digital asset industry as a whole. Negative perception, lack of stability, and standardized regulation in the digital asset economy may reduce confidence and result in greater volatility in the price of AVAX and other digital assets.

The value of AVAX depends on the development and acceptance of the Avalanche network. Digital assets such as AVAX were only introduced within the past 15 years, and their medium-to-long-term value is subject to a number of factors over time. AVAX itself was launched only in 2020, and the Avalanche network and its associated blockchain ledger are in the early stages of development. The Avalanche network has a limited performance record, making it part of a new and rapidly evolving industry that is difficult to evaluate. Digital assets, including AVAX, are controllable only by the possessor of both the unique public key and private key relating to the Avalanche network address. The loss, theft, compromise, or destruction of a private key required to access a digital asset may be irreversible, resulting in the total loss of the value of the digital asset linked to the private key.

The Avalanche network is dependent upon the Internet, and a disruption of the Internet would affect the ability to transfer digital assets, including AVAX, and consequently their value. Governance of the Avalanche network is by voluntary consensus and open competition, which may stymie the network's utility and ability to grow and face challenges. The protocol is informally overseen by a collective of core developers who propose amendments to the network's source code. If a significant majority of users and validators adopt amendments based on the proposals of these core developers, the network would be subject to new protocols that may adversely affect the value of AVAX. Widespread delays in the recording of transactions could result in a loss of confidence in the digital asset network.

As the Avalanche network continues to develop and grow, certain technical issues might be uncovered, requiring the attention and efforts of Avalanche's global development community. Like all software, the Avalanche network is at risk of vulnerabilities and bugs that can potentially be exploited by malicious actors. Many digital asset networks, including the Avalanche network, face significant scaling challenges and are being upgraded with various features designed to increase the speed and volume of transactions. These attempts may not be effective, and such upgrades may fail, resulting in potentially irreparable damage to the network and the value of AVAX. The cryptography underlying the Avalanche network could prove to be flawed or ineffective, or developments in mathematics and technology could result in such cryptography becoming ineffective. A malicious actor may be able to compromise the security of the network.

The Avalanche network is still in the process of developing and making significant decisions that will affect policies governing the supply and issuance of AVAX. The open-source nature of the network means that developers and contributors are generally not directly compensated for their contributions, which may lead to a lack of financial incentive to maintain or develop the network. If the network does not successfully develop its policies, it could lead to a decline in adoption and price of AVAX. Software applications running on top of the Avalanche network, such as dApps and smart contracts, depend on being able to obtain AVAX to run their programs and operate their businesses. AVAX's price volatility or the network's inability to meet the demands of dApps and smart contracts may discourage developers from using the network, negatively affecting the value of AVAX.

Banks and other established financial institutions may refuse to process funds for AVAX transactions or maintain accounts for persons or entities transacting in AVAX, contributing to price volatility. AVAX transactions are typically not reversible without the consent and active participation of the recipient, and any incorrect transfer or theft of AVAX may not be reversible.

A temporary or permanent fork or clone of the Avalanche Blockchain could adversely affect the value of AVAX. Forks may occur as a response to a significant security breach or an unintentional software flaw. A hard fork can lead to new security concerns, such as

replay attacks, and may result in a decrease in the level of security. Protocols may also be cloned, resulting in a competing network with characteristics similar to the original network. A hard fork or clone may adversely affect the price of AVAX at the time of announcement or adoption. Shareholders may not receive the benefits of any forks or airdrops.

Any name change and associated rebranding initiative by the core developers of AVAX may not be favorably received by the digital asset community, negatively impacting the value of AVAX. The Avalanche Blockchain could be vulnerable to attacks on transaction finality and consensus processes, which could adversely affect an investment in the Funds. Validators exiting the network could make it more vulnerable to a malicious actor obtaining control, negatively affecting the price of AVAX and confidence in the network. Blockchain technologies are based on theoretical conjectures that may be incorrect or become incorrect due to technological advances, potentially causing markets that rely on blockchain technologies to collapse.

The price of AVAX has exhibited periods of extreme volatility. Smart contracts, including those relating to DeFi applications, are a new technology and their ongoing development and operation may result in problems, reducing demand for AVAX or causing a wider loss of confidence in the network. Validators may suffer losses due to staking, or staking may prove unattractive, making the network less attractive. Proof-of-stake blockchains are a relatively recent innovation and have not been subject to as widespread use or adoption as traditional proof-of-work blockchains. Operational costs may exceed the award for validating transactions, and increased transaction fees may adversely affect the usage of the network. The fee-burning mechanism of AVAX reduces incentives for validators to validate transactions with higher gas fees. An acute cessation of validator operations would reduce processing power on the network, adversely affecting transaction verification and confidence in the network.

Stellar Lumens (“XLM”)

Lumens are the native tokens of the Stellar network, a payment network which started as a fork from Ripple in 2014 but went on to develop its own code thereafter. Stellar was created in part by Jed McCaleb, a co-founder of Ripple and the founder of exchange Mt. Gox, which was sold in 2011 and later became the largest Bitcoin trading venue in the world, before filing for bankruptcy in 2014. Stellar also received a \$3 million seed investment from the fintech giant Stripe.

The total supply of Lumens started at 100 billion tokens with a one percent increase per year over the first five years. In October 2019, the community voted to end the inflation mechanism. In November 2019, the overall supply was cut in half. As a result, the current supply is 50 billion lumens, and the project states that no more tokens will be created.

The nonprofit Stellar Development Foundation was created in collaboration with Patrick Collison, CEO of fintech giant Stripe, to support the ecosystem development of the Stellar network both on the technical and business sides. The Stellar Development Foundation controls more than half of the total supply of Lumens.

Stellar is focused on financial inclusion and the unbanked population and has support for smart contracts. Some market participants, however, have raised concerns regarding the value capture of tokens solely focused on the payment use case, and have concerns about emerging competition in this space from central banks and large, established players.

Stellar’s Consensus Protocol (“SCP”) prioritizes safety and fault tolerance over liveness, which creates specific vulnerabilities. This design choice means that in certain failure scenarios, the network may stop confirming new transactions entirely rather than risk confirming invalid ones. While this protects against double-spend attacks, it can lead to network outages when consensus cannot be reached.

XLM also lacks mining or staking. Inflation was disabled in 2019, so there’s no ongoing issuance or validator rewards. Instead, validators - often SDF or affiliates - run nodes voluntarily, raising sustainability questions. Since Stellar’s SCP consensus doesn’t tie validation to token ownership, network security isn’t directly linked to XLM’s price - avoiding PoS centralization risks but also weakening the token’s economic anchoring. If price declines, it doesn’t threaten network uptime immediately but reduces SDF’s financial firepower, indirectly endangering long-term ecosystem growth.

Stellar’s economic model hinges on the growth of an ecosystem - anchors and businesses that drive real transaction volume. If viable business models don’t emerge, on-chain activity may stagnate, reducing demand for XLM. Competing payment-focused networks - like Solana, Ethereum’s L2s or even Ripple, threaten Stellar’s position. For instance, if Ripple secures more bank partnerships and regulatory clarity, it could dominate cross-border remittance use cases Stellar is targeting.

Stellar aims to further decentralize its validator set and reduce reliance on Stellar Development Foundation (“SDF”) infrastructure. While SDF has promoted third-party validators and claims no single entity can now halt the network, Stellar remains less decentralized than Bitcoin or Ethereum. Progress may stall if new, independent validators don’t join; especially since running a Stellar core node yields no direct monetary reward. Participation depends on indirect incentives, such as business integration or mission alignment (e.g. financial inclusion).

SDF could offer grants to attract validators, but that risks reinforcing centralization. Governance remains another concern: SDF has historically led major decisions (disabling inflation, burning tokens, launching smart-contracts Soroban). If future community disagreements arise over the protocol’s direction, such as between a conservative payments-focused group and a DeFi-leaning faction; then there’s potential for forks. While no serious splits have occurred to date, a divergence in vision could lead to competing Stellar networks, eroding trust and confusing partners.

Toncoin (“TON”)

TON’s rapid growth and adoption are deeply tied to its integration with Telegram – which functions as its primary distribution channel and on-ramp for users. Features like TON-based wallets, payments, and viral dApps such as Notcoin have gained traction largely because of their seamless placement within Telegram’s massive user base. While this integration provides TON with a unique go-to-market advantage, it also introduces significant platform risk. Telegram is facing increasing regulatory pressure globally – with governments such as France demanding access to user data or greater moderation of illicit content. Telegram’s resistance to such demands has led to threats of app store bans, fines, and broader restrictions. Should Telegram be forced to limit crypto functionality, delist TON integrations, or shift strategic direction – TON’s ecosystem could face a sharp decline in relevance and utility.

In a more severe scenario – such as Telegram being shut down or banned in key markets – TON would likely experience rapid network decay, erosion of user trust, and a significant decline in token value. Additionally, the legacy of Telegram’s 2020 SEC lawsuit over GRAM – its original token offering that was ultimately shut down – continues to raise concerns that regulators may revisit TON’s legal status due to its embedded role within a widely used messaging app. GRAM was Telegram’s original token offering – ultimately halted by the SEC – that aimed to power a native blockchain ecosystem directly tied to the messaging platform.

TON’s token distribution has faced criticism for its lack of transparency. The majority of TON supply was initially generated through an obscure proof-of-work "mining" process that functioned more as a time-gated airdrop than a traditional issuance model. This approach resulted in heavy concentration among early adopters and entities with significant technical resources. Additionally, there is limited visibility into allocations held by insiders, developers, and related entities – increasing the risk of future supply shocks or unanticipated token unlocks. As of now, only around 48% of the total token supply is in circulation - raising concerns about future inflation, insider-controlled reserves, and the long-term sustainability of TON’s tokenomics. On-chain data shows that multiple whale addresses collectively hold tens of millions – and in some cases, hundreds of millions of TON, despite the network’s fully diluted valuation exceeding ~\$15.5 billion. This high level of concentration further exacerbates dilution risk and could lead to price volatility or governance capture if large holders choose to exit or coordinate.

As a relatively new blockchain in terms of real-world adoption, TON faces the inherent risks associated with younger networks. Its validator set, consensus mechanism, and smart contract tooling have not been stress-tested to the same degree as more mature ecosystems like Ethereum or Solana. This lack of maturity may increase the likelihood of encountering critical bugs, performance bottlenecks, or vulnerabilities that have not yet been exposed through adversarial testing. Until TON demonstrates a longer track record of uptime, security, and developer activity, concerns around network reliability will persist - particularly for high-stakes financial applications.

TON enters a highly competitive landscape dominated by more mature smart contract platforms with robust ecosystems, developer mindshare, and institutional integrations. Ethereum, Solana, Sui, and others already offer performant infrastructure, extensive tooling, and deep liquidity - making it difficult for TON to compete without a compelling edge. While Telegram integration gives TON an initial boost, long-term sustainability will depend on whether it can foster a thriving ecosystem of developers, applications, and users beyond Telegram itself. If TON fails to differentiate on speed, cost, security, or ecosystem incentives, it may struggle to maintain relevance in an increasingly crowded L1 market.

Telegram is closely associated with Pavel Durov. While Pavel Durov’s involvement with Telegram has helped catalyze Toncoin’s growth and credibility, his close association also presents a reputational and operational risk. Durov is a high-profile and sometimes controversial figure due to his resistance to government oversight, past regulatory battles, and outspoken views on privacy and decentralization. If Durov becomes the target of legal or regulatory action, particularly in jurisdictions like the U.S. or EU, TON could suffer from indirect reputational damage. This risk was made clear in August 2024, when Durov was arrested and Toncoin’s price dropped from \$6.70 to \$4.66 - a 30.45% decline. The sharp market reaction highlights the network’s overreliance on a single individual and undermines its claims of decentralization. Should Durov exit, change direction, or lose influence within Telegram’s leadership, TON’s long-term stability and perception could be materially affected.

Litecoin (“LTC”)

Litecoin was launched in October 2011. Derived from Bitcoin’s original code, the main modifications to the Litecoin blockchain were a shorter time between blocks, (2.5 minutes versus 10 minutes for bitcoin), a larger total supply of coins (84 million versus 21 million for bitcoin), and a different mining hashing algorithm (scrypt versus Bitcoin’s SHA-256), which was developed to discourage large-scale mining. In general, Litecoin was designed to facilitate small payments in a faster and cheaper fashion compared to Bitcoin.

As one of the first crypto assets developed after Bitcoin, Litecoin has strong brand recognition in the crypto community.

Some market participants raise concerns regarding the value capture of tokens solely focused on the payment use case, and have concerns about emerging competition in this space from central banks and large, established players.

Despite its technical advantages, Litecoin has faced several challenges that impact its adoption and stability.

Litecoin’s network has experienced periods of low hash rate, making it vulnerable to 51% attacks. These attacks occur when a single entity gains control of more than half of the network's mining power, potentially allowing them to manipulate transactions and double-

spend coins. Any future attacks on the Litecoin Network could negatively impact the perception of the Litecoin Network and the value of Litecoin.

Governance of decentralized networks, such as the Litecoin Blockchain, is achieved through voluntary consensus and open competition. In other words, the Litecoin Blockchain has no central decision-making body or clear manner in which participants can come to an agreement other than through overwhelming consensus. The lack of clarity on governance may adversely affect LTC's utility and ability to grow and face challenges, both of which may require solutions and directed effort to overcome problems, especially long-term problems. The absence of a clear, decentralized governance process creates uncertainty around long-term roadmap decisions and may deter institutional or developer engagement.

Like many public cryptocurrencies, Litecoin is subject to the increasingly complex global regulatory landscape. The U.S. Congress and a number of U.S. federal and state agencies (including the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, the Office of Foreign Assets Control, the SEC, the CFTC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, the Department of Justice, the Department of Homeland Security, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the IRS, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve and state financial institution and securities regulators) have been examining the operations of digital asset networks, digital asset users and the digital asset markets, with particular focus on the extent to which digital assets can be used to launder the proceeds of illegal activities, evade sanctions or fund criminal or terrorist enterprises and the safety and soundness of trading platforms and other service providers that hold or custody digital assets for users. Many of these state and federal agencies have issued consumer advisories regarding the risks posed by digital assets to investors. Litecoin's positioning as a peer-to-peer decentralized network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols could attract scrutiny from jurisdictions wary of anonymous or decentralized payment systems.

Furthermore, LTC is a relatively new technological innovation with a limited history. There is no assurance that usage of the Litecoin Blockchain or LTC will continue to grow. Competition from the emergence or growth of alternative digital assets and smart contracts platforms, such as Ethereum, Solana, Avalanche or Cardano, could have a negative impact on the demand for, and price of, LTC. Litecoin's limited smart contract capabilities hinder its competitiveness in this evolving landscape. Many consortiums and financial institutions are also researching and investing resources into private or permissioned smart contracts platforms rather than open platforms like the Litecoin Blockchain.

Hedera Hashgraph ("HBAR")

The Hedera Network enables people to interact and transact online efficiently and securely without the need for third-party companies, which often collect and sell their users' personal information. The purpose of the Hedera Network is to provide a stable, trustworthy network for a wide variety of decentralized, enterprise-grade applications. Although the primary purpose of the Hedera Network is not to operate a payments system or store of value, like most public distributed ledger technology ("DLT") networks, the Hedera Network requires a cryptocurrency to properly operate and incentivize consensus and behavior on the network. The Hedera Network's native cryptocurrency is HBAR, which serves two vital purposes. First, it is used as a mechanism to secure the network against cyberattacks through the Hedera Network's distributed consensus process. Additionally, it provides the "fuel" that incentivizes and pays for the computing resources necessary to enable the Hedera Network.

The Hedera Network is built on the hashgraph distributed consensus algorithm, invented by Dr. Leemon Baird and subsequently patented by Swirlds, Inc. in 2016. Swirlds has granted to Hedera an exclusive non-transferable, perpetual right and license to using hashgraph technology for the limited and sole purpose of making the Hedera Network. The hashgraph data structure and consensus algorithm provides a novel platform for distributed consensus.

The Hedera Network is governed by the Hedera Governance Council ("Hedera Council"), a rotating group of global organizations that span across multiple industries and geographies. The primary responsibilities of Hedera Council members are to: (i) participate in the governance of the Hedera Network; and (ii) host and maintain a node on the Hedera Network. Hedera Council members contribute their expertise and experience in Hedera Council deliberations and decision-making relating to software updates, Hedera Treasury management, network pricing, regulatory compliance, and other key governance matters.

As of September 1, 2024, the Hedera Council represented the largest owner holding approximately 14,123,304,835 HBAR, or 28.25% of the total supply of HBAR, most in unreleased supply yet to be distributed and held in treasury. As of September 1, 2024, approximately 35,876,504,721 HBAR, or 71.75% of the total supply of HBAR was released and in circulation distributed across multiple wallets. Moreover, it is possible that other persons or entities control multiple wallets that collectively hold a significant number of HBAR, even if they individually only hold a small amount, and it is possible that some of these wallets are controlled by the same person or entity.

The native asset of the Hedera Network, HBAR, is a relatively new technological innovation with a limited history. There is no assurance that usage of the Hedera Network or HBAR will continue to grow. A contraction in the use or adoption of HBAR may result in increased volatility or a reduction in the price of HBAR. Sales of HBAR that have been newly released from escrow may cause the price of HBAR to decline. HBAR markets have a limited history, HBAR trading prices have exhibited high levels of volatility, and in some cases such volatility has been sudden and extreme. Regulation of the use of HBAR and the Hedera Network continues to evolve both in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions, which may restrict the use of HBAR or otherwise impact the demand for HBAR.

Disruptions at digital asset trading platforms could adversely affect the availability of HBAR and the ability of Authorized Participants to purchase or sell HBAR and, therefore, their ability to create and redeem Shares.

Spot markets on which HBAR trades are relatively new and largely unregulated or may not be complying with existing regulations and, therefore, may be more exposed to fraud and security breaches than established, regulated exchanges for other financial assets or instruments, which could have a negative impact on the performance of the Funds. Disruptions at HBAR spot markets, futures markets and in the OTC markets could adversely affect the availability of HBAR and the ability of Authorized Participants to purchase or sell HBAR.

Polkadot (“DOT”)

DOT is the primary unit of currency on the Polkadot Network (the “network”). The network aims to enable different blockchains to transfer messages and value in a trust-free fashion. Its unique architecture, which includes a relay chain and multiple parachains (purpose-built chains created by developers), is designed to enhance scalability and interoperability.

The governance model of the Polkadot blockchain (“Polkadot”), which involves on-chain voting and a council, is intended to be more democratic but can lead to slower decision-making processes and potential governance attacks. The reliance on a small number of validators for block production and finalization raises concerns about centralization and the potential for collusion. Additionally, the network's security is heavily dependent on the economic incentives provided to validators, which may not be sufficient to deter malicious behavior. The use of DOT tokens for staking and governance introduces volatility and liquidity risks, as the value of the tokens can fluctuate significantly.

The success of Polkadot is also contingent on the adoption and development of parachains, which may face technical and regulatory challenges. The network's reliance on a small number of core developers and the potential for software bugs and vulnerabilities further exacerbate these risks. Moreover, the competitive landscape for blockchain interoperability solutions is rapidly evolving, with other projects such as Cosmos and Ethereum 2.0 vying for market share. Regulatory scrutiny of blockchain networks and cryptocurrencies is increasing globally, and Polkadot may face legal and compliance challenges in various jurisdictions.

The network's economic model, which relies on transaction fees and inflationary rewards, may not be sustainable in the long term. Finally, the success of Polkadot is highly dependent on the broader adoption of blockchain technology and the willingness of developers and users to build on and use the network.

Polkadot's governance model, while innovative, presents several risks. The on-chain voting system and council governance can lead to slower decision-making processes, which may hinder the network's ability to respond quickly to emerging threats or opportunities. Additionally, the governance model is susceptible to attacks, where malicious actors could potentially influence the outcome of votes to their advantage. This could lead to decisions that are not in the best interest of the network or its users.

The reliance on a small number of validators for block production and finalization is another significant risk. If these validators were to collude, they could potentially manipulate the network for their gain. This centralization of power undermines the trustless nature of the network and could lead to a loss of confidence among users and developers.

The economic incentives provided to validators are crucial for maintaining the security of the network. However, if these incentives are not sufficient, validators may be tempted to engage in malicious behavior, such as double-spending or censoring transactions. This could compromise the integrity of the network and lead to significant financial losses for users.

The use of DOT tokens for staking and governance introduces additional risks. The value of DOT tokens can be highly volatile, which can lead to significant fluctuations in the cost of participating in the network. This volatility can also impact the liquidity of the tokens, making it difficult for users to buy or sell them when needed.

The reliance on a small number of core developers is another risk factor. If these developers were to leave the project or become incapacitated, it could significantly impact the network's development and maintenance. Additionally, the potential for software bugs and vulnerabilities is always a concern in complex systems like Polkadot. If these bugs are exploited, they could lead to significant disruptions or financial losses.

The competitive landscape for blockchain interoperability solutions is rapidly evolving. Projects like Cosmos and Ethereum 2.0 are also vying for market share, and their success could impact Polkadot's adoption and growth. If Polkadot is unable to differentiate itself or keep up with these competitors, it may struggle to attract users and developers.

Regulatory scrutiny of blockchain networks and cryptocurrencies is increasing globally. Polkadot may face legal and compliance challenges in various jurisdictions, which could impact its operations and growth. Regulatory actions could also impact the value and liquidity of DOT tokens, leading to financial losses for users. It is not yet clear whether DOT is a security under U.S. federal securities laws.

The network's economic model, which relies on transaction fees and inflationary rewards, may not be sustainable in the long term. If the network's usage does not grow as expected, the transaction fees may not be sufficient to support the validators. Additionally, the inflationary rewards could lead to a devaluation of DOT tokens over time, impacting their value and liquidity.

Finally, the success of Polkadot is highly dependent on the broader adoption of blockchain technology. If blockchain technology does not gain widespread acceptance, the demand for Polkadot's services may be limited. Additionally, the willingness of developers and users to build on and use the network is crucial for its success. If Polkadot is unable to attract a vibrant community of developers and users, it may struggle to achieve its goals.

In conclusion, while Polkadot offers a unique and innovative solution for blockchain interoperability, it also faces several significant risks. These risks include governance challenges, centralization concerns, economic incentives, token volatility, technical and regulatory hurdles, developer reliance, competitive pressures, regulatory scrutiny, and broader adoption challenges. Addressing these risks will be crucial for Polkadot's long-term success and sustainability.

Uniswap ("UNI")

Uniswap is a software designed for exchanging ERC-20 tokens that runs on the Ethereum blockchain. The software attempts to facilitate a network of users through an automated liquidity, trading, and market making protocol. UNI is the protocol token of the software and is an ERC-20 token. UNI token-holders are responsible for governing the protocol.

Uniswap can be considered a type of "decentralized" exchange (or "DEX"), as there is no central facilitator of trade and trading rules. The protocol instead facilitates the trading of one token for another by platform users through "liquidity pools" defined by smart contracts. Uniswap does not have a central limit order book as with most traditional cryptocurrency trading platforms or other exchanges. Instead, the protocol produces a collection of "liquidity pools" and follows the model of what has come to be known as an Automated Market Maker system.

Uniswap was designed to solve the lack of liquidity in decentralized exchanges through the creation of liquidity pools (one for each asset pair), with pricing set via a "constant product market maker model." The idea is to create a method where buyers and sellers can exchange assets without the need for an intermediary to operate the platform.

As one of the most prominent decentralized exchanges (DEXs), Uniswap faces intensifying regulatory scrutiny. While its core protocol is autonomous and non-custodial, associated entities - such as Uniswap Labs remain subject to global legal frameworks, particularly in the United States. Regulatory bodies like the SEC and CFTC have increasingly targeted DeFi platforms under securities and commodities laws, raising the risk of enforcement actions that could affect Uniswap's front-end access, developer contributions, or token utility.

Recent regulatory interest in decentralized finance may also lead to broader KYC/AML compliance requirements, potential censorship of listed assets, or limitations on non-compliant liquidity pools. Even though the protocol is decentralized, regulatory pressure on developers, interfaces, or governance processes could curtail innovation, dampen user growth, or impact UNI's value proposition as a governance token.

Uniswap's automated market maker (AMM) design offers permissionless access, but it poses capital efficiency and impermanent loss risks to liquidity providers. While innovations like concentrated liquidity (via Uniswap v3) aim to improve capital efficiency, they also increase the complexity and active management requirements for LPs. Users must rebalance positions to maintain performance, which can lead to higher friction and discourage passive liquidity provisioning.

Moreover, Uniswap's fee structure and lack of protocol-level incentives may be less attractive compared to emerging competitors offering yield farming, rebates, or fee-sharing models. While Uniswap has a protocol-level fee switch, it remains disabled—meaning liquidity providers currently earn 100% of trading fees without additional incentives from UNI token emissions. However, this competitive disadvantage could lead to liquidity fragmentation, especially during periods of high volatility or when gas fees on Ethereum spike.

Uniswap's core protocol is deeply entrenched within the Ethereum ecosystem, meaning its scalability, fee structure, and user accessibility are tightly coupled to Ethereum's performance. During periods of network congestion, high gas fees can significantly reduce trading volume and LP profitability, pushing users toward alternative Layer 1s or more scalable DEXs.

Although Uniswap has expanded to Layer 2 solutions like Optimism and Arbitrum, and deployed on other chains like Polygon and BNB Chain, Ethereum remains the economic center of gravity. Any delays or setbacks in Ethereum's roadmap (e.g., sharding or danksharding) could impact Uniswap's scalability and user experience.

As a DeFi protocol governed entirely by smart contracts, Uniswap remains inherently exposed to technical risk. While the core contracts have been audited and battle-tested, vulnerabilities in third-party integrations, front-end interfaces, or governance modules can serve as attack vectors. Past incidents across the DeFi landscape such as reentrancy attacks, oracle manipulation, or governance exploits highlight the systemic risks associated with open financial protocols.

The composability of DeFi means that protocols frequently rely on one another, and vulnerabilities in adjacent systems (e.g., tokens, bridges, lending protocols) can have cascading effects on Uniswap's liquidity pools and users. Additionally, Uniswap governance proposals if poorly designed or exploited could result in protocol-level changes that introduce unforeseen risks.

While Uniswap remains the market leader in terms of DEX trading volume, it faces increasing competition from alternative platforms like Curve, SushiSwap, Balancer, dYdX, and newer entrants such as Maverick, Velodrome, and Aerodrome. These competitors

differentiate themselves through custom incentive programs, protocol-owned liquidity, innovative AMM designs, or chain-specific integrations.

In particular, veTokenomics models, bribe markets, and governance-aligned incentives have attracted attention and capital away from Uniswap. If Uniswap governance is slow to adapt or fails to introduce competitive features (*e.g.*, native fee switches, staking mechanisms, or cross-chain liquidity aggregation), its market share and developer mindshare could erode over time.

Uniswap's usage is closely tied to overall market activity in crypto. In risk-off environments characterized by declining asset prices, lower retail interest, or macroeconomic uncertainty trading volumes typically shrink, reducing LP revenue and impacting UNI token demand. The protocol's sustainability relies on a healthy cycle of active traders, developers, and liquidity providers, all of which are sensitive to broader market sentiment.

During prolonged bear markets, Uniswap's token performance, on-chain revenue, and community engagement can stagnate, making it harder to attract long-term contributors or institutional attention. Unlike centralized exchanges, Uniswap does not have discretionary control over listing fees, trading incentives, or fiat on-ramps making it more exposed to macro-level downturns and shifting user preferences.

Uniswap's governance is managed by UNI token holders, but voter participation remains low and concentrated among a small number of delegates. This creates concerns about governance capture, apathy, and misaligned incentives. Inadequate participation in protocol proposals may stall important upgrades or leave key decisions in the hands of a few dominant voices, risking centralization and community dissatisfaction.

Furthermore, major decisions such as enabling the protocol fee switch have remained unresolved due to competing interests and legal uncertainties. Without a clear and active governance roadmap, Uniswap may lag in implementing protocol-level monetization or value accrual mechanisms, which could affect long-term sustainability and UNI token utility.

A key structural risk in the Uniswap ecosystem is the misalignment of value accrual between the UNI governance token and Uniswap Labs' equity holders. While UNI is positioned as a governance token for the protocol, the most meaningful sources of revenue - such as fees from the Uniswap frontend interface, APIs, and partnerships - primarily accrue to Uniswap Labs, the private company behind much of the protocol's development.

- Uniswap Labs has monetized components of the protocol stack – including wallet fees, API integrations, and licensing of the frontend – but these revenues do not flow to UNI holders.
- Tokenholders have no claim to cash flows, dividends, or equity upside – while equity investors in Uniswap Labs benefit directly from monetization initiatives tied to the Uniswap brand.
- This disconnect raises concerns about the economic utility of UNI, especially for those expecting the token to function like a growth asset.

Borrowing

Each Fund may borrow money to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act, as such may be interpreted or modified by regulatory authorities having jurisdiction, from time to time. Borrowing for investment purposes is one form of leverage. Leveraging investments, by purchasing securities with borrowed money, is a speculative technique that increases investment risk, but also increases investment opportunity. Because substantially all of a Fund's assets will fluctuate in value, whereas the interest obligations on borrowings may be fixed, the NAV per share of the Fund will increase more when the Fund's portfolio assets increase in value and decrease more when the Fund's portfolio assets decrease in value than would otherwise be the case. Moreover, interest costs on borrowings may fluctuate with changing market rates of interest and may partially offset or exceed the returns on the borrowed funds. Under adverse conditions, a Fund might have to sell portfolio securities to meet interest or principal payments at a time when investment considerations would not favor such sales.

Each Fund also may borrow money to facilitate management of the Fund's portfolio by enabling the Fund to meet redemption requests when the liquidation of portfolio instruments would be inconvenient or disadvantageous. Such borrowing is not for investment purposes and will be repaid by the Fund promptly. As required by the 1940 Act, a Fund must maintain continuous asset coverage (total assets, including assets acquired with borrowed funds, less liabilities exclusive of borrowings) of 300% of all amounts borrowed. If, at any time, the value of a Fund's assets should fail to meet this 300% coverage test, the Fund, within three days (not including Sundays and holidays), will reduce the amount of the Fund's borrowings to the extent necessary to meet this 300% coverage requirement. Maintenance of this percentage limitation may result in the sale of portfolio securities at a time when investment considerations otherwise indicate that it would be disadvantageous to do so.

Borrowing will tend to exaggerate the effect on NAV of any increase or decrease in the market value of the borrowing Fund's portfolio. Money borrowed will be subject to interest costs that may or may not be recovered by earnings on the securities purchased. A Fund also may be required to maintain minimum average balances in connection with a borrowing or to pay a commitment or other fee to maintain a line of credit; either of these requirements would increase the cost of borrowing over the stated interest rate. In addition to the foregoing, each Fund is authorized to borrow money as a temporary measure for extraordinary or emergency purposes

in amounts not in excess of 5% of the value of the Fund's total assets. Borrowings for extraordinary or emergency purposes are not subject to the foregoing 300% asset coverage requirement.

Debt Securities

In general, a debt security represents a loan of money to the issuer by the purchaser of the security. A debt security typically has a fixed payment schedule that obligates the issuer to pay interest to the lender and to return the lender's money over a certain time period. A company typically meets its payment obligations associated with its outstanding debt securities before it declares and pays any dividend to holders of its equity securities. Bonds, notes and commercial paper are examples of debt securities and differ in the length of the issuer's principal repayment schedule, with bonds carrying the longest repayment schedule and commercial paper the shortest.

Debt securities are all generally subject to interest rate, credit, income and prepayment risks and, like all investments, are subject to liquidity and market risks to varying degrees depending upon the specific terms and type of security. The Adviser attempts to reduce credit and market risk through diversification of a Fund's portfolio and ongoing credit analysis of each issuer, as well as by monitoring economic developments, but there can be no assurance that it will be successful at doing so.

A Fund's investments in debt securities may subject the Fund to the following risks:

Credit Risk. Debt securities are subject to the risk of an issuer's (or other party's) failure or inability to meet its obligations under the security. Multiple parties may have obligations under a debt security. An issuer or borrower may fail to pay principal and interest when due. A guarantor, insurer or credit support provider may fail to provide the agreed upon protection. A counterparty to a transaction may fail to perform its side of the bargain. An intermediary or agent interposed between the investor and other parties may fail to perform the terms of its service. Also, performance under a debt security may be linked to the obligations of other persons who may fail to meet their obligations. The credit risk associated with a debt security could increase to the extent that a Fund's ability to benefit fully from its investment in the security depends on the performance by multiple parties of their respective contractual or other obligations. The market value of a debt security is also affected by the market's perception of the creditworthiness of the issuer.

A Fund may incur substantial losses on debt securities that are inaccurately perceived to present a different amount of credit risk than they actually do by the market, the Adviser or the rating agencies. Credit risk is generally greater where less information is publicly available, where fewer covenants safeguard the investors' interests, where collateral may be impaired or inadequate, where little legal redress or regulatory protection is available, or where a party's ability to meet obligations is speculative. Additionally, any inaccuracy in the information used by a Fund to evaluate credit risk may affect the value of securities held by the Fund.

Obligations under debt securities held by a Fund may never be satisfied or, if satisfied, only satisfied in part.

Some securities are subject to risks as a result of a credit downgrade or default by a government, or its agencies or, instrumentalities. Credit risk is a greater concern for high-yield debt securities and debt securities of issuers whose ability to pay interest and principal may be considered speculative. Debt securities are typically classified as investment grade-quality (medium to highest credit quality) or below investment grade-quality (commonly referred to as high-yield or junk bonds). Many individual debt securities are rated by a third-party source, such as Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") or Standard & Poor's Financial Services ("S&P[®]"), to help describe the creditworthiness of the issuer.

Credit Ratings Risk. The Adviser performs its own independent investment analysis of securities being considered for a Fund's portfolio, which includes consideration of, among other things, the issuer's financial resources, its sensitivity to economic conditions and trends, its operating history, the quality of the issuer's management and regulatory matters. The Adviser also considers the ratings assigned by various investment services and independent rating agencies, such as Moody's and S&P, that publish ratings based upon their assessment of the relative creditworthiness of the rated debt securities. Generally, a lower rating indicates higher credit risk. Higher yields are ordinarily available from debt securities in the lower rating categories. These ratings are described at the end of this SAI under "Description of Securities Ratings."

Using credit ratings to evaluate debt securities can involve certain risks. For example, ratings assigned by the rating agencies are based upon an analysis completed at the time of the rating of the obligor's ability to pay interest and repay principal. Rating agencies typically rely to a large extent on historical data which may not accurately represent present or future circumstances. Ratings do not purport to reflect the risk of fluctuations in market value of the debt security and are not absolute standards of quality and only express the rating agency's current opinion of an obligor's overall financial capacity to pay its financial obligations. A credit rating is not a statement of fact or a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a debt obligation. Also, credit quality can change suddenly and unexpectedly, and credit ratings may not reflect the issuer's current financial condition or events since the security was last rated. Rating agencies may have a financial interest in generating business, including from the arranger or issuer of the security that normally pays for that rating, and providing a low rating might affect the rating agency's prospects for future business. While rating agencies have policies and procedures to address this potential conflict of interest, there is a risk that these policies will fail to prevent a conflict of interest from impacting the rating.

Extension Risk. A Fund is subject to extension risk, which is the risk that the market value of some debt securities, particularly mortgage securities and certain asset-backed securities, may be adversely affected when bond calls or prepayments on underlying

mortgages or other assets are less or slower than anticipated. Extension risk may result from, for example, rising interest rates or unexpected developments in the markets for the underlying assets or mortgages. As a consequence, the security's effective maturity will be extended, resulting in an increase in interest rate sensitivity to that of a longer-term instrument. Extension risk generally increases as interest rates rise. This is because, in a rising interest rate environment, the rate of prepayment and exercise of call or buy-back rights generally falls, and the rate of default and delayed payment generally rises. When the maturity of an investment is extended in a rising interest rate environment, a below-market interest rate is usually locked-in, and the value of the security reduced. This risk is greater for fixed-rate than variable-rate debt securities.

Income Risk. A Fund is subject to income risk, which is the risk that the Fund's income will decline during periods of falling interest rates or when the Fund experiences defaults on debt securities it holds. A Fund's income declines when interest rates fall because, as the Fund's higher-yielding debt securities mature or are prepaid, a Fund must re-invest the proceeds in debt securities that have lower, prevailing interest rates. The amount and rate of distributions that a Fund's shareholders receive are affected by the income that the Fund receives from its portfolio holdings. If the income is reduced, distributions by a Fund to shareholders may be less.

Fluctuations in income paid to a Fund are generally greater for variable rate debt securities. A Fund will be deemed to receive taxable income on certain securities which pay no cash payments until maturity, such as zero-coupon securities. A Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities that it would otherwise continue to hold in order to obtain sufficient cash to make the distribution to shareholders required for U.S. tax purposes.

Inflation Risk. The market price of debt securities generally falls as inflation increases because the purchasing power of the future income and repaid principal is expected to be worth less when received by a Fund. Debt securities that pay a fixed rather than variable interest rate are especially vulnerable to inflation risk because variable-rate debt securities may be able to participate, over the long term, in rising interest rates which have historically corresponded with long-term inflationary trends.

Interest Rate Risk. The market value of debt securities generally varies in response to changes in prevailing interest rates. Interest rate changes can be sudden and unpredictable. In addition, short-term and long-term rates are not necessarily correlated to each other as short-term rates tend to be influenced by government monetary policy while long-term rates are market driven and may be influenced by macroeconomic events (such as economic expansion or contraction), inflation expectations, as well as supply and demand. During periods of declining interest rates, the market value of debt securities generally increases. Conversely, during periods of rising interest rates, the market value of debt securities generally declines. This occurs because new debt securities are likely to be issued with higher interest rates as interest rates increase, making the old or outstanding debt securities less attractive. In general, the market prices of long-term debt securities or securities that make little (or no) interest payments are more sensitive to interest rate fluctuations than shorter-term debt securities. The longer a Fund's average weighted portfolio duration, the greater the potential impact a change in interest rates will have on its share price. Also, certain segments of the fixed income markets, such as high-quality bonds, tend to be more sensitive to interest rate changes than other segments, such as lower-quality bonds.

Prepayment Risk. Debt securities, especially bonds that are subject to "calls," such as asset-backed or mortgage-backed securities, are subject to prepayment risk if their terms allow the payment of principal and other amounts due before their stated maturity. Amounts invested in a debt security that has been "called" or "prepaid" will be returned to an investor holding that security before expected by the investor. In such circumstances, the investor, such as a Fund, may be required to re-invest the proceeds it receives from the called or prepaid security in a new security which, in periods of declining interest rates, will typically have a lower interest rate. Prepayment risk is especially prevalent in periods of declining interest rates and will result for other reasons, including unexpected developments in the markets for the underlying assets or mortgages. For example, a decline in mortgage interest rates typically initiates a period of mortgage refinancings. When homeowners refinance their mortgages, the investor in the underlying pool of mortgage-backed securities (such as a Fund) receives its principal back sooner than expected, and must reinvest at lower, prevailing rates.

Securities subject to prepayment risk are often called during a declining interest rate environment and generally offer less potential for gains and greater price volatility than other income-bearing securities of comparable maturity.

Call risk is similar to prepayment risk and results from the ability of an issuer to call, or prepay, a debt security early. If interest rates decline enough, the debt security's issuer can save money by repaying its callable debt securities and issuing new debt securities at lower interest rates.

Derivative Instruments

Certain derivative instruments used by a Fund may oblige the Fund to make payments or incur additional obligations in the future. Rule 18f-4 under the 1940 Act ("Rule 18f-4") imposes limits on the amount of leverage risk to which a fund may be exposed through the use of such derivatives and requires the adoption of certain derivatives risk management measures. Under Rule 18f-4, a fund's investment in such derivatives is limited through value-at-risk ("VaR") testing. Specifically, the VaR of the fund's portfolio may not exceed 200% of the VaR of a specific unleveraged designated reference portfolio using relative VaR testing (or 20% of the value of the fund's net assets using absolute VaR testing). Generally, a fund whose derivatives exposure, including exposure obtained through a Fund's Subsidiary (see "Subsidiary Risks" below), exceeds 10% of its net assets is required to establish and maintain a comprehensive derivatives risk management program, subject to oversight by a fund's board of trustees, and appoint a derivatives risk manager. Funds whose derivatives exposure does not exceed 10% of their net assets may be considered limited derivatives users and

are not required to comply with all of the conditions of Rule 18f-4, including the adoption of a derivatives risk management program and appointment of a derivatives risk manager, though they are required to adopt policies and procedures designed to manage derivatives risk.

Generally, derivatives are financial contracts whose value depends upon, or is derived from, the value of an underlying asset, reference rate, or index, and may relate to bonds, interest rates, currencies, commodities, and related indexes. Examples of derivative instruments include futures contracts and options on futures contracts.

Each Fund may use futures contracts in connection with the implementation of its investment strategies and it will do so in compliance with Rule 18f-4. The futures contracts in which a Fund expects to invest are considered commodity interests and will be held primarily in the Fund's Subsidiary.

Swap Agreements. Each Fund may utilize swap agreements in an attempt to gain exposure to the investments or commodities in a market without actually purchasing those investments or commodities, or to hedge a position. Swap agreements are two-party contracts entered into primarily by institutional investors for periods ranging from a day to more than one-year. In a standard "swap" transaction, two parties agree to exchange the returns (or differentials in rates of return) earned or realized on particular predetermined investments or instruments. The gross returns to be exchanged or "swapped" between the parties are calculated with respect to a "notional amount," (*i.e.*, the return on or increase in value of a particular dollar amount invested in a basket of securities representing a particular index). Total return swaps are swap agreements in which one party makes payments based on a set rate, either fixed or variable, while the other party makes payments based on the return of an underlying asset, to seek exposure to certain investments or commodities.

Forms of swap agreements include interest rate caps, under which, in return for a premium, one party agrees to make payments to the other to the extent that interest rates exceed a specified rate, or "cap" interest rate floors, under which, in return for a premium, one party agrees to make payments to the other to the extent that interest rates fall below a specified level, or "floor;" and interest rate collars, under which a party sells a cap and purchases a floor or vice versa in an attempt to protect itself against interest rate movements exceeding given minimum or maximum levels.

A Fund's obligations under a swap agreement will be accrued daily (offset against any amounts owing to the Fund) and any accrued but unpaid net amounts owed to a swap counterparty will be covered by segregating assets determined to be liquid. Obligations under swap agreements so covered will not be construed to be "senior securities" for purposes of a Fund's investment restriction concerning senior securities. Because they are two-party contracts which may have terms of greater than seven days, swap agreements may be considered to be illiquid for purposes of a Fund's illiquid investment limitations. A Fund will not enter into any swap agreement unless the Adviser believes that the other party to the transaction is creditworthy. A Fund bears the risk of loss of the amount expected to be received under a swap agreement in the event of the default or bankruptcy of a swap agreement counterparty.

Each Fund may enter into swap agreements to invest in a market without owning or taking physical custody of the underlying investments or commodities in circumstances in which direct investment is restricted for legal reasons or is otherwise impracticable. The counterparty to any swap agreement will typically be a bank, investment banking firm or broker-dealer. The counterparty will generally agree to pay a Fund the amount, if any, by which the notional amount of the swap agreement would have increased in value had it been invested in the particular stocks, plus the dividends that would have been received on those stocks. A Fund will agree to pay to the counterparty a floating rate of interest on the notional amount of the swap agreement plus the amount, if any, by which the notional amount would have decreased in value had it been invested in such stocks. Therefore, the return to a Fund on any swap agreement should be the gain or loss on the notional amount plus dividends on the stocks less the interest paid by the Fund on the notional amount.

Swap agreements typically are settled on a net basis, which means that the two payment streams are netted out, with a Fund receiving or paying, as the case may be, only the net amount of the two payments. Payments may be made at the conclusion of a swap agreement or periodically during its term. Other swap agreements may require initial premium (discount) payments as well as periodic payments (receipts) related to the interest leg of the swap or to the default of a reference obligation. A Fund will earmark and reserve assets necessary to meet any accrued payment obligations when it is the buyer of a credit default swap.

If a swap counterparty defaults, a Fund's risk of loss consists of the net amount of payments the Fund is contractually entitled to receive, if any, or the failure of the counterparty to deliver the underlying investments or commodities, depending on the nature of the swap. The net amount of the excess, if any, of a Fund's obligations over its entitlements with respect to each equity swap will be accrued on a daily basis and an amount of cash or liquid assets, having an aggregate NAV at least equal to such accrued excess will be maintained in a segregated account by the Fund's custodian.

The swap market has grown substantially in recent years with a large number of banks and investment banking firms acting both as principals and as agents utilizing standardized swap documentation. As a result, the swap market has become relatively liquid in comparison with the markets for other similar instruments, which are traded in the over-the-counter ("OTC") market. The Adviser, under the supervision of the Board, is responsible for determining and monitoring the liquidity of Fund transactions in swap agreements.

The use of swap agreements is a highly specialized activity which involves investment techniques and risks different from those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. If a counterparty's creditworthiness declines, the value of the swap would likely decline. Moreover, there is no guarantee that a Fund could eliminate its exposure under an outstanding swap agreement by entering into an offsetting swap agreement with the same or another party.

Swaptions. A swaption is an OTC option that gives the purchaser of the option the right, but not the obligation, in return for payment of a premium to the seller, to enter into a previously negotiated swap, or to extend, terminate or otherwise modify the terms of an existing swap. The writer (seller) of a swaption receives premium payments from the purchaser and, in exchange, becomes obligated to enter into or modify an underlying swap upon the exercise of the option by the purchaser. When a Fund purchases a swaption, it risks losing only the amount of the premium it has paid should it decide to let the option expire unexercised, plus any related transaction costs.

There can be no assurance that a liquid secondary market will exist for any particular swaption, or at any particular time, and a Fund may have difficulty affecting closing transactions in particular swaptions. Therefore, each Fund may have to exercise the options that it purchases in order to realize any profit and take delivery of the underlying swap. The respective Fund could then incur transaction costs upon the sale or closing out of the underlying swap. In the event that the swaption is exercised, the counterparty for such swaption would be the same counterparty with whom the respective Fund entered into the underlying swap.

However, if a Fund writes (sells) a swaption, the Fund is bound by the terms of the underlying swap upon exercise of the option by the buyer, which may result in losses to the Fund in excess of the premium it received. Swaptions involve the risks associated with derivative instruments generally, as described above, as well as the additional risks associated with both options and swaps generally.

Options. An option is a contract that gives the purchaser of the option, in return for the premium paid, the right to buy an underlying reference instrument, such as a specified security, currency, index, or other instrument, from the writer of the option (in the case of a call option), or to sell a specified reference instrument to the writer of the option (in the case of a put option) at a designated price during the term of the option. The premium paid by the buyer of an option will reflect, among other things, the relationship of the exercise price to the market price and the volatility of the underlying reference instrument, the remaining term of the option, supply, demand, interest rates and/or currency exchange rates. An American style put or call option may be exercised at any time during the option period while a European style put or call option may be exercised only upon expiration or during a fixed period prior thereto. Put and call options are traded on national securities exchanges and in the OTC market.

Options traded on national securities exchanges are within the jurisdiction of the SEC or other appropriate national securities regulator, as are securities traded on such exchanges. As a result, many of the protections provided to traders on organized exchanges will be available with respect to such transactions. In particular, all option positions entered into on a national securities exchange in the United States are cleared and guaranteed by the Options Clearing Corporation, thereby reducing the risk of counterparty default. Furthermore, a liquid secondary market in options traded on a national securities exchange may be more readily available than in the OTC market, potentially permitting a Fund to liquidate open positions at a profit prior to exercise or expiration, or to limit losses in the event of adverse market movements. There is no assurance, however, that higher than anticipated trading activity or other unforeseen events might not temporarily render the capabilities of the Options Clearing Corporation inadequate, and thereby result in the exchange instituting special procedures which may interfere with the timely execution of a Fund's orders to close out open options positions.

Purchasing Call and Put Options. As the buyer of a call option, each Fund has a right to buy the underlying reference instrument (e.g., a currency or security) at the exercise price at any time during the option period (for American style options). Each Fund may enter into closing sale transactions with respect to call options, exercise them, or permit them to expire. For example, a Fund may buy call options on underlying reference instruments that it intends to buy with the goal of limiting the risk of a substantial increase in their market price before the purchase is effected. Unless the price of the underlying reference instrument changes sufficiently, a call option purchased by a Fund may expire without any value to a Fund, in which case the Fund would experience a loss to the extent of the premium paid for the option plus related transaction costs.

As the buyer of a put option, a Fund has the right to sell the underlying reference instrument at the exercise price at any time during the option period (for American style options). Like a call option, a Fund may enter into closing sale transactions with respect to put options, exercise them or permit them to expire. A Fund may buy a put option on an underlying reference instrument owned by the Fund (a protective put) as a hedging technique in an attempt to protect against an anticipated decline in the market value of the underlying reference instrument. Such hedge protection is provided only during the life of the put option when a Fund, as the buyer of the put option, is able to sell the underlying reference instrument at the put exercise price, regardless of any decline in the underlying instrument's market price. A Fund also may seek to offset a decline in the value of the underlying reference instrument through appreciation in the value of the put option. A put option also may be purchased with the intent of protecting unrealized appreciation of an instrument when the Adviser deems it desirable to continue to hold the instrument because of tax or other considerations. The premium paid for the put option and any transaction costs would reduce any short-term capital gain that may be available for distribution when the instrument is eventually sold. Buying put options at a time when the buyer does not own the underlying reference instrument allows the buyer to benefit from a decline in the market price of the underlying reference instrument, which generally increases the value of the put option.

If a put option was not terminated in a closing sale transaction when it has remaining value, and if the market price of the underlying reference instrument remains equal to or greater than the exercise price during the life of the put option, the buyer would not make any gain upon exercise of the option and would experience a loss to the extent of the premium paid for the option plus related transaction costs. In order for the purchase of a put option to be profitable, the market price of the underlying reference instrument must decline sufficiently below the exercise price to cover the premium and transaction costs.

Writing Call and Put Options. Writing options may permit the writer to generate additional income in the form of the premium received for writing the option. The writer of an option may have no control over when the underlying reference instruments must be sold (in the case of a call option) or purchased (in the case of a put option) because the writer may be notified of exercise at any time prior to the expiration of the option (for American style options). In general, though, options are infrequently exercised prior to expiration. Whether or not an option expires unexercised, the writer retains the amount of the premium. Writing “covered” call options means that the writer owns the underlying reference instrument that is subject to the call option. Call options also may be written on reference instruments that the writer does not own.

If a Fund writes a covered call option, any underlying reference instruments that are held by the Fund and are subject to the call option will be earmarked on the books of the Fund to satisfy its obligations under the option. Each Fund will be unable to sell the underlying reference instruments that are subject to the written call option until it either effects a closing transaction with respect to the written call, or otherwise satisfies the conditions for release of the underlying reference instruments. As the writer of a covered call option, a Fund gives up the potential for capital appreciation above the exercise price of the option should the underlying reference instrument rise in value. If the value of the underlying reference instrument rises above the exercise price of the call option, the reference instrument will likely be “called away,” requiring a Fund to sell the underlying instrument at the exercise price. In that case, the Fund will sell the underlying reference instrument to the option buyer for less than its market value, and the Fund will experience a loss (which will be offset by the premium received by the Fund as the writer of such option). If a call option expires unexercised, a Fund will realize a gain in the amount of the premium received. If the market price of the underlying reference instrument decreases, the call option will not be exercised and a Fund will be able to use the amount of the premium received to hedge against the loss in value of the underlying reference instrument. The exercise price of a call option will be chosen based upon the expected price movement of the underlying reference instrument. The exercise price of a call option may be below, equal to (at-the-money), or above the current value of the underlying reference instrument at the time the option is written.

As the writer of a put option, a Fund has a risk of loss should the underlying reference instrument decline in value. If the value of the underlying reference instrument declines below the exercise price of the put option and the put option is exercised, a Fund, as the writer of the put option, will be required to buy the instrument at the exercise price, which will exceed the market value of the underlying reference instrument at that time. A Fund will incur a loss to the extent that the current market value of the underlying reference instrument is less than the exercise price of the put option. However, the loss will be offset in part by the premium received from the buyer of the put. If a put option written by a Fund expires unexercised, the Fund will realize a gain in the amount of the premium received.

Closing Out Options (Exchange-Traded Options). If the writer of an option wants to terminate its obligation, the writer may effect a “closing purchase transaction” by buying an option of the same series as the option previously written. The effect of the purchase is that the clearing corporation will cancel the option writer’s position. However, a writer may not effect a closing purchase transaction after being notified of the exercise of an option. Likewise, the buyer of an option may recover all or a portion of the premium that it paid by effecting a “closing sale transaction” by selling an option of the same series as the option previously purchased and receiving a premium on the sale. There is no guarantee that either a closing purchase or a closing sale transaction may be made at a time desired by a Fund. Closing transactions allow a Fund to terminate its positions in written and purchased options. A Fund will realize a profit from a closing transaction if the price of the transaction is less than the premium received from writing the original option (in the case of written options) or is more than the premium paid by the Fund to buy the option (in the case of purchased options). For example, increases in the market price of a call option sold by a Fund will generally reflect increases in the market price of the underlying reference instrument. As a result, any loss resulting from a closing transaction on a written call option is likely to be offset in whole or in part by appreciation of the underlying instrument owned by a Fund.

A Fund’s options investments involve certain risks, including general risks related to derivative instruments. There can be no assurance that a liquid secondary market on an exchange will exist for any particular option, or at any particular time, and a Fund may have difficulty effecting closing transactions in particular options. Therefore, a Fund would have to exercise the options it purchased in order to realize any profit, thus taking or making delivery of the underlying reference instrument when not desired. A Fund could then incur transaction costs upon the sale of the underlying reference instruments. Similarly, when a Fund cannot effect a closing transaction with respect to a put option it wrote, and the buyer exercises, the Fund would be required to take delivery and would incur transaction costs upon the sale of the underlying reference instruments purchased. If a Fund, as a covered call option writer, is unable to effect a closing purchase transaction in a secondary market, it will not be able to sell the underlying reference instrument until the option expires, the Fund delivers the underlying instrument upon exercise, or the Fund holds enough liquid assets to purchase the underlying reference instrument at the marked-to-market price during the term of the option. When trading options on non-U.S. exchanges or in the OTC market, many of the protections afforded to exchange participants will not be available. For example, there

may be no daily price fluctuation limits, and adverse market movements could therefore continue to an unlimited extent over an indefinite period of time.

Futures and Options on Futures Contracts. Each Fund may enter into options on futures contracts. When a Fund purchases a futures contract, it agrees to purchase a specified underlying instrument at a specified future date. When a Fund sells a futures contract, it agrees to sell the underlying instrument at a specified future date. The price at which the purchase and sale will take place is fixed when a Fund enters into the contract. Futures can be held until their delivery dates, or can be closed out before then if a liquid secondary market is available.

The risk of loss in trading futures contracts or uncovered call options in some strategies (*e.g.*, selling uncovered stock index futures contracts) is potentially unlimited. No Fund plans to use futures and options contracts in this way. The risk of a futures position may still be large as traditionally measured due to the low margin deposits required. In many cases, a relatively small price movement in a futures contract may result in immediate and substantial loss or gain to the investor relative to the size of a required margin deposit. Each Fund, however, may utilize futures and options contracts in a manner designed to limit their risk exposure to levels comparable to direct investment in stocks.

There also is the risk of loss by a Fund of margin deposits in the event of bankruptcy of a broker with whom the Fund has an open position in the futures contract or option. The purchase of put or call options will be based upon predictions by a Fund as to anticipated trends, which predictions could prove to be incorrect.

The potential for loss related to the purchase of an option on a futures contract is limited to the premium paid for the option plus transaction costs. Because the value of the option is fixed at the point of sale, there are no daily cash payments by the purchaser to reflect changes in the value of the underlying contract; however, the value of the option changes daily and that change would be reflected in the NAV of each Fund. The potential for loss related to writing options may be unlimited.

Although each Fund intends to enter into futures contracts only if there is an active market for such contracts, there is no assurance that an active market will exist for the contracts at any particular time.

Developing Government Regulation of Derivatives. The regulation of certain derivatives is a rapidly changing area of law and is subject to modification by government and judicial action. In addition, the SEC, the CFTC, and the exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of a market emergency, including, for example, the implementation or reduction of speculative position limits, the implementation of higher margin requirements, the establishment of daily price limits and the suspension of trading.

It is not possible to fully predict the effects of current or future regulation. However, it is possible that developments in government regulation of various types of derivative instruments, such as speculative position limits on certain types of derivatives, or limits or restrictions on the counterparties with which a Fund engages in derivative transactions, may limit or prevent a Fund from using or limit a Fund's use of these instruments effectively as a part of its investment strategy, and could adversely affect a Fund's ability to achieve its investment goal(s). The Adviser will continue to monitor developments in the area, particularly to the extent regulatory changes affect each Fund's ability to enter into desired swaps. New requirements, even if not directly applicable to a Fund, may increase the cost of a Fund's investments and cost of doing business.

Counterparty Credit Risk. Each Fund is subject to counterparty credit risk with respect to its use of derivative transactions. If a counterparty to a derivatives contract becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations due to financial difficulties, a Fund may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery in a bankruptcy or other reorganization proceeding. A Fund may obtain only a limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances. To partially mitigate this risk, the Adviser will seek to effect derivative transactions only with counterparties that it believes are creditworthy. However, there is no assurance that a counterparty will remain creditworthy or solvent.

Equity Securities

Equity securities, such as the common stock of an issuer, are subject to stock market fluctuations and therefore may experience volatile changes in value as market conditions, consumer sentiment or the financial condition of the issuers change. A decrease in value of the equity securities in a Fund's portfolio may also cause the value of such Fund's Shares to decline. An investment in the Funds should be made with an understanding of the risks inherent in an investment in equity securities, including the risk that the financial condition of issuers may become impaired or that the general condition of the stock market may deteriorate (either of which may cause a decrease in the value of a Fund's portfolio securities and therefore a decrease in the value of Shares). Common stocks are susceptible to general stock market fluctuations and to volatile increases and decreases in value as market confidence and perceptions change. These investor perceptions are based on various and unpredictable factors, including expectations regarding government, economic, monetary and fiscal policies; inflation and interest rates; economic expansion or contraction; and global or regional political, economic or banking crises.

Holders of common stocks incur more risk than holders of preferred stocks and debt obligations because common stockholders, as owners of the issuer, generally have inferior rights to receive payments from the issuer in comparison with the rights of creditors or holders of debt obligations or preferred stocks. Further, unlike debt securities, which typically have a stated principal amount payable

at maturity (whose value, however, is subject to market fluctuations prior thereto), or preferred stocks, which typically have a liquidation preference and which may have stated optional or mandatory redemption provisions, common stocks have neither a fixed principal amount nor a maturity. Common stock values are subject to market fluctuations as long as the common stock remains outstanding.

Types of Equity Securities:

Common Stocks — Common stocks represent units of ownership in a company. Common stocks usually carry voting rights and earn dividends. Unlike preferred stocks, which are described below, dividends on common stocks are not fixed but are declared at the discretion of the company's board of directors.

Preferred Stocks — Preferred stocks also are units of ownership in a company. Preferred stocks normally have preference over common stocks in the payment of dividends and the liquidation of the company. However, in all other respects, preferred stocks are subordinated to the liabilities of the issuer. Unlike common stocks, preferred stocks are generally not entitled to vote on corporate matters. Types of preferred stocks include adjustable-rate preferred stock, fixed dividend preferred stock, perpetual preferred stock, and sinking fund preferred stock.

Generally, the market values of preferred stocks with a fixed dividend rate and no conversion element vary inversely with interest rates and perceived credit risk.

Rights and Warrants — A right is a privilege granted to existing shareholders of a corporation to subscribe to shares of a new issue of common stock before it is issued. Rights normally have a short life of usually two to four weeks, are freely transferable, and entitle the holder to buy the new common stock at a lower price than the public offering price. Warrants are securities that are usually issued together with a debt security or preferred stock and that give the holder the right to buy a proportionate amount of common stock at a specified price. Warrants are freely transferable and are traded on major exchanges. Unlike rights, warrants normally have a life that is measured in years and entitles the holder to buy common stock of a company at a price that is usually higher than the market price at the time the warrant is issued. Corporations often issue warrants to make the accompanying debt security more attractive.

An investment in warrants and rights may entail greater risks than certain other types of investments. Generally, rights and warrants do not carry the right to receive dividends or exercise voting rights with respect to the underlying securities, and they do not represent any rights in the assets of the issuer. In addition, their value does not necessarily change with the value of the underlying securities, and they cease to have value if they are not exercised on or before their expiration date. Investing in rights and warrants increases the potential profit or loss to be realized from the investment as compared with investing the same amount in the underlying securities.

Large-Capitalization Companies — Investments in large-capitalization companies may go in and out of favor based on market and economic conditions and may underperform other market segments. Some large-capitalization companies may be unable to respond quickly to new competitive challenges, such as changes in technology and consumer tastes, and may not be able to attain the high growth rate of successful smaller companies, especially during extended periods of economic expansion. As such, returns on investments in stocks of large-capitalization companies could trail the returns on investments in stocks of small- and mid-capitalization companies.

Small- and Mid-Capitalization Companies — The securities of small- and mid-capitalization companies may be more vulnerable to adverse issuer, market, political, or economic developments than securities of larger-capitalization companies. The securities of small- and mid-capitalization companies generally trade in lower volumes and are subject to greater and more unpredictable price changes than larger capitalization stocks or the stock market as a whole. Some small- or mid-capitalization companies have limited product lines, markets, and financial and managerial resources and tend to concentrate on fewer geographical markets relative to larger capitalization companies. There is typically less publicly available information concerning small- and mid-capitalization companies than for larger, more established companies. Small- and mid-capitalization companies also may be particularly sensitive to changes in interest rates, government regulation, borrowing costs, and earnings.

Tracking Stocks — A tracking stock is a separate class of common stock whose value is linked to a specific business unit or operating division within a larger company and which is designed to “track” the performance of such business unit or division. The tracking stock may pay dividends to shareholders independent of the parent company. The parent company, rather than the business unit or division, generally is the issuer of tracking stock. However, holders of the tracking stock may not have the same rights as holders of the company's common stock.

Exchange-Traded Products

ETPs include exchange-traded funds (“ETFs”) registered under the 1940 Act; exchange-traded products registered under the Securities Act; and exchange-traded notes (“ETNs”). A Fund may invest in new ETPs or ETPs that have not yet established a deep trading market at the time of investment. Shares of such ETPs may experience limited trading volume and less liquidity, in which case the “spread” (the difference between bid price and ask price) may be higher.

- *Digital Asset Exchange-Traded Products.* “Digital Asset Exchange-Traded Products” are (i) exchange-traded pooled investment vehicles that invest directly in a digital asset and are not registered as investment companies under the 1940 Act and thus do not provide the protection of the 1940 Act (e.g., “spot” bitcoin or other Exchange-Traded Products), and (ii) exchange-traded pooled

investment vehicles that only invest indirectly in a digital asset and seek to track the price movement of the digital asset or a digital asset index which may be registered as investment companies under the 1940 Act. These products are long-only and passively managed with a mandate to track the price movement of the digital asset or a digital asset index. The spot Digital Asset Exchange-Traded Products seek to reflect the performance of the value of the underlying digital asset as represented by an index (e.g., with respect to bitcoin, the CME CF Bitcoin Reference Rate - New York Variant). In seeking to achieve their investment objectives, the spot Digital Asset Exchange-Traded Products will hold digital assets and will value their shares daily based on the value of the underlying digital asset as reflected by such index, which is an independently calculated value based on an aggregation of executed trade flow of major digital asset spot exchanges. The Digital Asset Exchange-Traded Products which invest indirectly in digital assets seek to track the performance of the underlying digital asset through investment in derivatives that reference the performance of a fund or index tied to the underlying digital asset.

- *Exchange-Traded Notes Risk.* A Fund's investments in crypto asset-linked instruments may include investments in ETNs. ETNs are senior, unsecured, unsubordinated debt securities whose returns are linked to the performance of a particular market benchmark or strategy minus applicable fees. ETNs are traded on an exchange during normal trading hours. In addition to trading ETNs on exchanges, investors may redeem ETNs directly with the issuer on a weekly basis, typically in a minimum amount of 50,000 units. Investors can also hold the ETN until maturity. At maturity, the issuer pays to the investor a cash amount equal to the principal amount, subject to the day's market benchmark or strategy factor. ETNs may be riskier than ordinary debt securities and do not make periodic coupon payments or provide principal protection. ETNs are subject to credit risk and the value of the ETN may drop due to a downgrade in the issuer's credit rating, despite the underlying market benchmark or strategy remaining unchanged. The value of an ETN may also be influenced by many factors, including time to maturity, level of supply and demand for the ETN, volatility and lack of liquidity in underlying assets, changes in the applicable interest rates, changes in the issuer's credit rating, and economic, legal, political, or geographic events that affect the referenced underlying asset. When a Fund invests in ETNs, it will bear its proportionate share of any fees and expenses borne by the ETN. A Fund's decision to sell ETN holdings may be limited by the availability of a secondary market. ETNs are also subject to tax risk. There may be times when an ETN share trades at a premium or discount to its market benchmark or strategy. Investing in ETNs is not equivalent to investing directly in index components or the relevant index itself. Because ETNs are debt securities, they possess credit risk; if the issuer has financial difficulties or goes bankrupt, the investor may not receive the return it was promised.
- *Exchange-Traded Funds Risk.* To the extent a Fund invests in another ETF, the Fund would bear, along with other shareholders, its pro rata portion of the other ETF's expenses, including advisory fees. Such expenses are in addition to the expenses each Fund pays in connection with its own operations. A Fund's investments in other ETFs may be limited by applicable law.

Disruptions in the markets for the securities underlying ETFs purchased or sold by a Fund could result in losses on investments in ETFs. ETFs also carry the risk that the price a Fund pays or receives may be higher or lower than the ETF's NAV. ETFs are also subject to certain additional risks, including the risks of illiquidity and of possible trading halts due to market conditions or other reasons, based on the policies of the relevant exchange. ETFs and other investment companies in which a Fund may invest may be leveraged, which would increase the volatility of a Fund's NAV. The Funds also may invest in ETFs and other investment companies that seek to return the inverse of the performance of an underlying index on a daily, monthly, or other basis, including inverse leveraged ETFs.

Illiquid Investments

A Fund may not acquire any illiquid investment if, immediately after the acquisition, a Fund would have invested more than 15% of its net assets in illiquid investments. An illiquid investment means any investment that a Fund reasonably expects cannot be sold or disposed of in current market conditions in seven calendar days or less without the sale or disposition significantly changing the market value of the investment. If illiquid investments exceed 15% of a Fund's net assets, certain remedial actions will be taken as required by Rule 22e-4 under the 1940 Act and a Fund's policies and procedures.

A Fund may not be able to sell illiquid securities when the Adviser considers it desirable to do so or may have to sell such securities at a price that is lower than the price that could be obtained if the securities were more liquid. In addition, the sale of illiquid securities also may require more time and may result in higher dealer discounts and other selling expenses than does the sale of securities that are not illiquid. Illiquid securities also may be more difficult to value due to the unavailability of reliable market quotations for such securities, and investment in illiquid securities may have an adverse impact on NAV.

Investment Company Securities

The Funds may invest in the securities of other investment companies, including ETFs and money market funds, subject to applicable limitations under Section 12(d)(1) of the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder. Pursuant to Section 12(d)(1), a Fund may invest in the securities of another investment company (the "acquired company") provided that such Fund, immediately after such purchase or acquisition, does not own in the aggregate: (i) more than 3% of the total outstanding voting stock of the acquired company; (ii) securities issued by the acquired company having an aggregate value in excess of 5% of the value of the total assets of such Fund; or (iii) securities issued by the acquired company and all other investment companies (other than treasury stock of such Fund) having an aggregate value in excess of 10% of the value of the total assets of the applicable Fund. Under certain circumstances, including in

compliance with Rule 12d1-4 under the 1940 Act, the Funds may invest its assets in securities of investment companies, including money market funds, in excess of the limits discussed above.

Investing in another pooled vehicle exposes a Fund to all the risks of that pooled vehicle. In addition, if a Fund invests in and, thus, is a shareholder of, another investment company, the Fund's shareholders will indirectly bear the Fund's proportionate share of the fees and expenses paid by such other investment company, including advisory fees, in addition to both the management fees payable directly by the Fund to the Fund's own investment adviser and the other expenses that the Fund bears directly in connection with the Fund's own operations.

Other Short-Term Instruments

The Funds may invest in short-term instruments, including money market instruments, on an ongoing basis to provide liquidity or for other reasons. Money market instruments are generally short-term investments that may include but are not limited to: (i) shares of money market funds; (ii) obligations issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, its agencies, or instrumentalities (including government-sponsored enterprises); (iii) negotiable certificates of deposit ("CDs"), bankers' acceptances, fixed time deposits, and other obligations of U.S. and foreign banks (including foreign branches) and similar institutions; (iv) commercial paper rated at the date of purchase "Prime-1" by Moody's or "A-1" by S&P or, if unrated, of comparable quality as determined by the Adviser; (v) non-convertible corporate debt securities (e.g., bonds and debentures) with remaining maturities at the date of purchase of not more than 397 days and that satisfy the rating requirements set forth in Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act; and (vi) short-term U.S. dollar-denominated obligations of foreign banks (including U.S. branches) that, in the opinion of the Adviser, are of comparable quality to obligations of U.S. banks which may be purchased by a Fund. Any of these instruments may be purchased on a current or a forward-settled basis. Money market instruments also include shares of money market funds. Time deposits are non-negotiable deposits maintained in banking institutions for specified periods of time at stated interest rates. Bankers' acceptances are time drafts drawn on commercial banks by borrowers, usually in connection with international transactions.

Repurchase Agreements

Each Fund may invest in repurchase agreements with commercial banks, brokers, or dealers to generate income from its excess cash balances and to invest in securities lending cash collateral. A repurchase agreement is an agreement under which a Fund acquires a financial instrument (e.g., a security issued by the U.S. government or an agency thereof, a banker's acceptance or a certificate of deposit) from a seller, subject to resale to the seller at an agreed upon price and date (normally, the next Business Day). A repurchase agreement may be considered a loan collateralized by securities. The resale price reflects an agreed upon interest rate effective for the period the instrument is held by the applicable Fund and is unrelated to the interest rate on the underlying instrument.

In these repurchase agreement transactions, the securities acquired by a Fund (including accrued interest earned thereon) must have a total value in excess of the value of the repurchase agreement and are held by the Custodian until repurchased. No more than an aggregate of 15% of a Fund's net assets will be invested in illiquid investments, including repurchase agreements having maturities longer than seven days and securities subject to legal or contractual restrictions on resale, or for which there are no readily available market quotations.

The use of repurchase agreements involves certain risks. For example, if the other party to the agreement defaults on its obligation to repurchase the underlying security at a time when the value of the security has declined, a Fund may incur a loss upon disposition of the security. If the other party to the agreement becomes insolvent and subject to liquidation or reorganization under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or other laws, a court may determine that the underlying security is collateral for a loan by a Fund not within the control of the Fund and, therefore, the Fund may not be able to substantiate its interest in the underlying security and may be deemed an unsecured creditor of the other party to the agreement.

Reverse Repurchase Agreements

A Fund may enter into reverse repurchase agreements, which involve the sale of securities held by the Fund subject to its agreement to repurchase the securities at an agreed-upon date or upon demand and at a price reflecting a market rate of interest. Reverse repurchase agreements may be entered into only with banks or securities dealers or their affiliates. While a reverse repurchase agreement is outstanding, a Fund will, for all of its reverse repurchase agreements, either (i) consistent with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, maintain asset coverage of at least 300% of the value of the repurchase agreement or (ii) treat the reverse repurchase agreement as a derivatives transaction for purposes of Rule 18f-4, including, as applicable, the VaR-based limit on leverage risk.

Reverse repurchase agreements involve the risk that the buyer of the securities sold by a Fund might be unable to deliver them when the Fund seeks to repurchase. If the buyer of securities under a reverse repurchase agreement files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent, the buyer or trustee or receiver may receive an extension of time to determine whether to enforce the Fund's obligation to repurchase the securities, and the Fund's use of the proceeds of the reverse repurchase agreement may effectively be restricted pending such decision.

Non-U.S. Securities

Each Fund may invest in non-U.S. securities. Investments in non-U.S. securities involve certain risks that may not be present in investments in U.S. securities. For example, non-U.S. securities may be subject to currency risks or to foreign government taxes.

There may be less information publicly available about a non-U.S. issuer than about a U.S. issuer, and a foreign issuer may or may not be subject to uniform accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards and practices comparable to those in the U.S. Other risks of investing in such securities include political or economic instability in the country involved, the difficulty of predicting international trade patterns and the possibility of imposition of exchange controls. The prices of such securities may be more volatile than those of domestic securities. With respect to certain foreign countries, there is a possibility of expropriation of assets or nationalization, imposition of withholding taxes on dividend or interest payments, difficulty in obtaining and enforcing judgments against foreign entities or diplomatic developments which could affect investment in these countries. Losses and other expenses may be incurred in converting between various currencies in connection with purchases and sales of foreign securities. Since foreign exchanges may be open on days when the Funds do not price their Shares, the value of the securities in a Fund's portfolio may change on days when shareholders will not be able to purchase or sell the Funds' Shares. Conversely, Shares may trade on days when foreign exchanges are closed. Each of these factors can make investments in the Funds more volatile and potentially less liquid than other types of investments.

Non-U.S. stock markets may not be as developed or efficient as, and may be more volatile than, those in the U.S. While the volume of shares traded on non-U.S. stock markets generally has been growing, such markets usually have substantially less volume than U.S. markets. Therefore, a Fund's investment in non-U.S. equity securities may be less liquid and subject to more rapid and erratic price movements than comparable securities listed for trading on U.S. exchanges. Non-U.S. equity securities may trade at price/earnings multiples higher than comparable U.S. securities and such levels may not be sustainable. There may be less government supervision and regulation of foreign stock exchanges, brokers, banks and listed companies abroad than in the U.S. Moreover, settlement practices for transactions in foreign markets may differ from those in U.S. markets. Such differences may include delays beyond periods customary in the U.S. and practices, such as delivery of securities prior to receipt of payment, that increase the likelihood of a failed settlement, which can result in losses to a Fund. The value of non-U.S. investments and the investment income derived from them also may be affected unfavorably by changes in currency exchange control regulations. Foreign brokerage commissions, custodial expenses and other fees also are generally higher than for securities traded in the U.S. This may cause a Fund to incur higher portfolio transaction costs than domestic equity funds. Fluctuations in exchange rates also may affect the earning power and asset value of the foreign entity issuing a security, even one denominated in U.S. dollars. Dividend and interest payments may be repatriated based on the exchange rate at the time of disbursement, and restrictions on capital flows may be imposed.

Securities Lending

Each Fund may lend portfolio securities in an amount up to one-third of its total assets to brokers, dealers, and other financial institutions. In a portfolio securities lending transaction, a Fund receives from the borrower an amount equal to the interest paid or the dividends declared on the loaned securities during the term of the loan as well as the interest on the collateral securities, less any fees (such as finder's or administrative fees) the Fund pays in arranging the loan. A Fund may share the interest it receives on the collateral securities with the borrower. The terms of each Fund's loans permit it to reacquire loaned securities on five business days' notice or in time to vote on any important matter. Loans are subject to termination at the option of the applicable Fund or borrower at any time, and the borrowed securities must be returned when the loan is terminated. The Funds may pay fees to arrange for securities loans.

The SEC currently requires that the following conditions must be met whenever a Fund's portfolio securities are loaned: (1) the Fund must receive at least 100% cash collateral from the borrower; (2) the borrower must increase such collateral whenever the market value of the securities rises above the level of such collateral; (3) the Fund must be able to terminate the loan at any time; (4) the Fund must receive reasonable interest on the loan, as well as any dividends, interest or other distributions on the loaned securities, and any increase in market value; (5) the Fund may pay only reasonable custodian fees approved by the Board in connection with the loan; (6) while voting rights on the loaned securities may pass to the borrower, the Board must terminate the loan and regain the right to vote the securities if a material event adversely affecting the investment occurs; and (7) the Fund may not loan its portfolio securities so that the value of the loaned securities is more than one-third of its total asset value, including collateral received from such loans. These conditions may be subject to future modification. Such loans will be terminable at any time upon specified notice. A Fund might experience the risk of loss if the institution with which it has engaged in a portfolio loan transaction breaches its agreement with the Fund. In addition, the Funds will not enter into any portfolio security lending arrangement having a duration of longer than one year. The principal risk of portfolio lending is potential default or insolvency of the borrower. In either of these cases, a Fund could experience delays in recovering securities or collateral or could lose all or part of the value of the loaned securities. As part of participating in a lending program, the applicable Fund may be required to invest in collateralized debt or other securities that bear the risk of loss of principal. In addition, all investments made with the collateral received are subject to the risks associated with such investments. If such investments lose value, a Fund will have to cover the loss when repaying the collateral.

Any loans of portfolio securities are fully collateralized based on values that are marked-to-market daily. Any securities that a Fund may receive as collateral will not become part of a Fund's investment portfolio at the time of the loan and, in the event of a default by the borrower, the Fund will, if permitted by law, dispose of such collateral except for such part thereof that is a security in which the Fund is permitted to invest. During the time securities are on loan, the borrower will pay a Fund any accrued income on those securities, and the Fund may invest the cash collateral and earn income or receive an agreed-upon fee from a borrower that has delivered cash-equivalent collateral.

Subsidiary Risks

Each Fund may invest up to 25% of its assets in a subsidiary that is wholly-owned by such Fund and organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands (the “Subsidiary”). A Fund is the sole shareholder of its applicable Subsidiary and does not expect shares of the Subsidiary to be offered or sold to other investors.

Each Fund will invest in its applicable Subsidiary in order to gain exposure to investment returns within the limitations of the federal tax law requirements applicable to RICs. A Subsidiary may invest, to a greater extent than its applicable Fund, in derivative instruments, including futures contracts, swap agreements, structured notes, as well as other instruments intended to serve as margin or collateral for these derivative instruments. A Subsidiary may invest in any type of investment in which its applicable Fund is permitted to invest, as described in the Prospectus and this SAI. A Fund’s investment in its applicable Subsidiary will not exceed 25% of the value of such Fund’s total assets (notwithstanding any subsequent market appreciation in the Subsidiary’s value). Asset limitations are imposed by the Code and are measured at each taxable year and quarter end. The Adviser also serves as the investment adviser to the Subsidiaries.

A Subsidiary is not registered under the 1940 Act but will be subject to certain protections of the 1940 Act with respect to its applicable Fund, as described in this SAI. All of a Fund’s investments in its applicable Subsidiary will be subject to the investment policies and restrictions of such Fund, including those related to leverage, collateral and segregation requirements, and liquidity. In addition, the valuation and brokerage policies of a Fund will be applied to its applicable Subsidiary. A Fund’s investments in its applicable Subsidiary are not subject to all investor protection provisions of the 1940 Act. To the extent applicable, each Subsidiary otherwise is subject to the same fundamental investment restrictions as its applicable Fund and, in particular, to the same requirements relating to portfolio leverage, liquidity, and the timing and method of valuation of portfolio investments and Fund shares. Accordingly, references in this SAI to a Fund may also include its applicable Subsidiary. By investing in its Subsidiary, each Fund may be considered to be investing indirectly in the same investments as such Subsidiary and is indirectly exposed to the risk associated with those investments. Because a Fund is the sole investor in its applicable Subsidiary, it is not likely that such Subsidiary will take any action that is contrary to the interests of its applicable Fund and its respective shareholders.

Each Subsidiary has a board of directors that oversees its activities. Each Subsidiary has entered into a separate investment advisory agreement with the Adviser. Each Subsidiary also has entered into agreements with its applicable Fund’s service providers for the provision of administrative, accounting, transfer agency, and custody services.

Each Subsidiary is subject to regulation as a commodity pool under the CEA and the CFTC rules and regulations. The Adviser serves as the CPO of each Subsidiary. The Adviser is currently registered as a CPO with the CFTC and is a member of the National Futures Association (“NFA”). There is no assurance that the Adviser will remain a registered CPO with respect to a Subsidiary, or that a Subsidiary will remain a commodity pool to the extent that one or more exclusions or exemptions are available under applicable CFTC regulations. The Adviser currently does not rely on an exclusion from the definition of CPO in CFTC Rule 4.5 with respect to the Funds. The Adviser is subject to dual regulation by the CFTC and the SEC. The CFTC adopted regulations that seek to “harmonize” CFTC regulations with overlapping SEC rules and regulations. The Adviser has availed itself of the CFTC’s substituted compliance option under the harmonization regulations pursuant to CFTC Regulation 4.12(c) with respect to each Fund by filing a notice with the National Futures Association. The Adviser will remain subject to certain CFTC-mandated disclosure, reporting and recordkeeping regulations.

The financial information of a Subsidiary will be consolidated into its applicable Fund’s financial statements, as contained within such Fund’s Form N-CSR Filing.

Regulatory changes, including changes in the laws of the U.S. or the Cayman Islands, could result in the inability of a Fund and/or a Subsidiary to operate as described in the Funds’ Prospectus and this SAI. Such changes could potentially impact a Fund’s ability to implement its investment strategy and could result in decreased investment returns. In addition, in the event changes to the laws of the Cayman Islands require a Subsidiary to pay taxes to a governmental authority, such Fund would be likely to suffer decreased returns.

A U.S. person, including a Fund, who owns (directly or indirectly) 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of 10% or more of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of a foreign corporation is a “U.S. Shareholder” for purposes of the controlled foreign corporation (“CFC”) provisions of the Code. A CFC is a foreign corporation that, on any day of its taxable year, is owned (directly, indirectly, or constructively) more than 50% (measured by voting power or value) by U.S. Shareholders. Because of its investment in a Subsidiary, each Fund is a U.S. Shareholder in a CFC. As a U.S. Shareholder, a Fund is required to include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes for each taxable year of such Fund its pro rata share of its CFC’s “Subpart F” income (discussed further below) and any “global intangible low-taxed income” or (“GILTI”) for the CFC’s taxable year ending within such Fund’s taxable year whether or not such income is actually distributed by the CFC. GILTI generally includes the active operating profits of the CFC, reduced by a deemed return on the tax basis of the CFC’s depreciable tangible assets.

In order to qualify as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and be eligible to receive “pass-through” tax treatment, a Fund must, among other things, meet certain requirements regarding the source of its income, the diversification of its assets and the distribution of its income. Under the source of income test, at least 90% of a RIC’s gross income each year must be “qualifying income,” which generally consists of dividends, interest, gains on investment assets and certain other categories of investment income. Qualifying

income generally does not include income derived directly from certain crypto asset-linked derivatives instruments. A Fund's investment in its applicable Subsidiary is intended to provide such Fund with exposure to certain Underlying Crypto Assets through derivatives instruments within the limitations of the Code such that the Fund continues to qualify as a RIC. The "Subpart F" income (defined in Section 951 of the Code to include passive income) of a Fund attributable to its investment in its applicable Subsidiary is "qualifying income" to such Fund to the extent that such income is derived with respect to the Fund's business of investing in stock, securities or currencies. A Fund expects its "Subpart F" income attributable to its investment in its applicable Subsidiary to be derived with respect to such Fund's business of investing in stock, securities or currencies and to be treated as "qualifying income." The Adviser will carefully monitor a Fund's investments in its applicable Subsidiary to ensure that no more than 25% of such Fund's assets are invested in its applicable Subsidiary.

Subpart F income and GILTI are treated as ordinary income, regardless of the character of the CFC's underlying income. Net losses incurred by a CFC during a tax year do not flow through to a Fund and thus will not be available to offset income or capital gain generated from such Fund's other investments. In addition, net losses incurred by a CFC during a tax year generally cannot be carried forward by the CFC to offset gains realized by it in subsequent taxable years. To the extent a Fund invests in its applicable Subsidiary and recognizes "Subpart F" income or GILTI in excess of actual cash distributions from such Subsidiary, if any, such Fund may be required to sell assets (including when it is not advantageous to do so) to generate the cash necessary to distribute as dividends to its shareholders all of its income and gains and therefore to eliminate any tax liability at the Fund level. "Subpart F" income also includes the excess of gains over losses from transactions (including futures, forward and other similar transactions) in commodities.

A Fund's recognition of any "Subpart F" income or GILTI from an investment in its applicable Subsidiary will increase such Fund's tax basis in the Subsidiary. Distributions by a Subsidiary to its applicable Fund, including in redemption of such Subsidiary's shares, will be tax free, to the extent of the Subsidiary's previously undistributed "Subpart F" income or GILTI, and will correspondingly reduce the Fund's tax basis in its Subsidiary, and any distributions in excess of the Fund's tax basis in its Subsidiary will be treated as realized gain. Any losses with respect to a Fund's shares of its applicable Subsidiary will not be currently recognized. A Fund's investment in its applicable Subsidiary will potentially have the effect of accelerating such Fund's recognition of income and causing its income to be treated as ordinary income, regardless of the character of its Subsidiary's income. If a net loss is realized by a Subsidiary, such loss is generally not available to offset the income earned by its applicable Fund. In addition, the net losses incurred during a taxable year by a Subsidiary cannot be carried forward by such Subsidiary to offset gains realized by it in subsequent taxable years. A Fund will not receive any credit in respect of any non-U.S. tax borne by its applicable Subsidiary.

The federal income tax treatment of a Fund's income from its applicable Subsidiary also may be negatively affected by future legislation, Treasury Regulations (proposed or final), and/or other Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") guidance or authorities that could affect the character, timing of recognition, and/or amount of such Fund's investment company taxable income and/or net capital gains and, therefore, the distributions it makes. If a Fund failed the source of income test for any taxable year but was eligible to and did cure the failure, it could incur potentially significant additional federal income tax expenses. If, on the other hand, a Fund failed to qualify as a RIC for any taxable year and was ineligible to or otherwise did not cure the failure, it would be subject to federal income tax at the fund level on its taxable income at the regular corporate tax rate (without reduction for distributions to shareholders), with the consequence that its income available for distribution to shareholders would be reduced and distributions from its current or accumulated earnings and profits would generally be taxable to its shareholders as dividend income.

Tax Risks

As with any investment, you should consider how your investment in Shares will be taxed. The tax information in the Prospectus and this SAI is provided as general information. You should consult your own tax professional about the tax consequences of an investment in Shares.

A Fund intends to qualify annually to be treated as a RIC under the Code. To qualify as a RIC under the Code, a Fund must invest in assets which produce the types of income specified in the Code and the Treasury regulations ("Qualifying Income"). Whether the income from certain derivatives, swaps, commodity-linked derivatives and other commodity/crypto asset-related securities, including income from such Fund's investment in its applicable Subsidiary, is Qualifying Income is not entirely clear. A Fund's investment in its applicable Subsidiary is expected to provide such Fund with exposure to the Underlying Crypto Assets through derivatives instruments within the limitations of the Code for qualification as a RIC, but there is a risk that the IRS could assert that the income derived from the Fund's investment in the Subsidiary and certain commodity-linked structured notes will not be considered Qualifying Income. For more information on the tax risks related to a Subsidiary, see the section "Subsidiary Risks," above.

An investment in a Subsidiary generally may not exceed 25% of the value of its applicable Fund's total assets at the end of each quarter of such Fund's taxable year. If a Subsidiary does exceed 25% of the value of its applicable Fund's total assets, in any quarter, such Fund may fail to qualify as a RIC under the Code. See "Federal Income Taxes" below for additional information related to these restrictions.

In addition, a Fund's transactions in financial instruments, including, but not limited to, options, futures contracts, and hedging transactions, will be subject to special tax rules (which may include mark to market, constructive sale, wash sale, and short sale rules), the effect of which may be to accelerate income to such Fund, defer losses to such Fund, cause adjustments in the holding periods of such Fund's securities, convert long-term capital gains into short-term capital gains or convert short-term capital losses into long-term

capital losses. These rules could, therefore, affect the amount, timing and character of distributions to a Fund's shareholders. A Fund's use of such transactions may result in it realizing more short-term capital gains and ordinary income, in each case subject to U.S. federal income tax at higher ordinary income tax rates, than it would if it did not engage in such transactions.

As with any investment, you should consider how your investment in Shares will be taxed. The tax information in the Prospectus and this SAI is provided as general information. You should consult your own tax professional about the tax consequences of an investment in Shares.

U.S. Government Securities

Each Fund may invest in U.S. government securities. Securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, or its agencies or instrumentalities include U.S. Treasury securities, which are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Treasury, and which differ only in their interest rates, maturities, and times of issuance. U.S. Treasury bills have initial maturities of one-year or less; U.S. Treasury notes have initial maturities of one to ten years; and U.S. Treasury bonds generally have initial maturities of greater than ten years. Certain U.S. government securities are issued or guaranteed by agencies or instrumentalities of the U.S. government including, but not limited to, obligations of U.S. government agencies or instrumentalities such as the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), the Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae"), the Small Business Administration, the Federal Farm Credit Administration, the Federal Home Loan Banks, Banks for Cooperatives (including the Central Bank for Cooperatives), the Federal Land Banks, the Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Export-Import Bank of the United States, the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Federal Financing Bank, the Student Loan Marketing Association, the National Credit Union Administration and the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation.

Some obligations issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies and instrumentalities, including, for example, Ginnie Mae pass-through certificates, are supported by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Treasury. Other obligations issued by or guaranteed by federal agencies, such as those securities issued by Fannie Mae, are supported by the discretionary authority of the U.S. government to purchase certain obligations of the federal agency, while other obligations issued by or guaranteed by federal agencies, such as those of the Federal Home Loan Banks, are supported by the right of the issuer to borrow from the U.S. Treasury, while the U.S. government provides financial support to such U.S. government-sponsored federal agencies, no assurance can be given that the U.S. government will always do so, since the U.S. government is not so obligated by law. U.S. Treasury notes and bonds typically pay coupon interest semi-annually and repay the principal at maturity.

On September 7, 2008, the U.S. Treasury announced a federal takeover of Fannie Mae and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), placing the two federal instrumentalities in conservatorship. Under the takeover, the U.S. Treasury agreed to acquire \$1 billion of senior preferred stock of each instrumentality and obtained warrants for the purchase of common stock of each instrumentality (the "Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement" or "Agreement"). Under the Agreement, the U.S. Treasury pledged to provide up to \$200 billion per instrumentality as needed, including the contribution of cash capital to the instrumentalities in the event their liabilities exceed their assets. This was intended to ensure that the instrumentalities maintain a positive net worth and meet their financial obligations, preventing mandatory triggering of receivership. On December 24, 2009, the U.S. Treasury announced that it was amending the Agreement to allow the \$200 billion cap on the U.S. Treasury's funding commitment to increase as necessary to accommodate any cumulative reduction in net worth over the next three years. As a result of this Agreement, the investments of holders, including a Fund, of mortgage-backed securities and other obligations issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are protected.

The total public debt of the United States as a percentage of gross domestic product has grown rapidly since the beginning of the 2008-2009 financial downturn. Although high debt levels do not necessarily indicate or cause economic problems, they may create certain systemic risks if sound debt management practices are not implemented. A high national debt can raise concerns that the U.S. government will not be able to make principal or interest payments when they are due. In August 2011, S&P lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. In explaining the downgrade at that time, S&P cited, among other reasons, controversy over raising the statutory debt limit and growth in public spending. In August 2023, Fitch Ratings also downgraded its U.S. debt rating from AAA to AA+, citing expected fiscal deterioration over the next three years and repeated down-to-the-wire debt ceiling negotiations. In May 2025, Moody's lowered the long-term issuer rating of the U.S. to Aa1 from Aaa to reflect over a decade of increasing government debt and high interest payment ratios relative to similarly rated sovereigns.

An increase in national debt levels also may necessitate the need for the U.S. Congress to negotiate adjustments to the statutory debt ceiling to increase the cap on the amount the U.S. government is permitted to borrow to meet its existing obligations and finance current budget deficits. Future downgrades could increase volatility in domestic and foreign financial markets, result in higher interest rates, lower prices of U.S. Treasury securities and increase the costs of different kinds of debt. Any controversy or ongoing uncertainty regarding the statutory debt ceiling negotiations may impact the U.S. long-term sovereign credit rating and may cause market uncertainty. As a result, market prices and yields of securities supported by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government may be adversely affected.

When-Issued Securities

A when-issued security is one whose terms are available and for which a market exists, but which has not been issued. When a Fund engages in when-issued transactions, it relies on the other party to consummate the sale. If the other party fails to complete the sale, a Fund may miss the opportunity to obtain the security at a favorable price or yield.

When purchasing a security on a when-issued basis, a Fund assumes the rights and risks of ownership of the security, including the risk of price and yield changes. At the time of settlement, the value of the security may be more or less than the purchase price. The yield available in the market when the delivery takes place also may be higher than those obtained in the transaction itself. Because a Fund does not pay for the security until the delivery date, these risks are in addition to the risks associated with its other investments.

Decisions to enter into “when-issued” transactions will be considered on a case-by-case basis when necessary to maintain continuity in a company’s index membership.

INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS

The Trust has adopted the following investment restrictions as fundamental policies with respect to the Funds. These restrictions cannot be changed with respect to each Fund without the approval of the holders of a majority of that Fund’s outstanding voting securities. For the purposes of the 1940 Act, a “majority of outstanding shares” means the vote of the lesser of: (1) 67% or more of the voting securities of a Fund present at the meeting if the holders of more than 50% of the Fund’s outstanding voting securities are present or represented by proxy; or (2) more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of a Fund.

Except with the approval of a majority of the outstanding voting securities, each Fund may not:

1. Borrow money or issue senior securities (as defined under the 1940 Act), except to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act.
2. Make loans, except to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act.
3. Purchase or sell real estate unless acquired as a result of ownership of securities or other instruments, except to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act. This shall not prevent the Fund from investing in securities or other instruments backed by real estate, real estate investment trusts (“REITs”), or securities of companies engaged in the real estate business.
4. Invest in physical commodities, except to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act or other governing statute, by the rules thereunder, or by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory agency with authority over the Funds. This shall not prevent the Fund from purchasing or selling options and futures contracts or from investing in securities or other instruments backed by physical commodities.
5. Underwrite securities issued by other persons, except to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act.
6. To the extent the Index concentrates (i.e., holds more than 25% of its total assets) in the securities of a particular industry or group of related industries, the Fund will concentrate its investments to approximately the same extent as the Index.

In addition to the investment restrictions adopted as fundamental policies as set forth above, each Fund observes the following non-fundamental restriction, which may be changed without a shareholder vote upon 60 days’ notice.

1. Under normal circumstances, 21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 Index ETF at least 80% of its net assets, plus the amount of any borrowings for investment purposes, in investments that provide exposure to Underlying Crypto Assets or have economic characteristics that are substantially similar to Underlying Crypto Assets.
2. Under normal circumstances, 21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF at least 80% of its net assets, plus the amount of any borrowings for investment purposes, in investments that provide exposure to Underlying Crypto Assets or have economic characteristics that are substantially similar to Underlying Crypto Assets.

The following descriptions of certain provisions of the 1940 Act may assist investors in understanding the above policies and restrictions:

Borrowing. The 1940 Act presently allows a fund to borrow from any bank (including pledging, mortgaging, or hypothecating assets) in an amount up to 33 1/3% of its total assets (not including temporary borrowings not in excess of 5% of its total assets).

Senior Securities. For purposes of fundamental policy no. 1 above, senior securities may include any obligation or instrument constituting a security issued by a Fund and evidencing indebtedness or a future payment obligation. The 1940 Act generally prohibits funds from issuing senior securities other than borrowing from a bank subject to specific asset coverage requirements. The 1940 Act prohibitions and restrictions on the issuance of senior securities are designed to protect shareholders from the potentially adverse effects of a fund’s issuance of senior securities, including, in particular, the risks associated with excessive leverage of a fund’s assets. Certain types of derivatives give rise to future payment obligations and therefore also may be considered to be senior securities. Rule 18f-4 under the 1940 Act permits funds that comply with the conditions therein to enter into certain types of derivatives transactions notwithstanding the prohibitions and restrictions on the issuance of senior securities under the 1940 Act. To the extent consistent with its investment strategies, a Fund may invest in derivatives in compliance with the conditions set forth in Rule 18f-4 under the 1940 Act.

Lending. Under the 1940 Act, a fund may only make loans if expressly permitted by its investment policies.

Real Estate and Commodities. The 1940 Act does not directly restrict an investment company's ability to invest in real estate or commodities, but does require that every investment company have a fundamental investment policy governing such investments.

Underwriting. Under the 1940 Act, underwriting securities involves a fund purchasing securities directly from an issuer for the purpose of selling (distributing) them or participating in any such activity either directly or indirectly.

If a percentage limitation is adhered to at the time of investment or contract, a later increase or decrease in percentage resulting from any change in value or total or net assets will not result in a violation of such restriction, except that the percentage limitation with respect to the borrowing of money will be observed continuously.

EXCHANGE LISTING AND TRADING

Shares are listed for trading and trade throughout the day on the Exchange.

There can be no assurance that a Fund will continue to meet the requirements of the Exchange necessary to maintain the listing of Shares. The Exchange will consider the suspension of trading in, and will initiate delisting proceedings of, the Shares under any of the following circumstances: (i) if any of the requirements set forth in the Exchange rules are not continuously maintained, including compliance with Rule 6c-11(c) under the 1940 Act; (ii) if, following the initial 12-month period beginning at the commencement of trading of a Fund, there are fewer than 50 beneficial owners of the Shares of such Fund; or (iii) if such other event shall occur or condition shall exist that, in the opinion of the Exchange, makes further dealings on the Exchange inadvisable. The Exchange will remove the Shares of a Fund from listing and trading upon termination of such Fund.

The Trust reserves the right to adjust the price levels of Shares in the future to help maintain convenient trading ranges for investors. Any adjustments would be accomplished through stock splits or reverse stock splits, which would have no effect on the net assets of the applicable Fund.

MANAGEMENT OF THE TRUST

Board Responsibilities. The management and affairs of the Trust and its series are overseen by the Board, which elects the officers of the Trust who are responsible for administering the day-to-day operations of the Trust and the Funds. The Board has approved contracts, as described below, under which certain companies provide essential services to the Trust.

The day-to-day business of the Trust, including the management of risk, is performed by third-party service providers, such as the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser, the Distributor, and the Administrator. The Board is responsible for overseeing the Trust's service providers and, thus, has oversight responsibility with respect to risk management performed by those service providers. Risk management seeks to identify and address risks, *i.e.*, events or circumstances that could have material adverse effects on the business, operations, shareholder services, investment performance or reputation of a Fund. The Funds and their service providers employ a variety of processes, procedures and controls to identify various of those possible events or circumstances, to lessen the probability of their occurrence and/or to mitigate the effects of such events or circumstances if they do occur. Each service provider is responsible for one or more discrete aspects of the Trust's business (*e.g.*, the Adviser is responsible for the day-to-day management of each Fund's portfolio investments) and, consequently, for managing the risks associated with that business. The Board has emphasized to the Funds' service providers the importance of maintaining vigorous risk management.

The Board's role in risk oversight begins before the inception of the Funds, at which time certain of the Funds' service providers present the Board with information concerning the investment objectives, strategies and risks of the Funds as well as proposed investment limitations for the Funds. Additionally, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser provide the Board with an overview of, among other things, its investment philosophy, brokerage practices and compliance infrastructure. Thereafter, the Board continues its oversight function of various personnel, including the Trust's Chief Compliance Officer, as well as personnel of the Sub-Adviser, and other service providers such as the Funds' independent registered public accounting firm, who make periodic reports to the Audit Committee or to the Board with respect to various aspects of risk management. The Board and the Audit Committee oversee efforts by management and service providers to manage risks to which the Funds may be exposed.

The Board is responsible for overseeing the nature, extent, and quality of the services provided to the Funds by the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser and receives information about those services at its regular meetings. In addition, on an annual basis (following the initial two-year period), in connection with its consideration of whether to renew the Advisory Agreement (defined below) with the Adviser, and the Sub-Advisory Agreement with the Sub-Adviser, the Board or its designee may meet with the Adviser and/or the Sub-Adviser to review such services. Among other things, the Board regularly considers the Adviser's and the Sub-Adviser's adherence to each Fund's investment restrictions and compliance with various Fund policies and procedures and with applicable securities regulations. The Board also reviews information about each Fund's performance and investments, including, for example, portfolio holdings schedules.

The Trust's Chief Compliance Officer reports regularly to the Board to review and discuss compliance issues and Fund and Adviser or Sub-Adviser risk assessments. At least annually, the Trust's Chief Compliance Officer provides the Board with a report reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of the Trust's policies and procedures and those of its service providers, including the Adviser and the

Sub-Adviser. The report addresses the operation of the policies and procedures of the Trust and each service provider since the date of the last report; any material changes to the policies and procedures since the date of the last report; any recommendations for material changes to the policies and procedures; and any material compliance matters since the date of the last report.

The Board receives reports from the Funds' service providers regarding operational risks and risks related to the valuation and liquidity of portfolio securities. Annually, the Funds' independent registered public accounting firm reviews with the Audit Committee its audit of the Funds' financial statements, focusing on major areas of risk encountered by the Funds and noting any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the Funds' internal controls. Additionally, in connection with its oversight function, the Board oversees Fund management's implementation of disclosure controls and procedures, which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Trust in its periodic reports with the SEC are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the required time periods. The Board also oversees the Trust's internal controls over financial reporting, which comprise policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Trust's financial reporting and the preparation of the Trust's financial statements.

From their review of these reports and discussions with the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser, the Chief Compliance Officer, the independent registered public accounting firm and other service providers, the Board and the Audit Committee learn in detail about the material risks of each Fund, thereby facilitating a dialogue about how management and service providers identify and mitigate those risks.

The Board recognizes that not all risks that may affect a Fund can be identified and/or quantified, that it may not be practical or cost-effective to eliminate or mitigate certain risks, that it may be necessary to bear certain risks (such as investment-related risks) to achieve a Fund's goals, and that the processes, procedures and controls employed to address certain risks may be limited in their effectiveness. Moreover, reports received by the Board as to risk management matters are typically summaries of the relevant information. Most of the Funds' investment management and business affairs are carried out by or through the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser, and other service providers, each of which has an independent interest in risk management but whose policies and the methods by which one or more risk management functions are carried out may differ from the Funds' and each other's in the setting of priorities, the resources available or the effectiveness of relevant controls. As a result of the foregoing and other factors, the Board's ability to monitor and manage risk, as a practical matter, is subject to limitations.

Members of the Board. There are three members of the Board, none of whom are interested persons of the Trust, as that term is defined in the 1940 Act (the "Independent Trustees").

There is an Audit Committee of the Board that is chaired by an Independent Trustee and comprised solely of Independent Trustees. The Audit Committee chair presides at the Audit Committee meetings, participates in formulating agendas for Audit Committee meetings, and coordinates with management to serve as a liaison between the Independent Trustees and management on matters within the scope of responsibilities of the Audit Committee as set forth in its Board-approved charter. The Trust has not designated a lead Independent Trustee but has determined its leadership structure is appropriate given the specific characteristics and circumstances of the Trust. The Trust made this determination in consideration of, among other things, the fact that the Independent Trustees of the Trust constitute a super-majority of the Board, the number of Independent Trustees that constitute the Board, the amount of assets under management in the Trust, and the number of funds overseen by the Board. The Board also believes that its leadership structure facilitates the orderly and efficient flow of information to the Independent Trustees from Fund management.

Additional information about each Trustee of the Trust is set forth below. The address of each Trustee of the Trust is c/o U.S. Bank Global Fund Services, 615 East Michigan Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

Name and Year of Birth	Position Held with the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex* Overseen by Trustee	Other Directorships Held by Trustee During Past 5 Years
John L. Jacobs Year of birth: 1959	Trustee and Audit Committee Chair	Indefinite term; since 2017**	Founder and CEO of Q3 Advisors, LLC (financial consulting firm) (since 2015); Chairman of VettaFi, LLC (2018-2024); Executive Director of Center for Financial Markets and Policy (2016–2022); Distinguished Policy Fellow and Executive Director, Center for Financial Markets and Policy, Georgetown University (2015–2022)	37	Independent Trustee, TEMA ETF Trust (since 2023) (1 portfolio); NEOS ETF Trust (since 2021) (3 portfolios); Director, tZERO Group, Inc. (since 2020); Independent Trustee, Procure ETF Trust II (since 2018) (2 portfolios)
Koji Felton Year of birth: 1961	Trustee	Indefinite term; since 2019	Retired; formerly Counsel, Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. (investment firm) (2013–2015)	37	Independent Trustee, Series Portfolios Trust (since 2015) (19 portfolios)
Pamela H. Conroy Year of birth: 1961	Trustee, Chair, and Nominating and Governance Committee Chair	Indefinite term; since 2019	Retired; formerly Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer & Chief Compliance Officer, Institutional Capital Corporation (investment firm) (1994–2008)	37	Independent Trustee, Frontier Funds, Inc. (since 2020) (4 portfolios)

* The Trust is the only registered investment company in the Fund Complex.

** Mr. Jacobs began serving as a Trustee when the Trust was known by its former name, Active Weighting Funds ETF Trust.

Individual Trustee Qualifications. The Trust has concluded that each of the Trustees should serve on the Board because of their ability to review and understand information about the Funds provided to them by management, to identify and request other information they may deem relevant to the performance of their duties, to question management and other service providers regarding material factors bearing on the management and administration of the Funds, and to exercise their business judgment in a manner that serves the best interests of the Funds' shareholders. The Trust has concluded that each of the Trustees should serve as a Trustee based on his or her own experience, qualifications, attributes and skills as described below.

The Trust has concluded that Mr. Jacobs should serve as a Trustee because of his substantial industry experience. He most recently served as the CEO of Q3 Advisors, LLC and as the Distinguished Policy Fellow and Executive Director of the Center for Financial Markets and Policy, and as Adjunct Professor of Finance at the McDonough School of Business at Georgetown University. He also served as Senior Advisor and principal consultant to Nasdaq's CEO and President. Mr. Jacobs has been determined to qualify as an Audit Committee Financial Expert for the Trust.

The Trust has concluded that Mr. Felton should serve as a Trustee because of his substantial industry experience, including over two decades working in the asset management industry providing legal, regulatory compliance, governance and risk management advice to registered investment companies, their advisers and boards. Prior to that, he gained experience and perspective as a regulator while serving as an enforcement attorney and branch chief for the SEC. He also represented public companies and their boards of directors in securities class actions, derivative litigation and SEC investigations as a litigation associate at a national law firm. Mr. Felton currently serves as an independent trustee and chair of the nominating and governance committee of a mutual fund complex.

The Trust has concluded that Ms. Conroy should serve as a Trustee because of her substantial industry experience, including over 25 years of achievements at both a large, multi-location financial institution as well as a small, entrepreneurial firm. She has expertise in all facets of portfolio accounting, securities processing, trading operations, marketing, as well as legal and compliance.

In its periodic assessment of the effectiveness of the Board, the Board considers the complementary individual skills and experience of the individual Trustees primarily in the broader context of the Board's overall composition so that the Board, as a body, possesses the appropriate (and appropriately diverse) skills and experience to oversee the business of the series of the Trust.

Board Committees. The Board has established the following standing committees of the Board:

Audit Committee. The Board has a standing Audit Committee that is composed of each of the Independent Trustees of the Trust. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board. The principal responsibilities of the Audit Committee include: recommending which firm to engage as the Funds' independent registered public accounting firm and when and whether to terminate this relationship, as necessary; reviewing the independent registered public accounting firm's compensation, the proposed scope and terms of its engagement, and the firm's independence; pre-approving audit and non-audit services provided by the Funds' independent registered public accounting firm to the Trust and certain other affiliated entities; serving as a channel of communication between the independent registered public accounting firm and the Trustees; reviewing the results of each external audit, including any

qualifications in the independent registered public accounting firm’s opinion, any related management letter, management’s responses to recommendations made by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with the audit, reports submitted to the Audit Committee by the internal auditing department of the Trust’s Administrator that are material to the Trust as a whole, if any, and management’s responses to any such reports; reviewing the Funds’ audited financial statements and considering any significant disputes between the Trust’s management and the independent registered public accounting firm that arose in connection with the preparation of those financial statements; considering, in consultation with the independent registered public accounting firm and the Trust’s senior internal accounting executive, if any, the independent registered public accounting firms’ report on the adequacy of the Trust’s internal financial controls; reviewing, in consultation with the Funds’ independent registered public accounting firm, major changes regarding auditing and accounting principles and practices to be followed when preparing the Funds’ financial statements; and other audit related matters. As of the date of this SAI, the Audit Committee has not met with respect to the Funds.

The Audit Committee also serves as the Qualified Legal Compliance Committee (“QLCC”) for the Trust for the purpose of compliance with Rules 205.2(k) and 205.3(c) of the Code of Federal Regulations, regarding alternative reporting procedures for attorneys retained or employed by an issuer who appear and practice before the SEC on behalf of the issuer (the “issuer attorneys”). An issuer attorney who becomes aware of evidence of a material violation by the Trust, or by any officer, director, employee, or agent of the Trust, may report evidence of such material violation to the QLCC as an alternative to the reporting requirements of Rule 205.3(b) (which requires reporting to the chief legal officer and potentially “up the ladder” to other entities).

Nominating and Governance Committee. The Board has a standing Nominating and Governance Committee that is composed of each of the Independent Trustees of the Trust. The Nominating and Governance Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board. The principal responsibility of the Nominating and Governance Committee is to consider, recommend and nominate candidates to fill vacancies on the Board, if any. The Nominating and Governance Committee generally will not consider nominees recommended by shareholders. The Nominating and Governance Committee meets periodically, as necessary. As of the date of this SAI, the Nominating and Governance Committee has not met with respect to the Funds.

Principal Officers of the Trust. The officers of the Trust conduct and supervise the Trust’s and each Fund’s daily business. The address of each officer of the Trust is c/o U.S. Bank Global Fund Services, 615 East Michigan Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202. Additional information about each officer of the Trust is as follows:

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years
Kacie G. Briody Year of birth: 1992	President and Principal Executive Officer	Indefinite term, March 2025	Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2025); Assistant Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (2021-2025); Officer, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (2014 to 2021)
Travis G. Babich Year of birth: 1980	Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer	Indefinite term, September 2019	Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2005)
David J. Rantisi Year of birth: 1992	Assistant Treasurer	Indefinite term, March 2025	Assistant Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2014)
Chad E. Fickett Year of birth: 1973	Secretary	Indefinite term, June 2024	Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2024); Assistant General Counsel, The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company (2007 to 2024)
Jill S. Silver Year of birth: 1976	Interim Chief Compliance Officer and Anti-Money Laundering Officer	Indefinite term, July 2025	Senior Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2022); Compliance Director, Corebridge Financial Inc. (previously AIG) (2019 to 2022)
Marissa J. Pawlinski Year of birth: 1996	Assistant Secretary	Indefinite term, June 2025	Assistant Vice President, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2023); Regulatory Administration Attorney, U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (since 2022); Judicial Law Clerk, Milwaukee County Circuit Court (2021-2022); Legal Intern, City of Brookfield (2020-2021); Student, Marquette University Law School (2019-2021)

Trustee Ownership of Shares. The Funds are required to show the dollar amount ranges of each Trustee’s “beneficial ownership” of Shares and each other series of the Trust as of the end of the most recently completed calendar year. Dollar amount ranges disclosed are established by the SEC. “Beneficial ownership” is determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”).

As of the date of this SAI, no Trustee or officer of the Trust owned Shares of the Funds or any other fund within the Trust’s Fund Complex.

Board Compensation. Each Independent Trustee receives an annual stipend of \$125,000 (prior to January 1, 2025, the annual stipend was \$110,000) and reimbursement for all reasonable travel expenses relating to their attendance at Board Meetings. The chair of the Audit Committee receives an annual stipend of \$5,000 and the chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee receives an annual

stipend of \$2,500. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has agreed to pay all expenses of the Funds, except those specified in the Funds' Prospectus. As a result, the Adviser is responsible for compensating the Independent Trustees. Trustee compensation disclosed in the table does not include reimbursed reasonable travel expenses relating to their attendance at Board Meetings. The following table shows the compensation expected to be earned by each Trustee during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2025:

Name	Aggregate Compensation from the Fund	Total Compensation from Fund Complex* Paid to Trustees
John. L. Jacobs	\$0	\$118,750
Koji Felton	\$0	\$113,750
Pamela H. Conroy	\$0	\$116,250

* The Trust is the only registered investment company in the Fund Complex.

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS, CONTROL PERSONS, AND MANAGEMENT OWNERSHIP

A principal shareholder is any person who owns of record or beneficially 5% or more of the outstanding Shares. A control person is a shareholder that owns beneficially or through controlled companies more than 25% of the voting securities of a company or acknowledges the existence of control. Shareholders owning voting securities in excess of 25% may determine the outcome of any matter affecting and voted on by shareholders of a Fund. As of the date of this SAI, there were no outstanding Shares.

CODES OF ETHICS

The Trust, the Adviser, and the Sub-Adviser have each adopted codes of ethics pursuant to Rule 17j-1 of the 1940 Act. These codes of ethics are designed to prevent affiliated persons of the Trust, the Adviser, and the Sub-Adviser from engaging in deceptive, manipulative or fraudulent activities in connection with securities held or to be acquired by the Funds (which also may be held by persons subject to the codes of ethics). Each code of ethics permits personnel subject to that code of ethics to invest in securities for their personal investment accounts, subject to certain limitations, including limitations related to securities that may be purchased or held by the Funds. The Distributor (as defined below) relies on the principal underwriters exception under Rule 17j-1(c)(3), specifically where the Distributor is not affiliated with the Trust, the Adviser or the Sub-Adviser, and no officer, director, or general partner of the Distributor serves as an officer, director, or general partner of the Trust, the Adviser, or the Sub-Adviser.

There can be no assurance that the codes of ethics will be effective in preventing such activities. Each code of ethics may be examined at the office of the SEC in Washington, D.C. or on the Internet at the SEC's website at <https://www.sec.gov>.

PROXY VOTING POLICIES

The Funds have delegated proxy voting responsibilities to the Adviser, subject to the Board's oversight. In delegating proxy responsibilities, the Board has directed that proxies be voted consistent with each Fund's and its shareholders' best interests and in compliance with all applicable proxy voting rules and regulations. The Adviser has adopted voting guidelines as part of its proxy voting policies (the "Proxy Voting Policies") for such purpose. When the Proxy Voting Policies do not cover a specific proxy issue, the Adviser will use its best judgment in voting such proxies on behalf of the Funds.

A copy of the Adviser's Proxy Voting Policies is set forth in Appendix A to this SAI. The Trust's Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of the Proxy Voting Policies. The Proxy Voting Policies have been adopted by the Trust as the policies and procedures that the Adviser will use when voting proxies on behalf of the Funds.

When available, information on how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 will be available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-617-0004, and (2) on the SEC's website at <https://www.sec.gov>.

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Investment Adviser

Teucrum Investment Advisors, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company located at Three Main Street, Suite 215, Burlington, Vermont 05401, serves as the investment adviser to the Funds. The Adviser is wholly-owned by Teucrum Trading, LLC. Teucrum Trading, LLC developed and offers a product suite of 1940 Act and Securities Act registered ETFs focused on U.S. agricultural commodities. In addition, the Adviser provides investment advisory and sub-advisory services to U.S. ETFs.

The Adviser arranges for transfer agency, custody, fund administration, and all other non-distribution related services necessary for the Funds to operate. The Adviser is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the Funds, subject to the general supervision and oversight of the Board of the Trust. The Adviser is responsible for the management the Funds in accordance with its investment objective, policies, and limitations. For the services it provides to the Funds, the Adviser is entitled to a unified management fee, which is calculated daily and paid monthly, at an annual rate of 0.50% and 0.65%, for the Crypto 10 Index ETF and Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF, respectively, based on each Fund's average daily net assets.

Pursuant to an investment advisory agreement between the Trust, on behalf of each Fund, and the Adviser (the “Advisory Agreement”), the Adviser has agreed to pay all expenses of the Funds except the fee payable to the Adviser under the Advisory Agreement, interest charges on any borrowings, dividends and other expenses on securities sold short, taxes, brokerage commissions and other expenses incurred in placing orders for the purchase and sale of securities and other investment instruments, acquired fund fees and expenses, accrued deferred tax liability, extraordinary expenses, and distribution fees and expenses paid by the Trust under any distribution plan adopted pursuant to Rule 12b-1 under the 1940 Act.

The Advisory Agreement with respect to each Fund will continue in force for an initial period of two years. Thereafter, the Advisory Agreement will be renewable from year to year with respect to the Funds, so long as its continuance is approved at least annually (1) by a majority vote of the Trustees, including a majority vote of such Trustees who are not “interested persons” of the Trust or the Adviser, at a meeting called for the purpose of voting on such approval; or (2) by a majority vote of the outstanding Shares. The Advisory Agreement automatically terminates on assignment and is terminable by a vote of the Board or a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Funds, or upon a 120-days’ written notice by the Adviser.

The Adviser shall not be liable to the Trust or any shareholder for anything done or omitted by it, except acts or omissions involving willful misfeasance, bad faith, negligence or reckless disregard of the duties imposed upon it by its agreement with the Trust or for any losses that may be sustained in the purchase, holding or sale of any security.

The Funds are new and, therefore, have not paid any management fees to the Adviser as of the date of this SAI.

Investment Sub-Adviser

21Shares US LLC, a Delaware limited liability company located at 158 West 27th Street, 4th Floor, New York, New York, 10001, is responsible for the day-to-day management of the Funds subject to the oversight of the Adviser. The Sub-Adviser was founded in June 2021 and registered with the SEC in 2023. The Sub-Adviser is a wholly owned subsidiary of 21co Holdings Limited, a private company (the “Parent Company”). Ahmed Samer Rashwan is a control person of the Parent Company.

On October 22, 2025, FalconX Holdings Limited (“FalconX”) announced it has agreed to acquire the Sub-Adviser’s parent company, 21co Holdings Limited, in a transaction that is currently expected to close prior to the end of 2025 (the “Transaction”). FalconX is a leading digital asset prime brokerage providing institutions with access to global digital asset liquidity and trading services. Upon the consummation of the Transaction, the Sub-Adviser will be an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FalconX. As such, the Transaction may be deemed to constitute a change of control of the Sub-Adviser, which, pursuant to the 1940 Act, will automatically terminate the existing Sub-Advisory Agreement (defined below). In anticipation of the Transaction, the Board, as well as the initial shareholder of the Fund, have approved a new investment sub-advisory agreement between the Trust, on behalf of the Fund, the Adviser, and the Sub-Adviser, as a subsidiary of FalconX, that will be effective upon the consummation of the Transaction without the need for further action from the Fund or its shareholders. The Transaction is not expected to affect the management and operation of the Sub-Adviser, its key personnel, or its day-to-day management of the Fund, and will not result in a change to the sub-advisory fee paid by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser.

Pursuant to an investment sub-advisory agreement between the Trust, on behalf of each Fund, the Adviser, and the Sub-Adviser (the “Sub-Advisory Agreement”), provides services to each Fund such as, but not limited to, recommendations regarding security selection and compliance related matters, as applicable. For its services, the Sub-Adviser is entitled to a fee, payable by the Adviser, which is 0.15% and 0.20%, for the Crypto 10 Index ETF and Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF, respectively, of each Fund’s average daily net assets.

The Funds are new and, therefore, no sub-advisory fees have been paid to the Sub-Adviser as of the date of this SAI.

Fund Sponsor

The Adviser has entered into a fund sponsorship agreement with the Sub-Adviser, under which the Sub-Adviser assumes the Adviser’s obligation to pay some of each Fund’s expenses, including its own sub-advisory fee. Although the Sub-Adviser has agreed to be responsible for paying some of each Fund’s expenses, the Adviser retains the ultimate obligation to the Funds to pay them. The Sub-Adviser will also provide marketing support for the Funds, including preparing marketing materials related to the Funds. For these services and payments, the Sub-Adviser is entitled to share in the potential profits generated by the management and operation of the Funds.

Management of the Subsidiary

The Adviser also serves as the investment adviser to each Subsidiary, which are each a wholly-owned and controlled subsidiary of their respective Fund organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands as an exempted company, pursuant to an investment advisory agreement with the Subsidiary.

Because neither Subsidiary is registered under the 1940 Act, they are not subject to the regulatory protections of the 1940 Act and the Funds, each as an investor in its respective Subsidiary, will not have all of the protections offered to investors in registered investment companies. Because each Fund wholly owns and controls its respective Subsidiary, and the Adviser is subject to the oversight of the Board, it is unlikely that a Subsidiary will take action contrary to the interests of the Funds or their shareholders.

Portfolio Managers

Springer Harris, Spencer Kristiansen, Joran Haugens, Christopher Small, Andres Valencia and Jad Haj Ali serve as the Funds' portfolio managers (the "Portfolio Managers"). This section includes information about the Portfolio Managers, including information about compensation, other accounts managed, and the dollar range of Shares owned.

Share Ownership

The Funds are required to show the dollar ranges of a Portfolio Manager's "beneficial ownership" of Shares as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal year or a more recent date for a new Portfolio Manager. Dollar amount ranges disclosed are established by the SEC. "Beneficial ownership" is determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) under the Exchange Act. As of the date of this SAI, the Portfolio Managers did not beneficially own Shares.

Other Accounts

In addition to the Funds, the Portfolio Managers managed the following other accounts as of October 31, 2025, none of which were subject to a performance-based fee:

Registered Investment Companies		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts
12	\$742,281,288	7	\$4,899,823,427	0	\$0

Compensation

The compensation package for portfolio managers consists of a fixed base salary, an annual discretionary bonus opportunity and a competitive benefits package. A portfolio manager's salary compensation is designed to be competitive with the marketplace and reflect a portfolio manager's relative experience and contribution to the firm. Fixed base salary compensation is reviewed and adjusted annually to reflect increases in the cost of living and market rates. The discretionary bonus opportunity provides cash bonuses based upon the overall firm's performance and individual contributions. Principal consideration for each portfolio manager is given to appropriate risk management, teamwork and investment support activities in determining the bonus amount. Portfolio managers are eligible to participate in the firm's standard employee benefits programs, which include a competitive 401(k) retirement savings program with employer match, and healthcare.

Conflicts of Interest

A Portfolio Manager's management of "other accounts" may give rise to potential conflicts of interest in connection with his management of a Fund's investments, on the one hand, and the investments of the other accounts, on the other. The other accounts may have similar investment objectives or strategies as the Funds. A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result, whereby a Portfolio Manager could favor one account over another. Another potential conflict could include a Portfolio Manager's knowledge about the size, timing, and possible market impact of Fund trades, whereby the Portfolio Manager could use this information to the advantage of other accounts and to the disadvantage of the Funds. However, the Adviser has established policies and procedures to ensure that the purchase and sale of securities among all accounts the Adviser manages are fairly and equitably allocated.

DISTRIBUTOR

The Trust and PINE Distributors LLC, (the "Distributor"), are parties to a distribution agreement (the "Distribution Agreement"), whereby the Distributor acts as principal underwriter for the Trust and distributes Shares. Shares are continuously offered for sale by the Distributor only in Creation Units. The Distributor will not distribute Shares in amounts less than a Creation Unit and does not maintain a secondary market in Shares. The principal business address of the Distributor is 501 South Cherry Street, Suite 610, Denver, Colorado 80246.

Under the Distribution Agreement, the Distributor, as agent for the Trust, will receive orders for the purchase and redemption of Creation Units, provided that any subscriptions and orders will not be binding on the Trust until accepted by the Trust. The Distributor is a broker-dealer registered under the Exchange Act and a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA").

The Distributor also may enter into agreements with securities dealers ("Soliciting Dealers") who will solicit purchases of Creation Units of Shares. Such Soliciting Dealers also may be Authorized Participants (as discussed in "[Procedures for Purchase of Creation Units](#)" below) or DTC participants (as defined below).

The Distribution Agreement will continue for two years from its effective date and is renewable annually thereafter. The continuance of the Distribution Agreement must be specifically approved at least annually (i) by the vote of the Trustees or by a vote of the shareholders of a Fund and (ii) by the vote of a majority of the Independent Trustees who have no direct or indirect financial interest in the operations of the Distribution Agreement or any related agreement, cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of voting on such approval. The Distribution Agreement is terminable without penalty by the Trust on 60 days' written notice when authorized either by majority vote of its outstanding voting Shares or by a vote of a majority of the Board (including a majority of the

Independent Trustees), or by the Distributor on 60 days' written notice, and will automatically terminate in the event of its assignment. The Distribution Agreement provides that in the absence of willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence on the part of the Distributor, or reckless disregard by it of its obligations thereunder, the Distributor shall not be liable for any action or failure to act in accordance with its duties thereunder.

Intermediary Compensation. The Adviser, the Sub-Adviser, or their affiliates, out of their own resources and not out of Fund assets (*i.e.*, without additional cost to a Fund or its shareholders), may pay certain broker dealers, banks and other financial intermediaries ("Intermediaries") for certain activities related to a Fund, including participation in activities that are designed to make Intermediaries more knowledgeable about exchange-traded products, including the Fund, or for other activities, such as marketing and educational training or support. These arrangements are not financed by a Fund and, thus, do not result in increased Fund expenses. They are not reflected in the fees and expenses listed in the fees and expenses sections of a Fund's Prospectus and they do not change the price paid by investors for the purchase of Shares or the amount received by a shareholder as proceeds from the redemption of Shares.

Such compensation may be paid to Intermediaries that provide services to a Fund, including marketing and education support (such as through conferences, webinars and printed communications). The Adviser, and the Sub-Adviser will periodically assess the advisability of continuing to make these payments. Payments to an Intermediary may be significant to the Intermediary, and amounts that Intermediaries pay to your adviser, broker or other investment professional, if any, also may be significant to such adviser, broker or investment professional. Because an Intermediary may make decisions about what investment options it will make available or recommend, and what services to provide in connection with various products, based on payments it receives or is eligible to receive, such payments create conflicts of interest between the Intermediary and its clients. For example, these financial incentives may cause the Intermediary to recommend a Fund rather than other investments. The same conflict of interest exists with respect to your financial adviser, broker or investment professional if he or she receives similar payments from his or her Intermediary firm.

Intermediary information is current only as of the date of this SAI. Please contact your adviser, broker, or other investment professional for more information regarding any payments his or her Intermediary firm may receive. Any payments made by the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser, or their affiliates to an Intermediary may create the incentive for an Intermediary to encourage customers to buy Shares.

If you have any additional questions, please call 1-800-617-0004.

Distribution and Service Plan. The Board has adopted a Distribution and Service Plan (the "Plan") in accordance with the provisions of Rule 12b-1 under the 1940 Act, which regulates circumstances under which an investment company may directly or indirectly bear expenses relating to the distribution of its shares. No payments pursuant to the Plan are expected to be made during the twelve (12) month period from the date of this SAI. Rule 12b-1 fees to be paid by a Fund under the Plan may only be imposed after approval by the Board.

Continuance of the Plan must be approved annually by a majority of the Trustees of the Trust and by a majority of the Trustees who are not interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust and have no direct or indirect financial interest in the Plan or in any agreements related to the Plan ("Qualified Trustees"). The Plan requires that quarterly written reports of amounts spent under the Plan and the purposes of such expenditures be furnished to and reviewed by the Trustees. The Plan may not be amended to increase materially the amount that may be spent thereunder without approval by a majority of the outstanding shares of a Fund. All material amendments of the Plan will require approval by a majority of the Trustees of the Trust and of the Qualified Trustees.

The Plan provides that each Fund pays the Distributor an annual fee of up to a maximum of 0.25% of the average daily net assets of its Shares. Under the Plan, the Distributor may make payments pursuant to written agreements to financial institutions and intermediaries such as banks, savings and loan associations and insurance companies including, without limit, investment counselors, broker-dealers and the Distributor's affiliates and subsidiaries (collectively, "Agents") as compensation for services and reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with distribution assistance. The Plan is characterized as a compensation plan since the distribution fee will be paid to the Distributor without regard to the distribution expenses incurred by the Distributor or the amount of payments made to other financial institutions and intermediaries. The Trust intends to operate the Plan in accordance with its terms and with FINRA's rules concerning sales charges.

Under the Plan, subject to the limitations of applicable law and regulations, each Fund is authorized to compensate the Distributor up to the maximum amount to finance any activity primarily intended to result in the sale of Creation Units of the Fund or for providing or arranging for others to provide shareholder services and for the maintenance of shareholder accounts. Such activities may include, but are not limited to: (i) delivering copies of a Fund's then current reports, prospectuses, notices, and similar materials, to prospective purchasers of Creation Units; (ii) marketing and promotional services, including advertising; (iii) paying the costs of and compensating others, including Authorized Participants with whom the Distributor has entered into written Authorized Participant Agreements, for performing shareholder servicing on behalf of a Fund; (iv) compensating certain Authorized Participants for providing assistance in distributing the Creation Units of a Fund, including the travel and communication expenses and salaries and/or commissions of sales personnel in connection with the distribution of the Creation Units of a Fund; (v) payments to financial institutions and intermediaries such as banks, savings and loan associations, insurance companies and investment counselors, broker-dealers, mutual fund supermarkets and the affiliates and subsidiaries of the Trust's service providers as compensation for services or reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with distribution assistance; (vi) facilitating communications with beneficial

owners of Shares, including the cost of providing (or paying others to provide) services to beneficial owners of Shares, including, but not limited to, assistance in answering inquiries related to Shareholder accounts; and (vii) such other services and obligations as are set forth in the Distribution Agreement.

ADMINISTRATOR, INDEX RECEIPT AGENT, AND TRANSFER AGENT

U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC, doing business as U.S. Bank Global Fund Services (“Fund Services” or the “Transfer Agent”), located at 615 East Michigan Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, serves as the Funds’ transfer agent, index receipt agent (as applicable), and administrator.

Pursuant to an agreement between the Trust and Fund Services (the “Fund Servicing Agreement”), Fund Services provides the Trust with administrative and management services (other than investment advisory services) and accounting services, including portfolio accounting services, tax accounting services, and furnishing financial reports. Under the Fund Servicing Agreement, Fund Services does not have any responsibility or authority for the management of the Funds, the determination of investment policy, or for any matter pertaining to the distribution of Shares. As compensation for the administration, accounting and management services, the Adviser pays Fund Services a fee based on each Fund’s average daily net assets, subject to a minimum annual fee. Fund Services also is entitled to certain out-of-pocket expenses for the services mentioned above, including pricing expenses.

The Funds are new and the Adviser has not paid Fund Services any fees for administrative services to the Funds as of the date of this SAI.

CUSTODIAN

Pursuant to a custody agreement between the Trust and U.S. Bank National Association (“U.S. Bank” or the “Custodian”) (the “Custody Agreement”), U.S. Bank, located at 1555 North Rivercenter Drive, Suite 302, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53212, serves as the custodian of the Funds’ assets. The Custodian holds and administers the assets in each Fund’s portfolio. Pursuant to the Custody Agreement, U.S. Bank receives an annual fee from the Adviser based on the Trust’s total average daily net assets, subject to a minimum annual fee, and certain settlement charges. The Custodian is also entitled to certain out-of-pocket expenses.

LEGAL COUNSEL

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, located at 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004-2541, serves as legal counsel for the Trust.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Cohen & Company, Ltd., located at 1835 Market Street, Suite 310, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, serves as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Funds. Its services include auditing the Funds’ financial statements. Cohen & Co Advisory, LLC, an affiliate of Cohen & Company, Ltd., provides tax services as requested.

PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Board has adopted a policy regarding the disclosure of information about each Fund’s security holdings. Each Fund’s entire portfolio holdings are publicly disseminated each day a Fund is open for business and may be available through financial reporting and news services, including publicly available internet web sites. In addition, the composition of the Deposit Securities (defined herein) is publicly disseminated daily prior to the opening of the Exchange via the facilities of the National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”).

DESCRIPTION OF SHARES

The Declaration of Trust authorizes the issuance of an unlimited number of funds and shares. Each share represents an equal proportionate interest in the applicable Fund with each other share. Shares are entitled upon liquidation to a pro rata share in the net assets of the applicable Fund. Shareholders have no preemptive rights. The Declaration of Trust provides that the Trustees may create additional series or classes of shares. All consideration received by the Trust for shares of any additional funds and all assets in which such consideration is invested would belong to that fund and would be subject to the liabilities related thereto. Share certificates representing Shares will not be issued. Shares, when issued, are fully paid and non-assessable.

Each Share has one vote with respect to matters upon which a shareholder vote is required, consistent with the requirements of the 1940 Act and the rules promulgated thereunder. Shares of all funds in the Trust vote together as a single class, except that if the matter being voted on affects only a particular fund it will be voted on only by that fund and if a matter affects a particular fund differently from other funds, that fund will vote separately on such matter. As a Delaware statutory trust, the Trust is not required, and does not intend, to hold annual meetings of shareholders. Approval of shareholders will be sought, however, for certain changes in the operation of the Trust and for the election of Trustees under certain circumstances. Upon the written request of shareholders owning at least 10% of the Trust’s shares, the Trust will call for a meeting of shareholders to consider the removal of one or more Trustees and other certain matters. In the event that such a meeting is requested, the Trust will provide appropriate assistance and information to the shareholders requesting the meeting.

Under the Declaration of Trust, the Trustees have the power to liquidate a Fund without shareholder approval. While the Trustees have no present intention of exercising this power, they may do so if a Fund fails to reach a viable size within a reasonable amount of time or for such other reasons as may be determined by the Board.

LIMITATION OF TRUSTEES' LIABILITY

The Declaration of Trust provides that a Trustee shall be liable only for his or her own willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of the office of Trustee and shall not be liable for errors of judgment or mistakes of fact or law. The Trustees shall not be responsible or liable in any event for any neglect or wrongdoing of any officer, agent, employee, adviser or principal underwriter of the Trust, nor shall any Trustee be responsible for the act or omission of any other Trustee. The Declaration of Trust also provides that the Trust shall indemnify each person who is, or has been, a Trustee, officer, employee or agent of the Trust, any person who is serving or has served at the Trust's request as a Trustee, officer, trustee, employee or agent of another organization in which the Trust has any interest as a shareholder, creditor or otherwise to the extent and in the manner provided in the Amended and Restated By-laws. However, nothing in the Declaration of Trust shall protect or indemnify a Trustee against any liability for his or her willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of the office of Trustee. Nothing contained in this section attempts to disclaim a Trustee's individual liability in any manner inconsistent with the federal securities laws.

BROKERAGE TRANSACTIONS

The policy of the Trust regarding purchases and sales of securities for a Fund is that primary consideration will be given to obtaining the most favorable prices and efficient executions of transactions. Consistent with this policy, when securities transactions are effected on a stock exchange, the Trust's policy is to pay commissions which are considered fair and reasonable without necessarily determining that the lowest possible commissions are paid in all circumstances. The Trust believes that a requirement always to seek the lowest possible commission cost could impede effective portfolio management and preclude the Funds from obtaining a high quality of brokerage and research services. In seeking to determine the reasonableness of brokerage commissions paid in any transaction, the Adviser will rely on its experience and knowledge regarding commissions generally charged by various brokers and on its judgment in evaluating the brokerage services received from the broker effecting the transaction. Such determinations are necessarily subjective and imprecise, as in most cases, an exact dollar value for those services is not ascertainable. The Trust has adopted policies and procedures that prohibit the consideration of sales of Shares as a factor in the selection of a broker or dealer to execute its portfolio transactions.

The Adviser owes a fiduciary duty to its clients to seek to provide best execution on trades effected. In selecting a broker/dealer for each specific transaction, the Adviser chooses the broker/dealer deemed most capable of providing the services necessary to obtain the most favorable execution. "Best execution" is generally understood to mean the most favorable cost or net proceeds reasonably obtainable under the circumstances. The full range of brokerage services applicable to a particular transaction may be considered when making this judgment, which may include, but is not limited to: liquidity, price, commission, timing, aggregated trades, capable floor brokers or traders, competent block trading coverage, ability to position, capital strength and stability, reliable and accurate communications and settlement processing, use of automation, knowledge of other buyers or sellers, arbitrage skills, administrative ability, underwriting and provision of information on a particular security or market in which the transaction is to occur. The specific criteria will vary depending upon the nature of the transaction, the market in which it is executed, and the extent to which it is possible to select from among multiple broker/dealers. The Adviser also will use electronic crossing networks ("ECNs") when appropriate.

Subject to the foregoing policies, brokers or dealers selected to execute a Fund's portfolio transactions may include such Fund's Authorized Participants (as discussed in "Procedures for Purchase of Creation Units" below) or their affiliates. An Authorized Participant or its affiliates may be selected to execute a Fund's portfolio transactions in conjunction with an all-cash creation unit order or an order including "cash-in-lieu" (as described below under "Purchase and Redemption of Creation Units"), so long as such selection is in keeping with the foregoing policies. As described below under "Purchase and Redemption of Creation Units — Creation Transaction Fee" and "— Redemption Transaction Fee", each Fund may determine to not charge a variable fee on certain orders when the Adviser has determined that doing so is in the best interests of Fund shareholders, e.g., for creation orders that facilitate the rebalance of the applicable Fund's portfolio in a more tax efficient manner than could be achieved without such order, even if the decision to not charge a variable fee could be viewed as benefiting the Authorized Participant or its affiliate selected to execute a Fund's portfolio transactions in connection with such orders.

The Adviser may use a Fund's assets for, or participate in, third-party soft dollar arrangements, in addition to receiving proprietary research from various full-service brokers, the cost of which is bundled with the cost of the broker's execution services. The Adviser does not "pay up" for the value of any such proprietary research. Section 28(e) of the Exchange Act permits the Adviser, under certain circumstances, to cause a Fund to pay a broker or dealer a commission for effecting a transaction in excess of the amount of commission another broker or dealer would have charged for effecting the transaction in recognition of the value of brokerage and research services provided by the broker or dealer. The Adviser may receive a variety of research services and information on many topics, which it can use in connection with its management responsibilities with respect to the various accounts over which it exercises investment discretion or otherwise provides investment advice. The research services may include qualifying order management systems, portfolio attribution and monitoring services and computer software and access charges which are directly related to

investment research. Accordingly, a Fund may pay a broker commission higher than the lowest available in recognition of the broker's provision of such services to the Adviser, but only if the Adviser determines the total commission (including the soft dollar benefit) is comparable to the best commission rate that could be expected to be received from other brokers. The amount of soft dollar benefits received depends on the amount of brokerage transactions effected with the brokers. A conflict of interest exists because there is an incentive to: 1) cause clients to pay a higher commission than the firm might otherwise be able to negotiate; 2) cause clients to engage in more securities transactions than would otherwise be optimal; and 3) only recommend brokers that provide soft dollar benefits.

The Adviser faces a potential conflict of interest when it uses client trades to obtain brokerage or research services. This conflict exists because the Adviser can use the brokerage or research services to manage client accounts without paying cash for such services, which reduces the Adviser's expenses to the extent that the Adviser would have purchased such products had they not been provided by brokers. Section 28(e) permits the Adviser to use brokerage or research services for the benefit of any account it manages. Certain accounts managed by the Adviser may generate soft dollars used to purchase brokerage or research services that ultimately benefit other accounts managed by the Adviser, effectively cross subsidizing the other accounts managed by the Adviser that benefit directly from the product. The Adviser may not necessarily use all of the brokerage or research services in connection with managing a Fund whose trades generated the soft dollars used to purchase such products.

The Adviser is responsible, subject to oversight by the Board, for placing orders on behalf of each Fund for the purchase or sale of portfolio securities. If purchases or sales of portfolio securities of a Fund and one or more other investment companies or clients supervised by the Adviser are considered at or about the same time, transactions in such securities are allocated among the several investment companies and clients in a manner deemed equitable and consistent with its fiduciary obligations to all by the Adviser. In some cases, this procedure could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security so far as a Fund is concerned. However, in other cases, it is possible that the ability to participate in volume transactions and to negotiate lower brokerage commissions will be beneficial to a Fund. The primary consideration is prompt execution of orders at the most favorable net price.

A Fund may deal with affiliates in principal transactions to the extent permitted by exemptive order or applicable rule or regulation.

Directed Brokerage. The Funds are new, and as of the date of this SAI, the Funds did not pay any commissions on brokerage transactions directed to brokers pursuant to an agreement or understanding whereby the broker provides research or other brokerage services to the Adviser.

Brokerage with Fund Affiliates. A Fund may execute brokerage or other agency transactions through registered broker-dealer affiliates of the Funds, the Adviser, or the Distributor for a commission in conformity with the 1940 Act, the Exchange Act and rules promulgated by the SEC. These rules require that commissions paid to the affiliate by the Funds for exchange transactions not exceed "usual and customary" brokerage commissions. The rules define "usual and customary" commissions to include amounts which are "reasonable and fair compared to the commission, fee or other remuneration received or to be received by other brokers in connection with comparable transactions involving similar securities being purchased or sold on a securities exchange during a comparable period of time." The Trustees, including those who are not "interested persons" of the Funds, have adopted procedures for evaluating the reasonableness of commissions paid to affiliates and review these procedures periodically. The Funds are new and have not paid any brokerage commissions to any registered broker-dealer affiliates of the Funds, the Adviser, or the Distributor as of the date of this SAI.

Securities of "Regular Broker-Dealers." Each Fund is required to identify any securities of its "regular brokers or dealers" (as such term is defined in the 1940 Act) that it may hold at the close of its most recent fiscal year. "Regular brokers or dealers" of a Fund are the ten brokers or dealers that, during the most recent fiscal year: (i) received the greatest dollar amounts of brokerage commissions from the Fund's portfolio transactions; (ii) engaged as principal in the largest dollar amounts of portfolio transactions of the Fund; or (iii) sold the largest dollar amounts of Shares. The Funds are new and, therefore, did not hold any securities of its "regular broker dealers" as of the date of this SAI.

PORTFOLIO TURNOVER RATE

Portfolio turnover may vary from year to year, as well as within a year. High turnover rates are likely to result in comparatively greater brokerage expenses. The overall reasonableness of brokerage commissions is evaluated by the Adviser based upon its knowledge of available information as to the general level of commissions paid by other institutional investors for comparable services.

BOOK ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") acts as securities depository for Shares. Shares are represented by securities registered in the name of DTC or its nominee, Cede & Co., and deposited with, or on behalf of, DTC. Except in limited circumstances set forth below, certificates will not be issued for Shares.

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company that was created to hold securities of its participants (the "DTC Participants") and to facilitate the clearance and settlement of securities transactions among the DTC Participants in such securities through electronic book-entry changes in accounts of the DTC Participants, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. DTC Participants include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organizations, some of whom (and/or their representatives) own DTC. More specifically, DTC is owned by a number of its DTC Participants and by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and FINRA. Access to the DTC system also is available to others such as banks, brokers,

dealers, and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a DTC Participant, either directly or indirectly (the “Indirect Participants”).

Beneficial ownership of Shares is limited to DTC Participants, Indirect Participants, and persons holding interests through DTC Participants and Indirect Participants. Ownership of beneficial interests in Shares (owners of such beneficial interests are referred to in this SAI as “Beneficial Owners”) is shown on, and the transfer of ownership is effected only through, records maintained by DTC (with respect to DTC Participants) and on the records of DTC Participants (with respect to Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners that are not DTC Participants). Beneficial Owners will receive from or through the DTC Participant a written confirmation relating to their purchase of Shares. The Trust recognizes DTC or its nominee as the record owner of all Shares for all purposes. Beneficial Owners of Shares are not entitled to have Shares registered in their names and will not receive or be entitled to physical delivery of Share certificates. Each Beneficial Owner must rely on the procedures of DTC and any DTC Participant and/or Indirect Participant through which such Beneficial Owner holds its interests, to exercise any rights of the holder of Shares.

Conveyance of all notices, statements, and other communications to Beneficial Owners is effected as described in the ensuing paragraphs. DTC will make available to the Trust upon request and for a fee a listing of Shares held by each DTC Participant. The Trust shall obtain from each such DTC Participant the number of Beneficial Owners holding Shares, directly or indirectly, through such DTC Participant. The Trust shall provide each such DTC Participant with copies of such notice, statement, or other communication, in such form, number and at such place as such DTC Participant may reasonably request, in order that such notice, statement or communication may be transmitted by such DTC Participant, directly or indirectly, to such Beneficial Owners. In addition, the Trust shall pay to each such DTC Participant a fair and reasonable amount as reimbursement for the expenses attendant to such transmittal, all subject to applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

Share distributions shall be made to DTC or its nominee, Cede & Co., as the registered holder of all Shares. DTC or its nominee, upon receipt of any such distributions, shall credit immediately DTC Participants’ accounts with payments in amounts proportionate to their respective beneficial interests in a Fund as shown on the records of DTC or its nominee. Payments by DTC Participants to Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners of Shares held through such DTC Participants will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is now the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in a “street name,” and will be the responsibility of such DTC Participants.

The Trust has no responsibility or liability for any aspect of the records relating to or notices to Beneficial Owners, or payments made on account of beneficial ownership interests in Shares, or for maintaining, supervising, or reviewing any records relating to such beneficial ownership interests, or for any other aspect of the relationship between DTC and the DTC Participants or the relationship between such DTC Participants and the Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners owning through such DTC Participants.

DTC may determine to discontinue providing its service with respect to a Fund at any time by giving reasonable notice to the Fund and discharging its responsibilities with respect thereto under applicable law. Under such circumstances, the applicable Fund shall take action either to find a replacement for DTC to perform its functions at a comparable cost or, if such replacement is unavailable, to issue and deliver printed certificates representing ownership of Shares, unless the Trust makes other arrangements with respect thereto satisfactory to the Exchange.

PURCHASE AND REDEMPTION OF CREATION UNITS

Each Fund issues and redeems its shares on a continuous basis, at NAV, only in a large, specified number of shares called a “Creation Unit,” either principally in-kind for securities or in cash for the value of such securities. The NAV of a Fund’s Shares is determined once each Business Day, as described below under “Determination of Net Asset Value.” The Creation Unit size may change. Authorized Participants will be notified of such change.

Purchase (Creation). The Trust issues and sells Shares only in Creation Units on a continuous basis through the Distributor, without a sales load (but subject to transaction fees, if applicable), at the NAV per share next determined after receipt, on any Business Day, of an order in proper form. The NAV of Shares is calculated each Business Day as of the scheduled close of regular trading on the NYSE, generally 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time. The Funds will not issue fractional Creation Units. A “Business Day” is any day on which the NYSE is open for business. As of the date of this SAI, the NYSE observes the following holidays: New Year’s Day, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, President’s Day (Washington’s Birthday), Good Friday, Memorial Day, Juneteenth Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day.

Fund Deposit. Each Fund has adopted policies and procedures governing the process of constructing baskets of Deposit Securities (defined below), Fund Securities (defined below) and/or cash, and acceptance of the same (the “Basket Procedures”). The consideration for purchase of a Creation Unit of a Fund generally consists of either: (i) the in-kind deposit of a designated portfolio of securities (the “Deposit Securities”) per each Creation Unit, constituting a substantial replication, or a portfolio sampling representation, of the securities included in a Fund’s portfolio and the Cash Component (defined below), computed as described below, or (ii) the cash value of the Deposit Securities (“Deposit Cash”) and the Cash Component to replace any Deposit Security. When accepting purchases of Creation Units for cash, a Fund may incur additional costs associated with the acquisition of Deposit Securities that would otherwise be provided by an in-kind purchaser. These additional costs may be recoverable from the purchaser of Creation Units.

Together, the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable, and the Cash Component constitute the “Fund Deposit,” which represents the minimum initial and subsequent investment amount for a Creation Unit of a Fund. The “Cash Component” is an amount equal to the difference between the NAV of Shares (per Creation Unit) and the market value of the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable. If the Cash Component is a positive number (*i.e.*, the NAV per Creation Unit exceeds the value of the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable), the Cash Component shall be such positive amount. If the Cash Component is a negative number (*i.e.*, the NAV per Creation Unit is less than the value of the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable), the Cash Component shall be such negative amount and the creator will be entitled to receive cash in an amount equal to the Cash Component. The Cash Component serves the function of compensating for any differences between the NAV per Creation Unit and the market value of the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable. Computation of the Cash Component excludes any stamp duty or other similar fees and expenses payable upon transfer of beneficial ownership of the Deposit Securities, if applicable, which shall be the sole responsibility of the Authorized Participant (as defined below).

The Funds, through NSCC, makes available on each Business Day, prior to the opening of business on the Exchange (currently, 9:30 a.m., Eastern Time), the list of the names and the required number of Shares of each Deposit Security or the required amount of Deposit Cash, as applicable, to be included in the current Fund Deposit (based on information at the end of the previous Business Day) for a Fund. Such Fund Deposit is subject to any applicable adjustments as described below, to effect purchases of Creation Units of a Fund until such time as the next-announced composition of the Deposit Securities or the required amount of Deposit Cash, as applicable, is made available.

The identity and number of Shares of the Deposit Securities or the amount of Deposit Cash, as applicable, required for a Fund Deposit for a Fund may be changed from time to time by the Adviser, in accordance with the Basket Procedures, with a view to the investment objective of such Fund. Information regarding the Fund Deposit necessary for the purchase of a Creation Unit is made available to Authorized Participants and other market participants seeking to transact in Creation Unit aggregations. The composition of the Deposit Securities also may change in response to portfolio adjustments, interest payments and corporate action events.

The Trust reserves the right to permit or require the substitution of Deposit Cash to replace any Deposit Security, which shall be added to the Cash Component, including, without limitation, in situations where the Deposit Security: (i) may not be available in sufficient quantity for delivery; (ii) may not be eligible for transfer through the systems of DTC for corporate securities and municipal securities; (iii) may not be eligible for trading by an Authorized Participant or the investor for which it is acting; (iv) would be restricted under the securities laws or where the delivery of the Deposit Security to the Authorized Participant would result in the disposition of the Deposit Security by the Authorized Participant becoming restricted under the securities laws; or (v) in certain other situations (collectively, “custom orders”). The Trust also reserves the right to permit or require the substitution of Deposit Securities in lieu of Deposit Cash.

Cash Purchase. The Trust may at its discretion permit full or partial cash purchases of Creation Units of a Fund. When full or partial cash purchases of Creation Units are available or specified for a Fund, they will be effected in essentially the same manner as in-kind purchases thereof. In the case of a full or partial cash purchase, the Authorized Participant must pay the cash equivalent of the Deposit Securities it would otherwise be required to provide through an in-kind purchase, plus the same Cash Component required to be paid by an in-kind purchaser together with a creation transaction fee and non-standard charges, as may be applicable.

Procedures for Purchase of Creation Units. To be eligible to place orders with the Distributor to purchase a Creation Unit of a Fund, an entity must be (i) a “Participating Party” (*i.e.*, a broker-dealer or other participant in the clearing process through the Continuous Net Settlement System of the NSCC (the “Clearing Process”)), a clearing agency that is registered with the SEC; or (ii) a DTC Participant (see “Book Entry Only System”). In addition, each Participating Party or DTC Participant (each, an “Authorized Participant”) must execute a Participant Agreement that has been agreed to by the Distributor, and that has been accepted by the Transfer Agent, with respect to purchases and redemptions of Creation Units. Each Authorized Participant will agree, pursuant to the terms of a Participant Agreement, on behalf of itself or any investor on whose behalf it will act, to certain conditions, including that it will pay to the Trust, an amount of cash sufficient to pay the Cash Component together with the creation transaction fee (described below), if applicable, and any other applicable fees and taxes.

All orders to purchase Shares directly from a Fund, including custom orders, must be placed for one or more Creation Units and in the manner and by the time set forth in the Participant Agreement and/or applicable order form. With respect to the Funds, the order cut-off time for orders to purchase Creation Units is 10:30 a.m. Eastern Time, which time may be modified by the Funds from time-to-time by amendment to the Participant Agreement and/or applicable order form. The date on which an order to purchase Creation Units (or an order to redeem Creation Units, as set forth below) is received and accepted is referred to as the “Order Placement Date.”

An Authorized Participant may require an investor to make certain representations or enter into agreements with respect to the order (*e.g.*, to provide for payments of cash, when required). Investors should be aware that their particular broker may not have executed a Participant Agreement and that, therefore, orders to purchase Shares directly from a Fund in Creation Units have to be placed by the investor’s broker through an Authorized Participant that has executed a Participant Agreement. In such cases there may be additional charges to such investor. At any given time, there may be only a limited number of broker-dealers that have executed a Participant Agreement and only a small number of such Authorized Participants may have international capabilities.

On days when the Exchange closes earlier than normal, the Funds may require orders to create Creation Units to be placed earlier in the day. In addition, if a market or markets on which a Fund's investments are primarily traded is closed, such Fund also will generally not accept orders on such day(s). Orders must be transmitted by an Authorized Participant by telephone or other transmission method acceptable to the Transfer Agent pursuant to procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement and in accordance with the applicable order form. On behalf of the Funds, the Transfer Agent will notify the Custodian of such order. The Custodian will then provide such information to the appropriate local sub-custodian(s). Those placing orders through an Authorized Participant should allow sufficient time to permit proper submission of the purchase order to the Transfer Agent by the cut-off time on such Business Day. Economic or market disruptions or changes, or telephone or other communication failure may impede the ability to reach the Transfer Agent or an Authorized Participant.

Fund Deposits must be delivered by an Authorized Participant through the Federal Reserve System (for cash) or through DTC (for corporate securities), through a sub-custody agent (for foreign securities) and/or through such other arrangements allowed by the Trust or its agents. With respect to foreign Deposit Securities, the Custodian shall cause the sub-custodian of the applicable Fund to maintain an account into which the Authorized Participant shall deliver, on behalf of itself or the party on whose behalf it is acting, such Deposit Securities (or Deposit Cash for all or a part of such securities, as permitted or required), with any appropriate adjustments as advised by the Trust. Foreign Deposit Securities must be delivered to an account maintained at the applicable local sub-custodian. A Fund Deposit transfer must be ordered by the Authorized Participant in a timely fashion to ensure the delivery of the requisite number of Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable, to the account of the applicable Fund or its agents by no later than 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time (or such other time as specified by the Trust) on the Settlement Date. If a Fund or its agents do not receive all of the Deposit Securities, or the required Deposit Cash in lieu thereof, by such time, then the order may be deemed rejected and the Authorized Participant shall be liable to such Fund for losses, if any, resulting therefrom. The "Settlement Date" for a Fund is generally the next Business Day after the Order Placement Date. All questions as to the number of Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash to be delivered, as applicable, and the validity, form and eligibility (including time of receipt) for the deposit of any tendered securities or cash, as applicable, will be determined by the Trust, whose determination shall be final and binding. The amount of cash represented by the Cash Component must be transferred directly to the Custodian through the Federal Reserve Bank wire transfer system in a timely manner to be received by the Custodian no later than the Settlement Date. If the Cash Component and the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable, are not received by the Custodian in a timely manner by the Settlement Date, the creation order may be cancelled. Upon written notice to the Transfer Agent, such canceled order may be resubmitted the following Business Day using a Fund Deposit as newly constituted to reflect the then current NAV of the applicable Fund.

The order shall be deemed to be received on the Business Day on which the order is placed provided that the order is placed in proper form prior to the applicable cut-off time and the federal funds in the appropriate amount are deposited with the Custodian on the Settlement Date. If the order is not placed in proper form as required, or federal funds in the appropriate amount are not received on the Settlement Date, then the order may be deemed to be rejected and the Authorized Participant shall be liable to the applicable Fund for losses, if any, resulting therefrom. A creation request is in "proper form" if all procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement, order form and this SAI are properly followed.

Issuance of a Creation Unit. Except as provided in this SAI, Creation Units will not be issued until the transfer of good title to the Trust of the Deposit Securities or payment of Deposit Cash, as applicable, and the payment of the Cash Component have been completed. When the sub-custodian has confirmed to the Custodian that the required Deposit Securities (or the cash value thereof) have been delivered to the account of the relevant sub-custodian or sub-custodians, the Distributor and the Adviser shall be notified of such delivery, and the Trust will issue and cause the delivery of the Creation Units. The delivery of Creation Units so created generally will occur no later than the first Business Day following the day on which the purchase order is deemed received by the Transfer Agent. The Authorized Participant shall be liable to the applicable Fund for losses, if any, resulting from unsettled orders.

In instances where the Trust accepts Deposit Securities for the purchase of a Creation Unit, the Creation Units may be purchased in advance of receipt by the Trust of all or a portion of the applicable Deposit Securities as described below. In these circumstances, the initial deposit will have a value greater than the NAV of Shares on the date the order is placed in proper form since, in addition to available Deposit Securities, cash must be deposited in an amount equal to the sum of (i) the Cash Component, plus (ii) an additional amount of cash equal to a percentage of the value as set forth in the Participant Agreement, of the undelivered Deposit Securities (the "Additional Cash Deposit"), which shall be maintained in a separate non-interest bearing collateral account. The Authorized Participant must deposit with the Custodian the Additional Cash Deposit, as applicable, by 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time (or such other time as specified by the Trust) on the Settlement Date. If a Fund or its agents do not receive the Additional Cash Deposit in the appropriate amount, by such time, then the order may be deemed rejected and the Authorized Participant shall be liable to the applicable Fund for losses, if any, resulting therefrom. An additional amount of cash shall be required to be deposited with the Trust, pending delivery of the missing Deposit Securities to the extent necessary to maintain the Additional Cash Deposit with the Trust in an amount at least equal to the applicable percentage, as set forth in the Participant Agreement, of the daily market value of the missing Deposit Securities. The Participant Agreement will permit the Trust to buy the missing Deposit Securities at any time. Authorized Participants will be liable to the Trust for the costs incurred by the Trust in connection with any such purchases. These costs will be deemed to include the amount by which the actual purchase price of the Deposit Securities exceeds the value of such Deposit Securities on the day the purchase order was deemed received by the Transfer Agent plus the brokerage and related transaction costs associated with such purchases. The Trust will return any unused portion of the Additional Cash Deposit once all of the missing

Deposit Securities have been properly received by the Custodian or purchased by the Trust and deposited into the Trust. In addition, a transaction fee, as described below under “Creation Transaction Fee,” may be charged an additional variable charge also may be applied, as described below. The delivery of Creation Units so created generally will occur no later than the Settlement Date.

Acceptance of Orders of Creation Units. Provided that such action does not result in a suspension of sales of Creation Units in contravention of Rule 6c-11 under the 1940 Act and the SEC’s positions thereunder, the Trust reserves the right to reject an order for Creation Units transmitted in respect of a Fund at its discretion, including, without limitation, if (a) the order is not in proper form or the Fund Deposit delivered does not consist of the securities the Custodian specified; (b) the investor(s), upon obtaining the Shares ordered, would own 80% or more of the currently outstanding Shares of the Fund; (c) the Deposit Securities or Deposit Cash, as applicable, delivered by the Authorized Participant are not as disseminated through the facilities of the NSCC for that date by the Custodian; (d) the acceptance of the Fund Deposit would, in the opinion of counsel, be unlawful; (e) the acceptance or receipt of the order for a Creation Unit would, in the opinion of counsel, be unlawful; or (f) in the event that circumstances outside the control of the Trust, the Custodian, the Transfer Agent, the Distributor and/or the Adviser make it for all practical purposes not feasible to process orders for Creation Units. Examples of such circumstances include acts of God or public service or utility problems such as fires, floods, extreme weather conditions and power outages resulting in telephone, telecopy and computer failures; market conditions or activities causing trading halts; systems failures involving computer or other information systems affecting the Trust, the Distributor, the Custodian, the Transfer Agent, DTC, NSCC, Federal Reserve System, or any other participant in the creation process, and other extraordinary events. The Trust or its agents shall communicate to the Authorized Participant its rejection of an order. The Trust, the Transfer Agent, the Custodian and the Distributor are under no duty, however, to give notification of any defects or irregularities in the delivery of Fund Deposits nor shall either of them incur any liability for the failure to give any such notification. The Trust, the Transfer Agent, the Custodian and the Distributor shall not be liable for the rejection of any purchase order for Creation Units. Given the importance of the ongoing issuance of Creation Units to maintaining a market price that is at or close to the underlying NAV of a Fund, the Trust does not intend to suspend the acceptance of orders for Creation Units, unless it believes doing so would be in the best interests of the Fund.

All questions as to the number of shares of each security in the Deposit Securities and the validity form, eligibility and acceptance for deposit of any securities to be delivered shall be determined by the Trust, and the Trust’s determination shall be final and binding.

Creation Unit Transaction Fee. A fixed purchase (*i.e.*, creation) transaction fee, payable to the Funds’ custodian, may be imposed for the transfer and other transaction costs associated with the purchase of Creation Units (“Creation Order Costs”). The standard fixed creation unit transaction fee for each Fund, regardless of the number of Creation Units created in the transaction, can be found in the table below. Each Fund may adjust the standard fixed creation unit transaction fee from time to time. The fixed creation unit transaction fee may be waived on certain orders if the applicable Fund’s custodian has determined to waive some or all of the Creation Order Costs associated with the order or another party, such as the Adviser, has agreed to pay such fee.

In addition, a variable fee, payable to the Funds, of up to the maximum percentage listed in the table below of the value of the Creation Units subject to the transaction may be imposed for cash purchases, non-standard orders, or partial cash purchases of Creation Units. The variable charge is primarily designed to cover additional costs (*e.g.*, brokerage, taxes) involved with buying the securities with cash. Each Fund may determine to not charge a variable fee on certain orders when the Adviser has determined that doing so is in the best interests of Fund shareholders, *e.g.*, for creation orders that facilitate the rebalance of the applicable Fund’s portfolio in a more tax efficient manner than could be achieved without such order.

Name of Fund	Fixed Creation Unit Transaction Fee	Maximum Variable Transaction Fee
21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 Index ETF	\$300	2%
21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF	\$300	2%

Investors who use the services of a broker or other such intermediary may be charged a fee for such services. Investors are responsible for the fixed costs of transferring the Fund Securities from the Trust to their account or on their order.

Risks of Purchasing Creation Units. There are certain legal risks unique to investors purchasing Creation Units directly from a Fund. Because Shares may be issued on an ongoing basis, a “distribution” of Shares could be occurring at any time. Certain activities that a shareholder performs as a dealer could, depending on the circumstances, result in the shareholder being deemed a participant in the distribution in a manner that could render the shareholder a statutory underwriter and subject to the prospectus delivery and liability provisions of the Securities Act. For example, a shareholder could be deemed a statutory underwriter if it purchases Creation Units from a Fund, breaks them down into the constituent Shares, and sells those Shares directly to customers, or if a shareholder chooses to couple the creation of a supply of new Shares with an active selling effort involving solicitation of secondary-market demand for Shares. Whether a person is an underwriter depends upon all of the facts and circumstances pertaining to that person’s activities, and the examples mentioned here should not be considered a complete description of all the activities that could cause you to be deemed an underwriter.

Dealers who are not “underwriters” but are participating in a distribution (as opposed to engaging in ordinary secondary-market transactions), and thus dealing with Shares as part of an “unsold allotment” within the meaning of Section 4(a)(3)(C) of the Securities Act, will be unable to take advantage of the prospectus delivery exemption provided by Section 4(a)(3) of the Securities Act.

Redemption. Shares may be redeemed only in Creation Units at their NAV next determined after receipt of a redemption request in proper form by a Fund through the Transfer Agent and only on a Business Day. EXCEPT UPON LIQUIDATION OF A FUND, THE TRUST WILL NOT REDEEM SHARES IN AMOUNTS LESS THAN CREATION UNITS. Investors must accumulate enough Shares in the secondary market to constitute a Creation Unit in order to have such Shares redeemed by the Trust. There can be no assurance, however, that there will be sufficient liquidity in the public trading market at any time to permit assembly of a Creation Unit. Investors should expect to incur brokerage and other costs in connection with assembling a sufficient number of Shares to constitute a redeemable Creation Unit.

With respect to the Funds, the Custodian, through the NSCC, makes available prior to the opening of business on the Exchange (currently, 9:30 a.m., Eastern Time) on each Business Day, the list of the names and Share quantities of each Fund's portfolio securities that will be applicable (subject to possible amendment or correction) to redemption requests received in proper form (as defined below) on that day ("Fund Securities"). Fund Securities received on redemption may not be identical to Deposit Securities.

Redemption proceeds for a Creation Unit are paid either in-kind or in cash, or a combination thereof, as determined by the Trust in accordance with the Basket Procedures. With respect to in-kind redemptions of a Fund, redemption proceeds for a Creation Unit will consist of Fund Securities—as announced by the Custodian on the Business Day of the request for redemption received in proper form plus cash in an amount equal to the difference between the NAV of Shares being redeemed, as next determined after a receipt of a request in proper form, and the value of the Fund Securities (the "Cash Redemption Amount"), less a fixed redemption transaction fee, as applicable, and additional variable charge as set forth below. In the event that the Fund Securities have a value greater than the NAV of Shares, a compensating cash payment equal to the differential is required to be made by or through an Authorized Participant by the redeeming shareholder. Notwithstanding the foregoing, at the Trust's discretion, an Authorized Participant may receive the corresponding cash value of the securities in lieu of the in-kind securities value representing one or more Fund Securities.

Cash Redemption. Full or partial cash redemptions of Creation Units will be effected in essentially the same manner as in-kind redemptions thereof. In the case of full or partial cash redemptions, the Authorized Participant receives the cash equivalent of the Fund Securities it would otherwise receive through an in-kind redemption, plus the same Cash Redemption Amount to be paid to an in-kind redeemer.

Redemption Transaction Fee. A fixed redemption transaction fee, payable to the Funds' custodian, may be imposed for the transfer and other transaction costs associated with the redemption of Creation Units ("Redemption Order Costs"). The standard fixed redemption transaction fee for each Fund, regardless of the number of Creation Units redeemed in the transaction, can be found in the table below. Each Fund may adjust the redemption transaction fee from time to time. The fixed redemption fee may be waived on certain orders if the applicable Fund's custodian has determined to waive some or all of the Redemption Order Costs associated with the order or another party, such as the Adviser, has agreed to pay such fee.

In addition, a variable fee, payable to the Funds, of up to the maximum percentage listed in the table below of the value of the Creation Units subject to the transaction may be imposed for cash redemptions, non-standard orders, or partial cash redemptions (when cash redemptions are available) of Creation Units. The variable charge is primarily designed to cover additional costs (e.g., brokerage, taxes) involved with selling portfolio securities to satisfy a cash redemption. Each Fund may determine to not charge a variable fee on certain orders when the Adviser has determined that doing so is in the best interests of Fund shareholders, e.g., for redemption orders that facilitate changes to the Funds' portfolio in a more tax efficient manner than could be achieved without such order.

Name of Fund	Fixed Redemption Transaction Fee	Maximum Variable Transaction Fee
21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 Index ETF	\$300	2%
21Shares FTSE Crypto 10 ex-BTC Index ETF	\$300	2%

Investors who use the services of a broker or other such intermediary may be charged a fee for such services. Investors are responsible for the fixed costs of transferring the Fund Securities from the Trust to their account or on their order.

Procedures for Redemption of Creation Units. Orders to redeem Creation Units must be submitted in proper form to the Transfer Agent prior to 10:30 a.m. Eastern Time. A redemption request is considered to be in "proper form" if (i) an Authorized Participant has transferred or caused to be transferred to the Trust's Transfer Agent the Creation Unit(s) being redeemed through the book-entry system of DTC so as to be effective by the time as set forth in the Participant Agreement and (ii) a request in form satisfactory to the Trust is received by the Transfer Agent from the Authorized Participant on behalf of itself or another redeeming investor within the time periods specified in the Participant Agreement. If the Transfer Agent does not receive the investor's Shares through DTC's facilities by the times and pursuant to the other terms and conditions set forth in the Participant Agreement, the redemption request shall be rejected.

The Authorized Participant must transmit the request for redemption, in the form required by the Trust, to the Transfer Agent in accordance with procedures set forth in the Authorized Participant Agreement. Investors should be aware that their particular broker may not have executed an Authorized Participant Agreement, and that, therefore, requests to redeem Creation Units may have to be placed by the investor's broker through an Authorized Participant who has executed an Authorized Participant Agreement. Investors making a redemption request should be aware that such request must be in the form specified by such Authorized Participant.

Investors making a request to redeem Creation Units should allow sufficient time to permit proper submission of the request by an Authorized Participant and transfer of the Shares to the Transfer Agent; such investors should allow for the additional time that may be required to effect redemptions through their banks, brokers or other financial intermediaries if such intermediaries are not Authorized Participants.

Additional Redemption Procedures. In connection with taking delivery of Shares of Fund Securities upon redemption of Creation Units, a redeeming shareholder or Authorized Participant acting on behalf of such shareholder must maintain appropriate custody arrangements with a qualified broker-dealer, bank, or other custody providers in each jurisdiction in which any of the Fund Securities are customarily traded, to which account such Fund Securities will be delivered. Deliveries of redemption proceeds generally will be made within one business day of the trade date.

The Trust may, in its discretion and in accordance with the Basket Procedures, exercise its option to redeem such Shares in cash, and the redeeming investor will be required to receive its redemption proceeds in cash. In addition, an investor may request a redemption in cash that a Fund may, in its sole discretion, permit. In either case, the investor will receive a cash payment equal to the NAV of its Shares based on the NAV of Shares of the applicable Fund next determined after the redemption request is received in proper form (minus a redemption transaction fee, if applicable, and additional charge for requested cash redemptions specified above, to offset the Trust's brokerage and other transaction costs associated with the disposition of Fund Securities). A Fund also may, in its sole discretion, and in accordance with the Basket Procedures, upon request of a shareholder, provide such redeemer a portfolio of securities that differs from the exact composition of the Fund Securities but does not differ in NAV.

Redemptions of Shares for Fund Securities will be subject to compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws and the Funds (whether or not it otherwise permits cash redemptions) reserves the right to redeem Creation Units for cash to the extent that the Trust could not lawfully deliver specific Fund Securities upon redemptions or could not do so without first registering the Fund Securities under such laws. An Authorized Participant or an investor for which it is acting subject to a legal restriction with respect to a particular security included in the Fund Securities applicable to the redemption of Creation Units may be paid an equivalent amount of cash. The Authorized Participant may request the redeeming investor of the Shares to complete an order form or to enter into agreements with respect to such matters as compensating cash payment. Further, an Authorized Participant that is not a "qualified institutional buyer," ("QIB") as such term is defined under Rule 144A of the Securities Act, will not be able to receive Fund Securities that are restricted securities eligible for resale under Rule 144A. An Authorized Participant may be required by the Trust to provide a written confirmation with respect to QIB status to receive Fund Securities.

Because the portfolio securities of the Funds may trade on other exchanges on days that the Exchange is closed or are otherwise not Business Days for such Fund, shareholders may not be able to redeem their Shares, or to purchase or sell Shares on the Exchange, on days when the NAV of the applicable Fund could be significantly affecting by events in the relevant foreign markets.

The right of redemption may be suspended or the date of payment postponed with respect to a Fund (1) for any period during which the Exchange is closed (other than customary weekend and holiday closings); (2) for any period during which trading on the Exchange is suspended or restricted; (3) for any period during which an emergency exists as a result of which disposal of the Shares of the applicable Fund or determination of the NAV of the Shares is not reasonably practicable; or (4) in such other circumstance as is permitted by the SEC.

DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE

NAV per Share for a Fund is computed by dividing the value of the net assets of the applicable Fund (*i.e.*, the value of its total assets less total liabilities) by the total number of Shares outstanding, rounded to the nearest cent. Expenses and fees, including the management fees, are accrued daily and taken into account for purposes of determining NAV. The NAV of each Fund is calculated by Fund Services and determined at the scheduled close of the regular trading session on the NYSE (ordinarily 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time) on each day that the NYSE is open, provided that fixed-income assets may be valued as of the announced closing time for trading in fixed-income instruments on any day that the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association ("SIFMA") announces an early closing time.

In calculating each Fund's NAV per Share, the Fund's investments are generally valued using market quotations to the extent such market quotations are readily available. If market quotations are not readily available or are deemed to be unreliable by the Adviser, a Fund will value such investments at fair value, as determined by the Adviser, for purposes of calculating such Fund's NAV. Pursuant to Rule 2a-5 under the 1940 Act, the Board has designated the Adviser to perform the fair value determinations for each Fund's portfolio holdings subject to the Board's oversight. The Adviser has established procedures for its fair valuation of each Fund's portfolio investments. These procedures address, among other things, determining when market quotations are not readily available or reliable and the methodologies to be used for determining the fair value of investments, as well as the use and oversight of third-party pricing services for fair valuation. The Adviser's fair value determinations will be carried out in compliance with Rule 2a-5 and based on fair value methodologies established and applied by the Adviser and periodically tested to ensure such methodologies are appropriate and accurate with respect to a Fund's portfolio investments. The Adviser's fair value methodologies may involve obtaining inputs and prices from third-party pricing services.

When fair value pricing is employed, the prices of securities used by the Funds to calculate their NAV may differ from quoted or published prices for the same securities. Due to the subjective and variable nature of fair value pricing, it is possible that the fair value determined for a particular security may be materially different (higher or lower) from the price of the security quoted or published by others, or the value when trading resumes or is realized upon its sale. There may be multiple methods that can be used to value a portfolio investment when market quotations are not readily available. The value established for any portfolio investment at a point in time might differ from what would be produced using a different methodology or if it had been priced using market quotations.

DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in the Prospectus entitled “Dividends, Distributions and Taxes.”

General Policies. Dividends from net investment income, if any, are declared and paid at least annually by each Fund. Distributions of net realized securities gains, if any, generally are declared and paid once a year, but a Fund may make distributions on a more frequent basis to improve index tracking for the Fund, where applicable, or to comply with the distribution requirements of the Code, in all events in a manner consistent with the provisions of the 1940 Act.

Dividends and other distributions on Shares are distributed, as described below, on a pro rata basis to Beneficial Owners of such Shares. Dividend payments are made through DTC Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners then of record with proceeds received from the Trust.

Each Fund makes additional distributions to the extent necessary (i) to distribute the entire annual taxable income of the applicable Fund, plus any net capital gains and (ii) to avoid imposition of the excise tax imposed by Section 4982 of the Code. Management of the Trust reserves the right to declare special dividends if, in its reasonable discretion, such action is necessary or advisable to preserve a Fund’s eligibility for treatment as a RIC or to avoid imposition of income or excise taxes on undistributed income.

Dividend Reinvestment Service. The Trust will not make the DTC book-entry dividend reinvestment service available for use by Beneficial Owners for reinvestment of their cash proceeds, but certain individual broker-dealers may make available the DTC book-entry Dividend Reinvestment Service for use by Beneficial Owners of the Funds through DTC Participants for reinvestment of their dividend distributions. Investors should contact their brokers to ascertain the availability and description of these services. Beneficial Owners should be aware that each broker may require investors to adhere to specific procedures and timetables to participate in the dividend reinvestment service and investors should ascertain from their brokers such necessary details. If this service is available and used, dividend distributions of both income and realized gains will be automatically reinvested in additional whole Shares issued by the Trust of the applicable Fund at NAV per Share. Distributions reinvested in additional Shares will nevertheless be taxable to Beneficial Owners acquiring such additional Shares to the same extent as if such distributions had been received in cash.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

The following is only a summary of certain important U.S. federal income tax considerations generally affecting a Fund and its shareholders that supplements the discussion in the Prospectus. No attempt is made to present a comprehensive explanation of the federal, state, local or foreign tax treatment of a Fund or its shareholders, and the discussion here and in the Prospectus is not intended to be a substitute for careful tax planning. In particular, it does not address tax consequences to investors subject to special rules, such as investors who hold Shares through individual retirement accounts (“IRAs”), 401(k)s, or other tax-advantaged accounts.

The following general discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax consequences is based on provisions of the Code and the regulations issued thereunder as in effect on the date of this SAI. New legislation, as well as administrative changes or court decisions, may significantly change the conclusions expressed herein, and may have a retroactive effect with respect to the transactions contemplated herein.

Unless your investment in Shares is made through a tax-exempt entity or tax-deferred retirement account, such as an IRA, you need to be aware of the possible tax consequences when the Fund makes distributions or you sell Shares.

Shareholders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the provisions of tax law described in this SAI in light of the particular tax situations of the shareholders and regarding specific questions as to federal, state, foreign or local taxes.

Taxation of the Funds. Each Fund intends to qualify each year to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. As such, the Funds should not be subject to federal income taxes on their net investment income and capital gains, if any, to the extent that they timely distribute such income and capital gains to their shareholders. To qualify for treatment as a RIC, a Fund must distribute annually to its shareholders at least the sum of 90% of its net investment income (generally including dividends, taxable interest, and the excess of net short-term capital gains over net long-term capital losses, less operating expenses) and at least 90% of its net tax-exempt interest income, if any (the “Distribution Requirement”) and must meet several additional requirements. Among these requirements are the following: (i) at least the sum of 90% of a Fund’s gross income each taxable year must be derived from dividends, interest, payments with respect to certain securities loans, gains from the sale or other disposition of stock, securities or foreign currencies, or other income derived with respect to its business of investing in such stock, securities or foreign currencies and net income derived from interests in qualified publicly traded partnerships (the “Qualifying Income Requirement”); and (ii) at the end of each quarter of such Fund’s taxable year, such Fund’s assets must be diversified so that (a) at least 50% of the value of the Fund’s

total assets is represented by cash and cash items, U.S. government securities, securities of other RICs, and other securities, with such other securities limited, in respect to any one issuer, to an amount not greater in value than 5% of the value of the Fund's total assets and to not more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of such issuer, including the equity securities of a qualified publicly traded partnership, and (b) not more than 25% of the value of its total assets is invested, including through corporations in which the Fund owns a 20% or more voting stock interest, in the securities (other than U.S. government securities or securities of other RICs) of any one issuer, the securities (other than securities of other RICs) of two or more issuers which such Fund controls and which are engaged in the same, similar, or related trades or businesses, or the securities of one or more qualified publicly traded partnerships (the "Diversification Requirement").

To the extent a Fund makes investments that may generate income that is not qualifying income, including certain derivatives, the Fund will seek to restrict the resulting income from such investments so that such Fund's non-qualifying income does not exceed 10% of its gross income.

Although the Funds intend to distribute substantially all of their net investment income and may distribute their capital gains for any taxable year, the Funds will be subject to federal income taxation to the extent any such income or gains are not distributed. Each Fund is treated as a separate corporation for federal income tax purposes. A Fund therefore is considered a separate entity in determining its treatment under the rules for RICs described herein, *i.e.*, losses in one Fund do not offset gains in another fund. The requirements (other than certain organizational requirements) for qualifying RIC status are determined at the Fund level rather than at the Trust level.

If a Fund fails to satisfy the Qualifying Income Requirement or the Diversification Requirement in any taxable year, such Fund may be eligible for relief provisions if the failures are due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect and if a penalty tax is paid with respect to each failure to satisfy the applicable requirements. Additionally, relief is provided for certain *de minimis* failures of the Diversification Requirement where a Fund corrects the failure within a specified period of time. To be eligible for the relief provisions with respect to a failure to meet the Diversification Requirement, a Fund may be required to dispose of certain assets. If these relief provisions were not available to a Fund and it were to fail to qualify for treatment as a RIC for a taxable year, all of its taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at the regular 21% corporate rate without any deduction for distributions to shareholders, and its distributions (including capital gains distributions) generally would be taxable to the shareholders of the applicable Fund as dividends to the extent of the Fund's current and accumulated earnings and profits, subject to the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders and the lower tax rates on qualified dividend income received by non-corporate shareholders, subject to certain limitations. To requalify for treatment as a RIC in a subsequent taxable year, a Fund would be required to satisfy the RIC qualification requirements for that year and to distribute any earnings and profits from any year in which the applicable Fund failed to qualify for tax treatment as a RIC. If a Fund failed to qualify as a RIC for a period greater than two taxable years, it would generally be required to pay a Fund-level tax on certain net built-in gains recognized with respect to certain of its assets upon disposition of such assets within five years of qualifying as a RIC in a subsequent year. The Board reserves the right not to maintain the qualification of a Fund for treatment as a RIC if it determines such course of action to be beneficial to shareholders. If a Fund determines that it will not qualify as a RIC, the applicable Fund will establish procedures to reflect the anticipated tax liability in the Fund's NAV.

A Fund may elect to treat part or all of any "qualified late year loss" as if it had been incurred in the succeeding taxable year in determining such Fund's taxable income, net capital gain, net short-term capital gain, and earnings and profits. The effect of this election is to treat any such "qualified late year loss" as if it had been incurred in the succeeding taxable year in characterizing Fund distributions for any calendar year. A "qualified late year loss" generally includes net capital loss, net long-term capital loss, or net short-term capital loss incurred after October 31 of the current taxable year (commonly referred to as "post-October losses") and certain other late-year losses.

Capital losses in excess of capital gains ("net capital losses") are not permitted to be deducted against a RIC's net investment income. Instead, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, potentially subject to certain limitations, a Fund may carry a net capital loss from any taxable year forward indefinitely to offset its capital gains, if any, in years following the year of the loss. To the extent subsequent capital gains are offset by such losses, they will not result in U.S. federal income tax liability to the applicable Fund and may not be distributed as capital gains to its shareholders. Generally, a Fund may not carry forward any losses other than net capital losses. The carryover of capital losses may be limited under the general loss limitation rules if a Fund experiences an ownership change as defined in the Code.

A Fund will be subject to a nondeductible 4% federal excise tax on certain undistributed income if it does not distribute to its shareholders in each calendar year an amount at least equal to 98% of its ordinary income for the calendar year plus 98.2% of its capital gain net income for the one-year period ending on October 31 of that year, subject to an increase for any shortfall in the prior year's distribution. For this purpose, any ordinary income or capital gain net income retained by a Fund and subject to corporate income tax will be considered to have been distributed. The Funds intend to declare and distribute dividends and distributions in the amounts and at the times necessary to avoid the application of the excise tax but can make no assurances that all such tax liability will be eliminated. For example, a Fund may receive delayed or corrected tax reporting statements from its investments that cause such Fund to accrue additional income and gains after such Fund has already made its excise tax distributions for the year. In such a situation, a Fund may incur an excise tax liability resulting from such delayed receipt of such tax information statements. In addition, a Fund may in certain circumstances be required to liquidate Fund investments to make sufficient distributions to avoid federal excise

tax liability at a time when the investment adviser might not otherwise have chosen to do so, and liquidation of investments in such circumstances may affect the ability of the Fund to satisfy the requirement for qualification as a RIC.

If a Fund meets the Distribution Requirement but retains some or all of its income or gains, it will be subject to federal income tax to the extent that any such income or gains are not distributed. A Fund may designate certain amounts retained as undistributed net capital gain in a notice to its shareholders, who (i) will be required to include in income for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as long-term capital gain, their proportionate shares of the undistributed amount so designated, (ii) will be entitled to credit their proportionate shares of the income tax paid by the Fund on that undistributed amount against their federal income tax liabilities and to claim refunds to the extent such credits exceed their tax liabilities, and (iii) will be entitled to increase their tax basis, for federal income tax purposes, in their Shares by an amount equal to the excess of the amount of undistributed net capital gain included in their respective income over their respective income tax credits.

Taxation of Shareholders – Distributions. Each Fund intends to distribute annually to its shareholders substantially all of its investment company taxable income (computed without regard to the deduction for dividends paid), its net tax-exempt income, if any, and any net capital gain (net recognized long-term capital gains in excess of net recognized short-term capital losses, taking into account any capital loss carryforwards). The distribution of investment company taxable income (as so computed) and net realized capital gain will be taxable to Fund shareholders regardless of whether the shareholder receives these distributions in cash or reinvests them in additional Shares.

Each Fund (or your broker) will report to shareholders annually the amounts of dividends paid from ordinary income, the amount of distributions of net capital gain, the portion of dividends which may qualify for the dividends received deduction for corporations, and the portion of dividends which may qualify for treatment as qualified dividend income, which, subject to certain limitations and requirements, is taxable to non-corporate shareholders at rates of up to 20%.

Qualified dividend income includes, in general, subject to certain holding period and other requirements, dividend income from taxable domestic corporations and certain foreign corporations. Subject to certain limitations, eligible foreign corporations include those incorporated in possessions of the United States, those incorporated in certain countries with comprehensive tax treaties with the United States, and other foreign corporations if the stock with respect to which the dividends are paid is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. Fund dividends will not be treated as qualified dividend income if a Fund does not meet holding period and other requirements with respect to dividend paying stocks in its portfolio, and the shareholder does not meet holding period and other requirements with respect to the Shares on which the dividends were paid. Dividends received by a Fund from an underlying fund taxable as a RIC or from a REIT may be treated as qualified dividend income generally only to the extent so reported by such underlying fund or REIT. If 95% or more of a Fund's gross income (calculated without taking into account net capital gain derived from sales or other dispositions of stock or securities) consists of qualified dividend income, the Fund may report all distributions of such income as qualified dividend income. A Fund's investment strategy will significantly limit its ability to distribute dividends eligible to be treated as qualified dividend income.

Distributions by a Fund of its net short-term capital gains will be taxable as ordinary income. Distributions from a Fund's net capital gain will be taxable to shareholders at long-term capital gains rates, regardless of how long shareholders have held their Shares. Distributions may be subject to state and local taxes.

In the case of corporate shareholders, certain dividends received by a Fund from U.S. corporations (generally, dividends received by the Fund in respect of any share of stock (1) with a tax holding period of at least 46 days during the 91-day period beginning on the date that is 45 days before the date on which the stock becomes ex-dividend as to that dividend and (2) that is held in an unleveraged position) and distributed and appropriately so reported by the Fund may be eligible for the 50% dividends received deduction. Certain preferred stock must have a holding period of at least 91 days during the 181-day period beginning on the date that is 90 days before the date on which the stock becomes ex-dividend as to that dividend to be eligible. Capital gain dividends distributed to a Fund from other RICs, and dividends distributed to a Fund from REITs are generally not eligible for the dividends received deduction. To qualify for the deduction, corporate shareholders must meet the minimum holding period requirement stated above with respect to their Shares, taking into account any holding period reductions from certain hedging or other transactions or positions that diminish their risk of loss with respect to their Shares, and, if they borrow to acquire or otherwise incur debt attributable to Shares, they may be denied a portion of the dividends received deduction with respect to those Shares. A Fund's investment strategy may significantly limit its ability to distribute dividends eligible for the dividends received deduction.

A RIC that receives business interest income may pass through its net business interest income for purposes of the tax rules applicable to the interest expense limitations under Section 163(j) of the Code. A RIC's total "Section 163(j) Interest Dividend" for a tax year is limited to the excess of the RIC's business interest income over the sum of its business interest expense and its other deductions properly allocable to its business interest income. A RIC may, in its discretion, designate all or a portion of ordinary dividends as Section 163(j) Interest Dividends, which would allow the recipient shareholder to treat the designated portion of such dividends as interest income for purposes of determining such shareholder's interest expense deduction limitation under Section 163(j) of the Code. This can potentially increase the amount of a shareholder's interest expense deductible under Section 163(j) of the Code. In general, to be eligible to treat a Section 163(j) Interest Dividend as interest income, you must have held your shares in a Fund for more than 180 days during the 361-day period beginning on the date that is 180 days before the date on which the share becomes ex-dividend with

respect to such dividend. Section 163(j) Interest Dividends, if so designated by a Fund, will be reported to your financial intermediary or otherwise in accordance with the requirements specified by the IRS.

Although dividends generally will be treated as distributed when paid, any dividend declared by a Fund in October, November or December and payable to shareholders of record in such a month that is paid during the following January will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as received by shareholders on December 31 of the calendar year in which it was declared.

Shareholders who have not held Shares for a full year should be aware that a Fund may report and distribute, as ordinary dividends or capital gain dividends, a percentage of income that is not equal to the percentage of a Fund's ordinary income or net capital gain, respectively, actually earned during the applicable shareholder's period of investment in the Fund. A taxable shareholder should note that if it purchases shares just before a distribution, the purchase price would reflect the amount of the upcoming distribution. In this case, the shareholder would be taxed on the entire amount of the distribution received, even though, as an economic matter, the distribution simply constitutes a return of its investment. This is known as "buying a dividend" and should generally be avoided by taxable investors.

To the extent that a Fund makes a distribution of income received by such Fund in lieu of dividends (a "substitute payment") with respect to securities on loan pursuant to a securities lending transaction, such income will not constitute qualified dividend income to individual shareholders and will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders.

If a Fund's distributions exceed its current and accumulated earnings and profits for the taxable year (as calculated for federal income tax purposes), all or a portion of the distributions made for the taxable year may be recharacterized as a return of capital to shareholders. A return of capital distribution will generally not be taxable but will reduce each shareholder's cost basis in a Fund and result in a higher capital gain or lower capital loss when the Shares on which the distribution was received are sold. After a shareholder's basis in the Shares has been reduced to zero, distributions in excess of earnings and profits will be treated as gain from the sale of the shareholder's Shares.

Taxation of Shareholders – Sale or Exchange of Shares. A sale or exchange of Shares may give rise to a gain or loss for federal and state income tax purposes. Assuming a shareholder holds Shares as a capital asset, any gain or loss realized upon a taxable disposition of Shares will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if Shares have been held for more than 12 months. Otherwise, the gain or loss on the taxable disposition of Shares will generally be treated as short-term capital gain or loss. Any loss realized upon a taxable disposition of Shares held for six months or less will be treated as long-term capital loss, rather than short-term capital loss, to the extent of any amounts treated as distributions to the shareholder of long-term capital gain (including any amounts credited to the shareholder as undistributed capital gains). All or a portion of any loss realized upon a taxable disposition of Shares may be disallowed if substantially identical Shares of a Fund are acquired (through the reinvestment of dividends or otherwise) within a 61-day period beginning 30 days before and ending 30 days after the disposition. In such a case, the basis of the newly acquired Shares will be adjusted to reflect the disallowed loss.

The cost basis of Shares acquired by purchase will generally be based on the amount paid for Shares and then may be subsequently adjusted for other applicable transactions as required by the Code. The difference between the selling price and the cost basis of Shares generally determines the amount of the capital gain or loss realized on the sale or exchange of Shares. Contact the broker through whom you purchased your Shares to obtain information with respect to the available cost basis reporting methods and elections for your account.

An Authorized Participant who exchanges securities for Creation Units generally will recognize a gain or a loss. The gain or loss will be equal to the difference between the market value of the Creation Units at the time and the sum of the exchanger's aggregate basis in the securities surrendered plus the amount of cash paid for such Creation Units. The ability of Authorized Participants to receive a full or partial cash redemption of Creation Units of a Fund may limit the tax efficiency of the Fund. An Authorized Participant who redeems Creation Units will generally recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the exchanger's basis in the Creation Units and the sum of the aggregate market value of any securities received plus the amount of any cash received for such Creation Units. The IRS, however, may assert that a loss realized upon an exchange of securities for Creation Units cannot currently be deducted, under the rules governing "wash sales" (for a person who does not mark-to-market its portfolio) or, on the basis that there has been no significant change in economic position.

Any gain or loss realized upon a creation or redemption of Creation Units will be treated as capital or ordinary gain or loss, depending on the holder's circumstances.

The Trust, on behalf of the Funds, has the right to reject an order for Creation Units if the purchaser (or a group of purchasers) would, upon obtaining the Creation Units so ordered, own 80% or more of the outstanding Shares and if, pursuant to Section 351 of the Code, a Fund would have a basis in the deposit securities different from the market value of such securities on the date of deposit. The Trust also has the right to require the provision of information necessary to determine beneficial Share ownership for purposes of the 80% determination. If a Fund does issue Creation Units to a purchaser (or a group of purchasers) that would, upon obtaining the Creation Units so ordered, own 80% or more of the outstanding Shares, the purchaser (or a group of purchasers) will not recognize gain or loss upon the exchange of securities for Creation Units.

Authorized Participants purchasing or redeeming Creation Units should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the tax treatment of any creation or redemption transaction and whether the wash sales rule applies and when a loss may be deductible.

Taxation of Shareholders – Net Investment Income Tax. U.S. individuals with adjusted gross income (subject to certain adjustments) exceeding certain threshold amounts (\$250,000 if married filing jointly or if considered a “surviving spouse” for federal income tax purposes, \$125,000 if married filing separately, and \$200,000 in other cases) are subject to a 3.8% tax on all or a portion of their “net investment income,” which includes taxable interest, dividends, and certain capital gains (generally including capital gain distributions and capital gains realized on the sale of Shares). This 3.8% tax also applies to all or a portion of the undistributed net investment income of certain shareholders that are estates and trusts.

Foreign Investments. Dividends and interest received by a Fund from sources within foreign countries may be subject to withholding and other taxes imposed by such countries. Tax treaties between certain countries and the United States may reduce or eliminate such taxes. Each Fund does not expect to satisfy the requirements for passing through to its shareholders any share of foreign taxes paid by the Fund, with the result that shareholders will not include such taxes in their gross incomes and will not be entitled to a tax deduction or credit for such taxes on their own tax returns.

If more than 50% of the value of a Fund’s assets at the close of any taxable year consists of stock or securities of foreign corporations, which for this purpose may include obligations of foreign governmental issuers, the Fund may elect, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, to treat any foreign income or withholding taxes paid by the Fund as paid by its shareholders. For any year that a Fund is eligible for and makes such an election, each shareholder of the Fund will be required to include in income an amount equal to his or her allocable share of qualified foreign income taxes paid by the Fund, and shareholders will be entitled, subject to certain holding period requirements and other limitations, to credit their portions of these amounts against their U.S. federal income tax due, if any, or to deduct their portions from their U.S. taxable income, if any. No deductions for foreign taxes paid by a Fund may be claimed, however, by non-corporate shareholders who do not itemize deductions. No deduction for such taxes will be permitted to individuals in computing their alternative minimum tax liability. Shareholders that are not subject to U.S. federal income tax, and those who invest in a Fund through tax-advantaged accounts (including those who invest through IRAs or other tax-advantaged retirement plans), generally will receive no benefit from any tax credit or deduction passed through by the Fund. Foreign taxes paid by a Fund will reduce the return from the Fund’s investments. If a Fund makes the election, the Fund’s shareholders will be notified annually by the Fund (or their broker) of the respective amounts per share of the Fund’s income from sources within, and taxes paid to, foreign countries and U.S. possessions. If a Fund does not hold sufficient foreign securities to meet the above threshold, then shareholders will not be entitled to claim a credit or further deduction with respect to foreign taxes paid by the Fund.

Foreign tax credits, if any, received by a Fund as a result of an investment in another RIC (including an underlying fund which is taxable as a RIC) will not be passed through to you unless the Fund qualifies as a “qualified fund of funds” under the Code. If a Fund is a “qualified fund of funds” it will be eligible to file an election with the IRS that will enable the Fund to pass along these foreign tax credits to its shareholders. A Fund will be treated as a “qualified fund of funds” under the Code if at least 50% of the value of such Fund’s total assets (at the close of each quarter of the Fund’s taxable year) is represented by interests in other RICs.

To the extent a Fund invests in an underlying fund that indicates that such underlying fund intends to satisfy the tax requirements to be treated as a RIC under the Code, the Fund may be able to receive the benefits of a “qualified fund of funds” as described above. If, however, an underlying fund loses its status as a RIC under the Code, a Fund would no longer be permitted to count its investment in such underlying fund for purposes of satisfying the requirements to be a “qualified fund of funds.” In addition, an underlying fund that loses its status as a RIC would be treated as a regular corporation subject to entity level taxation prior to making any distributions to a Fund which would affect the amount, timing and character of such income distributed by an underlying fund to the Fund.

If a Fund holds shares in a “passive foreign investment company” (“PFIC”), it may be subject to U.S. federal income tax on a portion of any “excess distribution” or gain from the disposition of such shares even if such income is distributed as a taxable dividend by the Fund to its shareholders. Additional charges in the nature of interest may be imposed on a Fund in respect of deferred taxes arising from such distributions or gains.

Each Fund may be eligible to treat a PFIC as a “qualified electing fund” (“QEF”) under the Code in which case, in lieu of the foregoing requirements, the Fund will be required to include in income each year a portion of the ordinary earnings and net capital gains of the QEF, even if not distributed to the Fund, and such amounts will be subject to the 90% and excise tax distribution requirements described above. In order to make this election, a Fund would be required to obtain certain annual information from the PFICs in which it invests, which may be difficult or impossible to obtain. Alternatively, a Fund may make a mark-to-market election that will result in such Fund being treated as if it had sold and repurchased its PFIC stock at the end of each year. In such case, a Fund would report any gains resulting from such deemed sales as ordinary income and would deduct any losses resulting from such deemed sales as ordinary losses to the extent of previously recognized gains. The election must be made separately for each PFIC owned by a Fund and, once made, is effective for all subsequent taxable years, unless revoked with the consent of the IRS. By making the election, a Fund could potentially ameliorate the adverse tax consequences with respect to its ownership of shares in a PFIC, but in any particular year may be required to recognize income in excess of the distributions it receives from PFICs and its proceeds from dispositions of PFIC stock. A Fund may have to distribute this excess income to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement and to avoid imposition of the 4% excise tax. In order to distribute this income and avoid a tax at the fund level, a Fund might be required to

liquidate portfolio securities that it might otherwise have continued to hold, potentially resulting in additional taxable gain or loss. Each Fund intends to make the appropriate tax elections, if possible, and take any additional steps that are necessary to mitigate the effect of these rules. Amounts included in income each year by a Fund arising from a QEF election, will be “qualifying income” under the Qualifying Income Requirement (as described above) even if not distributed to such Fund, if such Fund derives such income from its business of investing in stock, securities or currencies.

Under Section 988 of the Code, gains or losses attributable to fluctuations in exchange rates which occur between the time a Fund accrues income or other receivables or accrues expenses or other liabilities denominated in a foreign currency and the time the Fund actually collects such income or receivables or pays such expenses or liabilities generally are treated as ordinary income or loss. Similarly, on disposition of debt securities denominated in a foreign currency and on disposition of certain other financial instruments (such as forward currency contracts and currency swaps), gains or losses attributable to fluctuations in the value of the foreign currency between the date of acquisition of the security or contract and the date of settlement or disposition also are treated as ordinary gain or loss. The gains and losses may increase or decrease the amount of a Fund’s income to be distributed to its shareholders as ordinary income. Each Fund may elect out of the application of Section 988 of the Code with respect to the tax treatment of each of its foreign currency forward contracts to the extent that (i) such contract is a capital asset in the hands of the Fund and is not part of a straddle transaction and (ii) the Fund makes an election by the close of the day the contract is entered into to treat the gain or loss attributable to such contract as capital gain or loss.

The U.S. Treasury Department has authority to issue regulations that would exclude foreign currency gains from the Qualifying Income Requirement described above if such gains are not directly related to a Fund’s business of investing in stock or securities (or options and futures with respect to stock or securities). Accordingly, regulations may be issued in the future that could treat some or all of a Fund’s non-U.S. currency gains as non-qualifying income, thereby potentially jeopardizing the Fund’s status as a RIC for all years to which the regulations are applicable.

Taxation of each Subsidiary. There is, at present, no direct taxation in the Cayman Islands and interest, dividends and gains payable to each Subsidiary will be received free of all Cayman Islands taxes. Each Subsidiary is registered as an “exempted company” pursuant to the Companies Law (as amended). Each Subsidiary expects to obtain an undertaking from the Governor in Cabinet of the Cayman Islands to the effect that, for a period of twenty years from the date of the undertaking, no law that thereafter is enacted in the Cayman Islands imposing any tax or duty to be levied on profits, income or on gains or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, will apply to any property comprised in or any income arising under each Subsidiary, or to the shareholders thereof, in respect of any such property or income.

Tax Treatment of Complex Securities. Certain of a Fund’s investments may be subject to complex provisions of the Code (including provisions relating to hedging transactions, straddles, integrated transactions, foreign currency contracts, forward foreign currency contracts, and notional principal contracts) that, among other things, may affect a Fund’s ability to qualify as a RIC, may affect the character of gains and losses realized by the applicable Fund (e.g., may affect whether gains or losses are ordinary or capital), accelerate recognition of income to the applicable Fund and defer losses. These rules could therefore affect the character, amount and timing of distributions to shareholders. These provisions also may require a Fund to mark-to-market certain types of positions in its portfolio (i.e., treat them as if they were closed out) which may cause a Fund to recognize income without the applicable Fund receiving cash with which to make distributions in amounts sufficient to enable the applicable Fund to satisfy the RIC distribution requirements for avoiding income and excise taxes. Each Fund intends to monitor its transactions, intends to make appropriate tax elections, and intends to make appropriate entries in its books and records to mitigate the effect of these rules and preserve the applicable Fund’s qualification for treatment as a RIC.

Certain of the Fund’s investments may not produce qualifying income to the Fund. To the extent the Fund invests in such investments, the Fund will seek to restrict its income from such instruments that do not generate qualifying income to a maximum of 10% of its gross income (when combined with its other investments that produce non-qualifying income).

A Fund is required for federal income tax purposes to mark-to-market and recognize as income for each taxable year its net unrealized gains and losses on certain futures and options contracts subject to section 1256 of the Code (“Section 1256 Contracts”) as of the end of the year as well as those actually realized during the year. Gain or loss from Section 1256 Contracts on broad-based indexes required to be marked to market will be 60% long-term and 40% short-term capital gain or loss. Application of this rule may alter the timing and character of distributions to shareholders. A Fund may be required to defer the recognition of losses on Section 1256 Contracts to the extent of any unrecognized gains on offsetting positions held by the applicable Fund. These provisions also may require a Fund to mark-to-market certain types of positions in its portfolio (i.e., treat them as if they were closed out), which may cause the applicable Fund to recognize income without receiving cash with which to make distributions in amounts necessary to satisfy the Distribution Requirement and for avoiding the excise tax discussed above. Accordingly, to avoid certain income and excise taxes, a Fund may be required to liquidate its investments at a time when the investment adviser might not otherwise have chosen to do so.

Certain derivative investments by the Fund, such as crypto-related investments, exchange-traded products, and over-the-counter derivatives, may not produce qualifying income for purposes of the Qualifying Income Requirement described above, which must be met in order for the Fund to maintain its status as a RIC under the Code. In addition, the determination of the value and the identity of

the issuer of such derivative investments are often unclear for purposes of the Diversification Requirement described above. The Fund intends to carefully monitor such investments to ensure that any non-qualifying income does not exceed permissible limits and to ensure that it is adequately diversified under the Diversification Requirement. The Fund, however, may not be able to accurately predict the non-qualifying income from these investments and there are no assurances that the IRS will agree with the Fund's determination of the Diversification Requirement with respect to such derivatives. Failure to satisfy the Diversification Requirement might also result from a determination by the IRS that financial instruments in which the Fund invests are not securities.

A Fund may gain most of its exposure to crypto-related investments through its investment in the Subsidiary, which may invest directly in crypto-related investments. A Fund's investment in a Subsidiary is expected to provide the Fund with exposure to crypto-related investments within the limitations of the federal tax requirements of Subchapter M of the Code for qualification as a RIC.

A U.S. person that owns (directly, indirectly or constructively) 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock or 10% or more of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of a foreign corporation is a "U.S. Shareholder" for purposes of Subpart F of the Code. A foreign corporation is a "controlled foreign corporation" within the meaning of Section 957 of the Code (a "CFC") if, on any day of its taxable year, more than 50% of the voting power or value of its stock is owned (directly, indirectly or constructively) by "U.S. Shareholders." If the Fund is a "U.S. Shareholder" of a CFC, the Fund will be required to include in its gross income for United States federal income tax purposes the CFC's "subpart F income" (described below) and global intangible low-taxed income ("GILTI"), whether or not such income is distributed by the CFC. "Subpart F income" generally includes interest, original issue discount, dividends, net gains from the disposition of stocks or securities, receipts with respect to securities loans and net payments received with respect to equity swaps and similar derivatives. "Subpart F income" also includes the excess of gains over losses from transactions (including futures, forward and similar transactions) in any commodities. GILTI generally includes the active operating profits of the CFC, reduced by a deemed return on the tax basis of the CFC's depreciable tangible assets. The Fund's recognition of "subpart F income" and GILTI will increase the Fund's tax basis in the CFC. Distributions by a CFC to the Fund will be tax-free, to the extent of its previously undistributed "subpart F income" and GILTI and will correspondingly reduce the Fund's tax basis in the CFC. "Subpart F income" and GILTI is generally treated as ordinary income, regardless of the character of the CFC's underlying income. It is expected that each Subsidiary will be treated as a CFC, and that the Fund will be treated as a "U.S. Shareholder" in the Subsidiary.

The "Subpart F" income (defined in Section 951 of the Code to include passive income) of the Fund attributable to its investment in the Subsidiary is "qualifying income" to a Fund to the extent that such income is derived with respect to a Fund's business of investing in stock, securities or currencies. A Fund expects its "Subpart F" income and GILTI attributable to its investments in a Subsidiary to be derived with respect to such Fund's business of investing in stock, securities or currencies and accordingly expects its "Subpart F" income and GILTI attributable to its investment in such Subsidiary to be treated as "qualifying income." The Adviser intends to carefully monitor a Fund's investments in a Subsidiary to ensure that no more than 25% of the Fund's assets are invested in such Subsidiary.

With respect to investments in STRIPS and other zero-coupon securities which are sold at original issue discount ("OID") and thus do not make periodic cash interest payments, a Fund will be required to include as part of its current income the imputed interest on such obligations even though the Fund has not received any interest payments on such obligations during that period. Because each Fund intends to distribute all of its net investment income to its shareholders, a Fund may have to sell Fund securities to distribute such imputed income which may occur at a time when the Adviser would not have chosen to sell such securities and which may result in taxable gain or loss.

Any market discount recognized on a bond is taxable as ordinary income. A market discount bond is a bond acquired in the secondary market at a price below redemption value or adjusted issue price if issued with OID. Absent an election by a Fund to include the market discount in income as it accrues, gain on a Fund's disposition of such an obligation will be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain to the extent of the accrued market discount.

Investments in debt obligations that are at risk of or in default present special tax issues for a Fund. Tax rules are not entirely clear about issues such as when a Fund may cease to accrue interest, OID or market discount, whether or to what extent a Fund should recognize market discount on a debt obligation, when and to what extent a Fund may take deductions for bad debts or worthless securities and how a Fund should allocate payments received on obligations in default between principal and income. These and other related issues will be addressed by a Fund when, as, and if it invests in such securities, in order to seek to ensure that it distributes sufficient income to preserve its status as a RIC and does not become subject to U.S. federal income or excise tax.

A Fund may invest in inflation-linked debt securities. Any increase in the principal amount of an inflation-linked debt security will be original interest discount, which is taxable as ordinary income and is required to be distributed, even though a Fund will not receive the principal, including any increase thereto, until maturity. As noted above, if a Fund invests in such securities, it may be required to liquidate other investments, including at times when it is not advantageous to do so, in order to satisfy its distribution requirements and to eliminate any possible taxation at a Fund level.

Backup Withholding. Each Fund will be required in certain cases to withhold (as "backup withholding") on amounts payable to any shareholder who (1) fails to provide a correct taxpayer identification number certified under penalty of perjury; (2) is subject to backup withholding by the IRS for failure to properly report all payments of interest or dividends; (3) fails to provide a certified statement that

he or she is not subject to “backup withholding”; or (4) fails to provide a certified statement that he or she is a U.S. person (including a U.S. resident alien). The backup withholding rate is currently 24%. Backup withholding is not an additional tax and any amounts withheld may be credited against the shareholder’s ultimate U.S. tax liability. Backup withholding will not be applied to payments that have been subject to the 30% withholding tax on shareholders who are neither citizens nor permanent residents of the U.S.

Non-U.S. Shareholders. Any non-U.S. investors in a Fund may be subject to U.S. withholding and estate tax and are encouraged to consult their tax advisors prior to investing in the Fund. Foreign shareholders (*i.e.*, nonresident alien individuals and foreign corporations, partnerships, trusts and estates) are generally subject to U.S. withholding tax at the rate of 30% (or a lower tax treaty rate) on distributions derived from taxable ordinary income. Each Fund may, under certain circumstances, report all or a portion of a dividend as an “interest-related dividend” or a “short-term capital gain dividend,” which would generally be exempt from this 30% U.S. withholding tax, provided certain other requirements are met. Short-term capital gain dividends received by a nonresident alien individual who is present in the U.S. for a period or periods aggregating 183 days or more during the taxable year are not exempt from this 30% withholding tax. Gains realized by foreign shareholders from the sale or other disposition of Shares of a Fund generally are not subject to U.S. taxation, unless the recipient is an individual who is physically present in the U.S. for 183 days or more per year. Foreign shareholders who fail to provide an applicable IRS form may be subject to backup withholding on certain payments from a Fund. Backup withholding will not be applied to payments that are subject to the 30% (or lower applicable treaty rate) withholding tax described in this paragraph. Different tax consequences may result if the foreign shareholder is engaged in a trade or business within the United States. In addition, the tax consequences to a foreign shareholder entitled to claim the benefits of a tax treaty may be different than those described above.

Under legislation generally known as “FATCA” (the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act), a Fund is required to withhold 30% of certain ordinary dividends it pays to shareholders that fail to meet prescribed information reporting or certification requirements. In general, no such withholding will be required with respect to a U.S. person or non-U.S. person that timely provides the certifications required by a Fund or its agent on a valid IRS Form W-9 or applicable series of IRS Form W-8, respectively. Shareholders potentially subject to withholding include foreign financial institutions (“FFIs”), such as non-U.S. investment funds, and non-financial foreign entities (“NFFEs”). To avoid withholding under FATCA, an FFI generally must enter into an information sharing agreement with the IRS in which it agrees to report certain identifying information (including name, address, and taxpayer identification number) with respect to its U.S. account holders (which, in the case of an entity shareholder, may include its direct and indirect U.S. owners), and an NFFE generally must identify and provide other required information to a Fund or other withholding agent regarding its U.S. owners, if any. Such non-U.S. shareholders also may fall into certain exempt, excepted or deemed compliant categories as established by regulations and other guidance. A non-U.S. shareholder resident or doing business in a country that has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with the United States to implement FATCA will be exempt from FATCA withholding provided that the shareholder and the applicable foreign government comply with the terms of the agreement.

A non-U.S. entity that invests in a Fund will need to provide the fund with documentation properly certifying the entity’s status under FATCA in order to avoid FATCA withholding. Non-U.S. investors in the Funds should consult their tax advisors in this regard.

Tax-Exempt Shareholders. Certain tax-exempt shareholders, including qualified pension plans, IRAs, salary deferral arrangements, 401(k) plans, and other tax-exempt entities, generally are exempt from federal income taxation except with respect to their unrelated business taxable income (“UBTI”). Tax-exempt entities are not permitted to offset losses from one unrelated trade or business against the income or gain of another unrelated trade or business. Certain net losses incurred prior to January 1, 2018 are permitted to offset gain and income created by an unrelated trade or business, if otherwise available. Under current law, each Fund generally serves to block UBTI from being realized by its tax-exempt shareholders with respect to their shares of Fund income. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, tax-exempt shareholders could realize UBTI by virtue of their investment in a Fund if, for example, (i) the Fund invests in residual interests of Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (“REMICs”), (ii) the Fund invests in a REIT that is a taxable mortgage pool (“TMP”) or that has a subsidiary that is a TMP or that invests in the residual interest of a REMIC, or (iii) Shares constitute debt-financed property in the hands of the tax-exempt shareholders within the meaning of section 514(b) of the Code. Charitable remainder trusts are subject to special rules and should consult their tax advisors. The IRS has issued guidance with respect to these issues and prospective shareholders, especially charitable remainder trusts, are strongly encouraged to consult with their tax advisors regarding these issues.

A Fund’s shares held in a tax-qualified retirement account will generally not be subject to federal taxation on income and capital gains distributions from the Fund until a shareholder begins receiving payments from their retirement account.

Certain Potential Tax Reporting Requirements. Under U.S. Treasury regulations, if a shareholder recognizes a loss on disposition of Shares of \$2 million or more for an individual shareholder or \$10 million or more for a corporate shareholder (or certain greater amounts over a combination of years), the shareholder must file with the IRS a disclosure statement on IRS Form 8886. Direct shareholders of portfolio securities are in many cases excepted from this reporting requirement, but under current guidance, shareholders of a RIC are not excepted. Significant penalties may be imposed for the failure to comply with the reporting requirements. The fact that a loss is reportable under these regulations does not affect the legal determination of whether the taxpayer’s treatment of the loss is proper. Shareholders should consult their tax advisors to determine the applicability of these regulations in light of their individual circumstances.

Other Issues. In those states which have income tax laws, the tax treatment of a Fund and of Fund shareholders with respect to distributions by such Fund may differ from federal tax treatment.

The foregoing discussion is based on U.S. federal tax laws and regulations which are in effect on the date of this SAI. Such laws and regulations may be changed by legislative or administrative action. Shareholders are advised to consult their tax advisors concerning their specific situations and the application of foreign, federal, state, or local taxes.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial statements included in Form N-CSR will be available after the Funds have completed a fiscal year of operations. When available, you may request a copy of the Funds' Form N-CSR or Annual Report at no charge by calling 800-617-0004, or through the Funds' website at www.21shares.com.

Teucrium Investment Advisers, LLC

Proxy Voting Policy

Background

Rule 206(4)-6 of the Advisers Act makes it a fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative act, practice or course of business within the meaning of section 206(4) of the Act for an investment adviser to exercise voting authority with respect to client securities, unless (i) the adviser has adopted and implemented written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that the adviser votes proxies in the best interest of its clients, (ii) the adviser describes its proxy voting procedures to its clients and provides copies on request, and (iii) the adviser discloses to clients how they may obtain information on how the adviser voted their proxies.

Policy

Teucrium has the authority to vote proxies with respect to securities in the Funds and will cast proxy votes in a manner that is consistent with the best interests of the Fund's shareholders and designed to maximize shareholder value. The Adviser may abstain from voting if it determines that doing so is in the client's best interest or if the vote is deemed immaterial. In general, the Adviser will determine how to vote proxies based on reasonable judgment of the vote most likely to produce favorable financial results for the Funds.

The Funds will generally vote with management recommendations, but all proxies will be evaluated and reviewed for any conflicts of interest and the identification of any say-on-pay votes that must be reported on the Adviser's N-PX. Any votes against management recommendations will be documented with the rationale of the decision.

Teucrium has engaged Broadridge Proxy Edge to assist with casting votes and recordkeeping. Broadridge will be included in Teucrium's service provider oversight process and evaluated no less frequently than annually.

Conflicts of Interest

The Adviser will identify and address any conflicts of interest that may arise in the proxy voting process. In cases where a conflict is identified, the Adviser will either disclose the conflict to the client or vote according to a pre-determined policy designed to mitigate the conflict.

Client Requests for Information

Clients may request information regarding how proxies were voted on their behalf. As required, Teucrium will post the Form N-PX on its website and will provide a summary of proxy voting activity upon request and disclose this policy to ETF shareholders as required.

Recordkeeping

Teucrium, in accordance with SEC recordkeeping rules, shall maintain for a period of at least five (5) years from the end of the fiscal year voted: a record of each proxy statement received regarding client securities, records of votes cast on behalf of clients, records of client requests for proxy voting information, a copy of any written response and all documents prepared by Teucrium regarding votes cast in contradiction to the pre-determined benchmark proxy voting guidelines, and all proxy voting policies and procedures and any amendments.

Revised: September 2, 2025

APPENDIX B

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES RATINGS

Short-Term Credit Ratings

An **S&P Global Ratings** short-term issue credit rating is a forward-looking opinion about the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific financial obligation a specific class of financial obligations, or a specific financial program (including ratings on medium-term note programs and commercial paper programs). Short-term issue credit ratings are generally assigned to those obligations considered short-term in the relevant market, typically with an original maturity of no more than 365 days. Short-term issue credit ratings are also used to indicate the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to put features on long-term obligations. The following summarizes the rating categories used by S&P Global Ratings for short-term issues:

“A-1” – A short-term obligation rated “A-1” is rated in the highest category by S&P Global Ratings. The obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is strong. Within this category, certain obligations are designated with a plus sign (+). This indicates that the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on these obligations is extremely strong.

“A-2” – A short-term obligation rated “A-2” is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is satisfactory.

“A-3” – A short-term obligation rated “A-3” exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to weaken an obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“B” – A short-term obligation rated “B” is regarded as vulnerable and has significant speculative characteristics. The obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitments; however, it faces major ongoing uncertainties that could lead to the obligor’s inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitments.

“C” – A short-term obligation rated “C” is currently vulnerable to nonpayment and is dependent upon favorable business, financial, and economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“D” – A short-term obligation rated “D” is in default or in breach of an imputed promise. For non-hybrid capital instruments, the “D” rating category is used when payments on an obligation are not made on the date due, unless S&P Global Ratings believes that such payments will be made within any stated grace period. However, any stated grace period longer than five business days will be treated as five business days. The “D” rating also will be used upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the taking of a similar action and where default on an obligation is a virtual certainty, for example due to automatic stay provisions. A rating on an obligation is lowered to “D” if it is subject to a distressed debt restructuring.

“NR” – This indicates that a rating has not been assigned or is no longer assigned.

Local Currency and Foreign Currency Ratings – S&P Global Ratings’ issuer credit ratings make a distinction between foreign currency ratings and local currency ratings. A foreign currency rating on an issuer can differ from the local currency rating on it when the obligor has a different capacity to meet its obligations denominated in its local currency versus obligations denominated in a foreign currency.

Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) short-term ratings are forward-looking opinions of the relative credit risks of financial obligations issued by non-financial corporates, financial institutions, structured finance vehicles, project finance vehicles, and public sector entities. Short-term ratings are assigned to obligations with an original maturity of thirteen months or less and reflect both on the likelihood of a default or impairment on contractual financial obligations and the expected financial loss suffered in the event of default or impairment.

The following summarizes the ratings categories used by Moody’s for short-term issues:

“P-1” – Ratings of Prime-1 reflect a superior ability to repay short-term obligations.

“P-2” – Ratings of Prime-2 reflect a strong ability to repay short-term obligations.

“P-3” – Ratings of Prime-3 reflect an acceptable ability to repay short-term obligations.

“NP” – Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Not Prime do not fall within any of the Prime rating categories.

Fitch, Inc. / Fitch Ratings Ltd. (“Fitch”) short-term issuer or obligation ratings are based in all cases on the short-term vulnerability to default of the rated entity and relates to the capacity to meet financial obligations in accordance with the documentation governing the relevant obligation. Short-term deposit ratings may be adjusted for loss severity. Short-term ratings are assigned to obligations whose initial maturity is viewed as “short-term” based on market convention (a long-term rating can also be used to rate an issue with short maturity). Typically, this means a timeframe of up to 13 months for corporate, sovereign, and structured obligations, and up to 36 months for obligations in U.S. public finance markets. The following summarizes the rating categories used by Fitch for short-term obligations:

“F1” – Highest short-term credit quality. Indicates the strongest intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments; may have an added ‘+’ to denote any exceptionally strong credit feature.

“F2” – Good short-term credit quality. Good intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.

“F3” – Fair short-term credit quality. The intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is adequate.

“B” – Speculative short-term credit quality. Minimal capacity for timely payment of financial commitments, plus heightened vulnerability to near term adverse changes in financial and economic conditions.

“C” – High short-term default risk. Default is a real possibility.

“RD” – Restricted Default. Indicates an entity that has defaulted on one or more of its financial commitments, although it continues to meet other financial obligations. Typically applicable to entity ratings only.

“D” – Default. Indicates a broad-based default event for an entity, or the default of a short-term obligation.

The **DBRS, Inc. (“Morningstar DBRS”)** short-term debt rating scale provides an opinion on the risk that an issuer will not meet its short-term financial obligations in a timely manner. Ratings are based on quantitative and qualitative considerations relevant to the issuer and the relative ranking of claims. The R-1 and R-2 rating categories are further denoted by the sub-categories “(high)”, “(middle)”, and “(low)”.

The following summarizes the ratings used by Morningstar DBRS for commercial paper and short-term debt:

“R-1 (high)” - Highest credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is exceptionally high. Unlikely to be adversely affected by future events.

“R-1 (middle)” – Superior credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is very high. Differs from “R-1 (high)” by a relatively modest degree. Unlikely to be significantly vulnerable to future events.

“R-1 (low)” – Good credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is substantial. Overall strength is not as favorable as higher rating categories. May be vulnerable to future events, but qualifying negative factors are considered manageable.

“R-2 (high)” – Upper end of adequate credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is acceptable. May be vulnerable to future events.

“R-2 (middle)” – Adequate credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is acceptable. May be vulnerable to future events or may be exposed to other factors that could reduce credit quality.

“R-2 (low)” – Lower end of adequate credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is acceptable. May be vulnerable to future events. A number of challenges are present that could affect the issuer’s ability to meet such obligations.

“R-3” – Lowest end of adequate credit quality. There is a capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due. May be vulnerable to future events and the certainty of meeting such obligations could be impacted by a variety of developments.

“R-4” – Speculative credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is uncertain.

“R-5” – Highly speculative credit quality. There is a high level of uncertainty as to the capacity to meet short-term financial obligations as they fall due.

“D” – When the issuer has filed under any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency or winding up statute or there is a failure to satisfy an obligation after the exhaustion of grace periods, a downgrade to “D” may occur. Morningstar DBRS may also use “SD” (Selective Default) in cases where only some securities are impacted, such as the case of a distressed exchange.

Long-Term Credit Ratings

An **S&P Global Ratings** long-term issue credit rating is a forward-looking opinion about the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific financial obligation, a specific class of financial obligations, or a specific financial program (including ratings on medium-term note programs and commercial paper programs). **S&P Global Ratings** typically assign a long-term issue credit rating to an obligation with an original maturity of greater than 365 days. The following summarizes the ratings used by **S&P Global Ratings** for long-term issues:

“AAA” – An obligation rated “AAA” has the highest rating assigned by S&P Global Ratings. The obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is extremely strong.

“AA” – An obligation rated “AA” differs from the highest-rated obligations only to a small degree. The obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is very strong.

“A” – An obligation rated “A” is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher-rated categories. However, the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is still strong.

“BBB” – An obligation rated “BBB” exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to weaken the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“BB,” “B,” “CCC,” “CC” and “C” – Obligations rated “BB,” “B,” “CCC,” “CC” and “C” are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. “BB” indicates the least degree of speculation and “C” the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposure to adverse conditions.

“BB” – An obligation rated “BB” is less vulnerable to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, it faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions that could lead to the obligor’s inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“B” – An obligation rated “B” is more vulnerable to nonpayment than obligations rated “BB”, but the obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation. Adverse business, financial, or economic conditions will likely impair the obligor’s capacity or willingness to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“CCC” – An obligation rated “CCC” is currently vulnerable to nonpayment and is dependent upon favorable business, financial and economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitments on the obligation. In the event of adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, the obligor is not likely to have the capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

“CC” – An obligation rated “CC” is currently highly vulnerable to nonpayment. The “CC” rating is used when a default has not yet occurred, but S&P Global Ratings expects default to be a virtual certainty, regardless of the anticipated time to default.

“C” – An obligation rated “C” is currently highly vulnerable to nonpayment, and the obligation is expected to have lower relative seniority or lower ultimate recovery compared with obligations that are rated higher.

“D” – An obligation rated “D” is in default or in breach of an imputed promise. For non-hybrid capital instruments, the “D” rating category is used when payments on an obligation are not made on the date due, unless S&P Global Ratings believes that such payments will be made within the next five business days in the absence of a stated grace period or within the earlier of the stated grace period or the next 30 calendar days. The “D” rating also will be used upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the taking of similar action and where default on an obligation is a virtual certainty, for example due to automatic stay provisions. A rating on an obligation rating is lowered to “D” if it is subject to a distressed debt restructuring.

Plus (+) or minus (-) – The ratings from “AA” to “CCC” may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus (-) sign to show relative standing within the rating categories.

“NR” – This indicates that a rating has not been assigned or is no longer assigned.

Local Currency and Foreign Currency Ratings - S&P Global Ratings’ issuer credit ratings make a distinction between foreign currency ratings and local currency ratings. A foreign currency rating on an issuer can differ from the local currency rating on it when the obligor has a different capacity to meet its obligations denominated in its local currency versus obligations denominated in a foreign currency.

Moody’s long-term ratings are forward-looking opinions of the relative credit risks issued by non-financial corporates, financial institutions, structured finance vehicles, project finance vehicles, and public sector entities. Long-term ratings are assigned to issuers or obligations with an original maturity of eleven months or more and reflect both on the likelihood of a default or impairment on contractual financial obligations and the expected financial loss suffered in the event of default or impairment. The following summarizes the ratings used by Moody’s for long-term debt:

“Aaa” – Obligations rated “Aaa” are judged to be of the highest quality, subject to the lowest level of credit risk.

“Aa” – Obligations rated “Aa” are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk.

“A” – Obligations rated “A” are judged to be upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk.

“Baa” – Obligations rated “Baa” are judged to be medium-grade and subject to moderate credit risk and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.

“Ba” – Obligations rated “Ba” are judged to be speculative and are subject to substantial credit risk.

“B” – Obligations rated “B” are considered speculative and are subject to high credit risk.

“Caa” – Obligations rated “Caa” are judged to be speculative of poor standing and are subject to very high credit risk.

“Ca” – Obligations rated “Ca” are highly speculative and are likely in, or very near, default, with some prospect of recovery of principal and interest.

“C” – Obligations rated “C” are the lowest rated and are typically in default, with little prospect for recovery of principal or interest.

Note: Moody’s appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating classification from “Aa” through “Caa.” The modifier 1 indicates that the obligation ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category. Additionally, a “(hyb)” indicator is appended to all ratings of hybrid securities issued by banks, insurers, finance companies, and securities firms. By their terms, hybrid securities allow for the omission of scheduled dividends, interest, or principal payments, which can potentially result in impairment if such an omission occurs. Hybrid securities may also be subject to contractually allowable write-downs of principal that could result in impairment. Together with the hybrid indicator, the long-term obligation rating assigned to a hybrid security is an expression of the relative credit risk associated with that security.

The following summarizes long-term issuer default ratings used by **Fitch**:

“AAA” – Highest credit quality. “AAA” ratings denote the lowest expectation of default risk. They are assigned only in cases of exceptionally strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely affected by foreseeable events.

“AA” – Very high credit quality. “AA” ratings denote expectations of very low default risk. They indicate very strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.

“A” – High credit quality. “A” ratings denote expectations of low default risk. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be more vulnerable to adverse business or economic conditions than is the case for higher ratings.

“BBB” – Good credit quality. “BBB” ratings indicate that expectations of default risk are currently low. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered adequate but adverse business or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.

“BB” – Speculative. “BB” ratings indicate an elevated vulnerability to default risk, particularly in the event of adverse changes in business or economic conditions over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments.

“B” – Highly speculative. “B” ratings indicate that material default risk is present, but a limited margin of safety remains. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is vulnerable to deterioration in the business and economic environment.

“CCC” – Substantial credit risk. “CCC” ratings indicate a very low margin for safety. Default is a real possibility.

“CC” – Very high levels of credit risk. “CC” ratings indicate a default of some kind appears probable.

“C” – Near default. “C” ratings indicate a default or default-like process has begun, or for a closed funding vehicle, payment capacity is irrevocably impaired. Conditions that are indicative of a ‘C’ category rating for an issuer include:

- The issuer has entered into a grace or cure period following non-payment of a material financial obligation;
- The formal announcement by the issuer or their agent of a distressed debt exchange (“DDE”); and
- A closed financing vehicle where payment capacity is irrevocably impaired such that it is not expected to pay interest and/or principal in full during the life of the transaction, but where no payment default is imminent.

“RD” - Restricted default. ‘RD’ ratings indicate an issuer that in Fitch’s opinion has experienced:

- An uncured payment default or DDE on a bond, loan or other material financial obligation, but
- Has not entered into bankruptcy filings, administration, receivership, liquidation, or other formal winding-up procedure, and
- Has not otherwise ceased operating. This would include:
 - The selective payment default on a specific class or currency of debt;
 - The uncured expiry of any applicable original grace period, cure period or default forbearance period following a payment default on a bank loan, capital markets security or other material financial obligation.

“D” – Default. “D” ratings indicate an issuer that in Fitch’s opinion has entered into bankruptcy filings, administration, receivership, liquidation or other formal winding-up procedure or that has otherwise ceased business and debt is still outstanding. Default ratings are not assigned prospectively to entities or their obligations; within this context, non-payment on an instrument that contains a deferral feature or grace period will generally not be considered a default until after the expiration of the deferral or grace period, unless a default is otherwise driven by bankruptcy or other similar circumstance, or by a DDE. In all cases, the assignment of a

default rating reflects the agency's opinion as to the most appropriate rating category consistent with the rest of its universe of ratings and may differ from the definition of default under the terms of an issuer's financial obligations or local commercial practice

The **Morningstar DBRS** long-term rating scale provides an opinion on the risk of default. That is, the risk that an issuer will fail to satisfy its financial obligations in accordance with the terms under which a long-term obligation has been issued. Credit ratings are based on quantitative and qualitative considerations relevant to the issuer, and the relative ranking of claims. All rating categories from AA to CCC contain the subcategories "(high)" and "(low)". The absence of either a "(high)" or "(low)" designation indicates the credit rating is in the middle of the category. The following summarizes the ratings used by Morningstar DBRS for long-term debt:

"AAA" – Highest credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is exceptionally high and unlikely to be adversely affected by future events.

"AA" – Superior credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is considered high. Credit quality differs from "AAA" only to a small degree. Unlikely to be significantly vulnerable to future events.

"A" – Good credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is substantial, but of lesser credit quality than "AA." May be vulnerable to future events, but qualifying negative factors are considered manageable.

"BBB" – Adequate credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is considered acceptable. May be vulnerable to future events.

"BB" – Speculative, non-investment grade credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is uncertain. Vulnerable to future events.

"B" – Highly speculative credit quality. There is a high level of uncertainty as to the capacity to meet financial obligations.

"CCC", "CC" and "C" – Very highly speculative credit quality. In danger of defaulting on financial obligations. There is little difference between these three categories, although "CC" and "C" ratings categories are normally applied to obligations that are seen as highly likely to default, or subordinated to obligations rated in the "CCC" to "B" range. Obligations in respect of which default has not technically taken place but is considered inevitable may be rated in the "C" category.

"D" – When the issuer has filed under any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency or winding up statute or there is a failure to satisfy an obligation after the exhaustion of grace periods, a downgrade to "D" may occur. Morningstar DBRS may also use "SD" (Selective Default) in cases where only some securities are impacted, such as the case of a distressed exchange.

Municipal Note Ratings

An **S&P Global Ratings** U.S. municipal note rating reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion about the liquidity factors and market access risks unique to the notes. Notes due in three years or less will likely receive a note rating. Notes with an original maturity of more than three years will most likely receive a long-term debt rating. In determining which type of rating, if any, to assign, S&P Global Ratings' analysis will review the following considerations:

- Amortization schedule - the larger the final maturity relative to other maturities, the more likely it will be treated as a note; and
- Source of payment - the more dependent the issue is on the market for its refinancing, the more likely it will be treated as a note.

Municipal Short-Term Note rating categories are as follows:

"SP-1" – Strong capacity to pay principal and interest. An issue determined to possess a very strong capacity to pay debt service is given a plus (+) designation.

"SP-2" – Satisfactory capacity to pay principal and interest, with some vulnerability to adverse financial and economic changes over the term of the notes.

"SP-3" – Speculative capacity to pay principal and interest.

"D" – 'D' is assigned upon failure to pay the note when due, completion of a distressed debt restructuring, or the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the taking of similar action and where default on an obligation is a virtual certainty, for example due to automatic stay provisions.

Moody's uses the global short-term Prime rating scale (listed above under Short-Term Credit Ratings) for commercial paper issued by U.S. municipalities and nonprofits. These commercial paper programs may be backed by external letters of credit or liquidity facilities, or by an issuer's self-liquidity.

For other short-term municipal obligations, Moody's uses one of two other short-term rating scales, the Municipal Investment Grade ("MIG") and Variable Municipal Investment Grade ("VMIG") scales provided below.

Moody's uses the MIG scale for U.S. municipal cash flow notes, bond anticipation notes and certain other short-term obligations, which typically mature in three years or less.

“MIG-1” – This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by established cash flows, highly reliable liquidity support, or demonstrated broad-based access to the market for refinancing.

“MIG-2” – This designation denotes strong credit quality. Margins of protection are ample, although not as large as in the preceding group.

“MIG-3” – This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Liquidity and cash-flow protection may be narrow, and market access for refinancing is likely to be less well-established.

“SG” – This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Debt instruments in this category may lack sufficient margins of protection.

In the case of variable rate demand obligations (“VRDOs”), Moody's assigns both a long-term rating and a short-term payment obligation rating. The long-term rating addresses the issuer's ability to meet scheduled principal and interest payments. The short-term payment obligation rating addresses the ability of the issuer or the liquidity provider to meet any purchase price payment obligation resulting from optional tenders (“on demand”) and/or mandatory tenders of the VRDO. The short-term payment obligation rating uses the VMIG scale. Transitions of VMIG ratings with conditional liquidity support differ from transitions of Prime ratings reflecting the risk that external liquidity support will terminate if the issuer's long-term rating drops below investment grade.

Moody's typically assigns the VMIG rating if the frequency of the payment obligation is less than every three years. If the frequency of the payment obligation is less than three years but the obligation is payable only with remarketing proceeds, the VMIG short-term rating is not assigned and it is denoted as “NR”.

“VMIG-1” – This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by the superior short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections.

“VMIG-2” – This designation denotes strong credit quality. Good protection is afforded by the strong short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections.

“VMIG-3” – This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Adequate protection is afforded by the satisfactory short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections.

“SG” – This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Demand features rated in this category may be supported by a liquidity provider that does not have a sufficiently strong short-term rating or may lack the structural and/or legal protections.

About Credit Ratings

An **S&P Global Ratings** issue credit rating is a forward-looking opinion about the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific financial obligation, a specific class of financial obligations, or a specific financial program (including ratings on medium-term note programs and commercial paper programs). It takes into consideration the creditworthiness of guarantors, insurers, or other forms of credit enhancement on the obligation and takes into account the currency in which the obligation is denominated. The opinion reflects S&P Global Ratings' view of the obligor's capacity and willingness to meet its financial commitments as they come due, and this opinion may assess terms, such as collateral security and subordination, which could affect ultimate payment in the event of default.

Moody's credit ratings assigned on Moody's global long-term and short-term rating scales are forward-looking opinions of the relative credit risks of financial obligations issued by non-financial corporates, financial institutions, structured finance vehicles, project finance vehicles, and public sector entities. Moody's defines credit risk as the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual financial obligations as they come due and any estimated financial loss in the event of default or impairment. The contractual financial obligations addressed by Moody's ratings are those that call for, without regard to enforceability, the payment of an ascertainable amount, which may vary based upon standard sources of variation (e.g., floating interest rates), by an ascertainable date. Moody's rating addresses the issuer's ability to obtain cash sufficient to service the obligation, and its willingness to pay. Moody's ratings do not address non-standard sources of variation in the amount of the principal obligation (e.g., equity indexed), absent an express statement to the contrary in a press release accompanying an initial rating.

Fitch's credit ratings are forward-looking opinions on the relative ability of an entity or obligation to meet financial commitments. Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) are assigned to corporations, sovereign entities, and financial institutions, such as banks, leasing companies and insurers, and public finance entities (local and regional governments). Issue-level ratings are also assigned and often include an expectation of recovery, which may be notched above or below the issuer-level rating. Issue ratings are assigned to secured and unsecured debt securities, loans, preferred stock and other instruments. Credit ratings are indications of the likelihood of repayment in accordance with the terms of the issuance. In limited cases, Fitch may include additional considerations (i.e., rate to a higher or lower standard than that implied in the obligation's documentation).

Credit ratings provided by ***Morningstar DBRS*** are forward-looking opinions about credit risk which reflect the creditworthiness of an issuer, rated entity, security and/or obligations. Credit ratings are not statements of fact. While historical statistics, performance, and expert opinion (on, e.g., financial statements or legal matters) can be important considerations, credit ratings are not based solely on such; they include subjective considerations and involve expectations for future performance or events that cannot be guaranteed. As such, and to the extent that future events and economic conditions do not match expectations, credit ratings assigned to issuers, entities, securities, and/or obligations can change. Credit ratings are also based on approved and applicable methodologies, which are periodically updated and when material changes are deemed necessary, which may also lead to changes in credit ratings.

Credit ratings typically provide an opinion on the risk that an issuer will fail to satisfy the financial obligations in accordance with the terms under which an obligation was issued. In some cases, credit ratings may also include consideration for the relative ranking of claims and recovery, should default occur. Credit ratings are meant to provide opinions on relative measures of risk and are not based on expectations of any specific default probability, nor are they meant to predict such.

The data and information on which Morningstar DBRS bases its opinions is not audited or verified by Morningstar DBRS, although, Morningstar DBRS conducts a reasonableness review of information received and relied upon in accordance with its Methodologies and policies.

Morningstar DBRS uses rating symbols as a concise method of expressing its opinion to the market. However, as there are credit risk differentials that exist across the credit rating spectrum and given the limited number of rating categories, Morningstar DBRS does not assert that credit ratings in the same category are exactly the same quality.